

Genetic Engineering and Breeding of Drought-Resistant Crops

Honghong Hu and Lizhong Xiong

National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement and National Center of Plant Gene Research, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China;
email: huhh@mail.hzau.edu.cn, lizhongx@mail.hzau.edu.cn

Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2014. 65:715–41

First published online as a Review in Advance on December 2, 2013

The *Annual Review of Plant Biology* is online at plant.annualreviews.org

This article's doi:
10.1146/annurev-arplant-050213-040000

Copyright © 2014 by Annual Reviews.
All rights reserved

Keywords

QTL, root traits, leaf traits, genomics, gene function, molecular breeding

Abstract

Drought is one of the most important environmental stresses affecting the productivity of most field crops. Elucidation of the complex mechanisms underlying drought resistance in crops will accelerate the development of new varieties with enhanced drought resistance. Here, we provide a brief review on the progress in genetic, genomic, and molecular studies of drought resistance in major crops. Drought resistance is regulated by numerous small-effect loci and hundreds of genes that control various morphological and physiological responses to drought. This review focuses on recent studies of genes that have been well characterized as affecting drought resistance and genes that have been successfully engineered in staple crops. We propose that one significant challenge will be to unravel the complex mechanisms of drought resistance in crops through more intensive and integrative studies in order to find key functional components or machineries that can be used as tools for engineering and breeding drought-resistant crops.

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION	716
2. THE GENETICS OF DROUGHT RESISTANCE	717
2.1. Genetic Control of Leaf Traits Related to Drought Resistance	717
2.2. Genetic Control of Physiological Traits Related to Drought Resistance	718
2.3. Genetic Control of Root Traits Related to Drought Resistance	719
2.4. Validation of Important Quantitative Trait Loci for Crop Performance Under Drought Stress Conditions	720
3. FUNCTIONAL GENOMICS OF DROUGHT RESISTANCE AT VARIOUS LEVELS	721
4. GENETIC ENGINEERING OF DROUGHT-RESISTANCE GENES IN CROPS	723
5. DROUGHT-RESISTANCE BREEDING IN CROPS	731
6. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES	732

1. INTRODUCTION

Owing to climate change and the freshwater-supply shortage caused mainly by the increasing world population, drought has been the most important environmental stress affecting agriculture worldwide, particularly in terms of the productivity of field crops. Drought stress can occur at any growth stage and can affect this productivity to variable degrees depending on the onset time, duration, and intensity of drought treatment. Drought stress at the reproductive stage can directly result in an average yield loss of more than 50% (14, 114). Breeders have made exciting progress in improving and developing drought-tolerant crops, but these still cannot meet the demands of food security in the face of an increasing world population, global warming, and a water shortage. In China, developing rice with high water-use efficiency (WUE) and drought resistance (DR) is one of the main objectives of the Green Super Rice Project (142), which was put forward to cope with the challenges of rice production.

DR is a complex trait, and drought stress is often accompanied by heat or other stresses. Plants use multiple strategies to respond to drought stress and have evolved to adapt to drought via morphological and physiological changes through diverse signaling cascades and osmotic adjustment. Plants may avoid drought stress by having a short life cycle with accelerated flowering, or may cope with drought stress by reducing water loss (by closing stomata and increasing the thickness of the leaf cuticle) or by improving water uptake (by developing a deep and thick root system). Plants have also evolved tolerance mechanisms to survive severe drought stress through accumulation of osmoprotectants, antioxidants, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavengers. The processes of the plant response to drought stress include stress signal perception, signal transduction and amplification, and adaptation at the morphological, physiological, and molecular levels. In these processes, many proteins—including transcription factors (TFs), protein kinases, and diverse stress-related proteins—function to enhance DR via outputs such as growth delay, transpiration reduction, osmotic adjustment, and ROS scavenging. Hundreds of genes in these pathways that control the key processes of the plant response to drought stress have been identified by genetic, genomic (at the transcriptomic, proteomic, metabolomic, and epigenetic levels), and transgenic approaches. Some of them have been confirmed to have potential for improving the DR of crops in field trials. However, the biochemical and molecular basis for drought perception, signal transduction, and

WUE: water-use efficiency

DR: drought resistance

ROS: reactive oxygen species

stress adaptation remains largely unclear, which continues to be a major challenge for the genetic improvement of DR.

Many excellent reviews on DR have focused on particular molecules, signaling pathways, or crop engineering or provided an overall retrospective for model plants. This review starts with an overview of the genetic and genomic basis of DR in major crops, then focuses on recent studies of genes that have been well characterized in physiological, molecular, or biochemical processes affecting DR and recent studies of genes that have been tested in the genetic engineering of staple crops. The review also provides comprehensive information to the research community for future efforts in combining genetic and engineering approaches with conventional breeding to develop drought-resistant crops.

DA: drought avoidance

DT: drought tolerance

QTL: quantitative trait locus

2. THE GENETICS OF DROUGHT RESISTANCE

2.1. Genetic Control of Leaf Traits Related to Drought Resistance

Several DR mechanisms are associated with leaf traits, and these traits have often been used as criteria to evaluate the DR of crops. Morphological traits such as leaf rolling, stomatal density, and stomatal aperture control, along with physiological traits such as cuticular wax, abscisic acid (ABA) content, relative water content, water potential, and canopy temperature, are frequently used as criteria for drought avoidance (DA). Osmotic adjustment, cell membrane stability, proline and sugar content, and so on are generally considered criteria for drought tolerance (DT). DA and DT are two major mechanisms for DR (139), although DT has frequently been interpreted as equivalent to DR in some of the literature. Because of genetic variations in the leaf traits related to DR, researchers have made great efforts to elucidate the genetic basis of these leaf-related DR traits by quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping approaches.

Stomatal density and stomatal aperture are two of the main factors that determine stomatal conductance and thus photosynthetic ability. Genotypic variations in these factors have been reported, but the genetic mechanisms behind these leaf traits are poorly understood. Ishimaru et al. (41) identified 4 QTLs that control adaxial and abaxial stomatal density in rice from a population of backcross inbred lines derived from a cross of the Nipponbare (*Oryza sativa* ssp. *japonica*) and Kasalah (*Oryza sativa* ssp. *indica*) varieties. Among these, the QTL for adaxial density overlapped with the QTL for abaxial density on chromosome 3, indicating that the same locus may pleiotropically control stomatal density on both surfaces. Using 101 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from a cross of the *japonica* rice IR69093-41-3-2-2 and *indica* rice IR72 varieties, the authors detected 4 QTLs (on chromosomes 2, 6, and 10) controlling stomatal size and 10 QTLs (on chromosomes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6) controlling stomatal density across growth stages and on leaf surfaces, respectively. The contributions of the QTLs ranged from 9.3% to 15.2% for density and from 9.7% to 14.3% for stomatal size (55). However, no common QTLs were detected for these two traits in this study.

Leaf rolling and leaf drying are traits that are relatively easy to score. Two QTLs for leaf rolling time (the number of days from the drainage of standing water in the field to the appearance of leaf rolling) were detected on chromosomes 8 and 3 in RILs derived from a cross between the lowland rice variety Co39 and the upland rice variety Moroberekan (61). Price et al. (88) detected only one QTL on chromosome 7 using the F₂ population from a cross of upland rice varieties, and no QTLs near these regions were detected from the populations derived from lowland varieties (41). Yue et al. (138, 139) detected 10 QTLs for leaf rolling time and 10 QTLs for leaf drying score in two separate years under different drought stress conditions using a RIL population derived from a cross of lowland rice ZS97 and upland rice IRAT109. Two of the QTLs, *qDlr9* and *qLds3b*, had

MAS: marker-assisted selection

$\delta^{13}\text{C}$: carbon isotope discrimination

a significant effect on leaf rolling time and leaf drying score, respectively, in both years. Zhang et al. (145) identified 12 QTLs related to DT, and their contributions to phenotypic variation ranged from 3% to 14%. Among these, the QTL *qSDT12-1* on chromosome 12 was mapped close to the region containing the leaf rolling QTL (RM235–MRG5454) identified by Yue et al. (138), and *qSDT5-1* on chromosome 5 was also in the same location as the leaf rolling QTL (RM509–RM430) mapped by Yue et al. (138).

2.2. Genetic Control of Physiological Traits Related to Drought Resistance

Osmotic adjustment and cell membrane stability are commonly considered to be major physiological indices that are putatively associated with DT in crops. The genetic basis of these traits has also been analyzed by QTL mapping. Lilley et al. (61) reported that one QTL, *RGI*, on chromosome 8 that was identified by the analysis of variance (ANOVA) method was a major osmotic adjustment contributor. Zhang et al. (141) identified five QTLs (on chromosomes 1, 2, 3, 8, and 9) controlling osmotic adjustment using a doubled-haploid population from a CT9993/IR62266 cross, and all of the favorable alleles were contributed by the IR62266 parent. By using the BC₃F₃ families derived from a cross of IR62266-42-6-2 and IR60080-46A, Robin et al. (94) detected 14 QTLs (on chromosomes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10) for osmotic adjustment, and the phenotypic variation explained by individual QTLs ranged from 14% to 25%. In durum wheat, the QTLs for osmotic adjustment and leaf rolling were also detected on chromosomes 2B, 4A, 5A, and 7B (83). Nine putative QTLs (on chromosomes 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 11, and 12) for cell membrane stability in a rice doubled-haploid population were identified, and the contributions ranged from 13.4% to 42.1% (111). Among these, one QTL for cell membrane stability was mapped to the same region as that for osmotic adjustment on chromosome 8 identified in the same population by Zhang et al. (141). Interestingly, this QTL has synteny with QTLs for osmotic adjustment in wheat (61) and barley (108), suggesting that it may be conserved for DT; this region could be an excellent target for the generation of drought-resistant genotypes by marker-assisted selection (MAS).

High WUE is an important breeding target for major crops. Direct measurement of WUE relies on leaf gas exchange data and long-term measurement of plant water consumption and biomass production, and it is logistically difficult in large-scale plant screening. Differences in carbon isotope discrimination ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) have been used as an indirect indicator of WUE. Ishimaru et al. (42) found six QTLs (on chromosomes 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 11) for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ that explained 0.628 (r^2) of the total phenotypic variation. Xu et al. (128) identified seven QTLs for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ on chromosomes 1, 4, 7, 8, and 11, and the contributions of these QTLs to the total phenotypic variation ranged from 7.6% to 22.2%. However, there were no common QTLs reproduced in these two studies. Interestingly, the QTL $\delta^{13}\text{C}1.1_E4$ colocalized with a QTL for leaf length (128), indicating that leaf morphological and physiological traits may be significantly associated with $\delta^{13}\text{C}$. In soybean, five QTLs for the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ trait on chromosomes G, H, J, and C1 and seven QTLs on chromosome L were identified (70, 71).

Canopy temperature has also been commonly used in evaluating DA. Yue et al. (138) detected six QTLs for this trait, and Babu et al. (6) detected one QTL on rice chromosome 2. There were no overlapping QTLs in these studies, which may imply that canopy temperature is strongly affected by the environment. In a wheat doubled-haploid population (RAC875/Kukri), two QTLs located on chromosome 3B had a considerable effect on canopy temperature and accounted for up to 22% of the variation (10). Another QTL on chromosome 3B-b identified in the wheat Seri/Babax RIL population explained 14% of canopy temperature variation under drought stress conditions (85). In general, no locus has been confirmed for either WUE or canopy temperature in crops.

Plants accumulate ABA under drought stress, which is also considered to be related to DA. Quarrie et al. (90) identified 10 QTLs for ABA content in rice and found that one minor QTL, only approximately 4% of the phenotypic variation, was coincident with the QTL that also encodes for leaf size. In maize, one QTL for leaf ABA was identified on chromosome 2 by two independent research groups (54, 112), and this QTL (*root-ABA1*) also affects root traits (31). Despite the physiological importance of ABA in drought responses, the genetic basis of drought-induced ABA accumulation remains elusive, especially in relation to morphological traits such as leaf size and root growth.

2.3. Genetic Control of Root Traits Related to Drought Resistance

Plant roots play a vital role in plant growth, development, and fitness and are also responsible for water and nutrient uptake. Root development is directly affected by environmental factors, and possession of a deep and thick root system that allows access to water deep in the soil has been considered important for DR in crops. The root system is complex and comprises traits such as root volume, root length, root penetration, root thickness, and the root/shoot ratio, which are controlled by many genes and have generally been considered to be contributors to DA (89). The plant root system architecture (RSA) is plastic and dynamic, allowing plants to respond to environmental changes, which then promotes root growth and development to avoid water deficit in the early stages of drought stress. Although numerous QTLs have been reported for root system-related traits, the genetic basis of the plastic RSA in crops grown in natural field conditions, particularly in response to drought stress, is poorly understood.

Using a doubled-haploid population derived from an IR64/Azucena cross, Yadav et al. (130) identified 39 QTLs related to six root traits. In the same population, Hemamalini et al. (37) identified 28 QTLs for five root traits, and Zheng et al. (148) detected 12 QTLs for four root traits. In a RIL- F_6 population derived from a Bala/Azucena cross, 17, 49, and 51 QTLs related to root traits were detected under three different drought stress conditions, respectively (67, 86, 87), but very few QTLs were repeatedly detected or mapped near similar genomic regions. Yue et al. (139) identified a total of 74 QTLs related to seven root traits from the ZS97/IRAT109 RIL population in a two-year experiment under well-watered and drought stress conditions. Among these, 38 QTLs were detected under drought stress conditions, but only 6 were reproduced in both years and only 9 were commonly detected in both water regime conditions. Qu et al. (89) identified 86 QTLs for six root traits at five growth stages, but only 12 of them appeared in more than two growth stages. These results indicate that the QTLs for root traits are highly sensitive to environmental conditions and developmental stages and could not be easily reproduced.

Among the numerous QTLs for different root traits, a significant portion are located in the same chromosome regions or in those in close proximity, and such colocalized QTLs were detected even in different populations. For example, in the RG104–RG348 marker region on chromosome 3, Yadav et al. (130) detected a QTL for total root weight, Hemamalini et al. (37) detected a QTL for both root dry weight and root volume, and Zheng et al. (148) detected a QTL for both root penetration ability and root thickness. A QTL (*QTL9-2*) with a major influence on root traits reported by Price et al. (87) corresponds to a locus affecting diverse root traits reported by MacMillan et al. (67). In the RM472–RM104 region on chromosome 1, a QTL for root volume identified by Yue et al. (139) was found to overlap with the QTL for root thickness and root weight detected by Zheng et al. (147). In the RM470–RM303 region on chromosome 4, QTLs detected by Yue et al. (139) affecting different traits—including deep-root rate, maximum root length, and root volume under drought stress—overlapped with the QTLs for root thickness, root penetration, and penetrated root dry weight in a different population, as reported by Zhang et al. (144).

All of these results indicate that many QTLs for root traits related to drought stress are pleiotropic. Despite this, some QTLs for the same root trait are also commonly detected. Price et al. (87) and Yadav et al. (130) detected a QTL for maximum root length at the RG650 marker on chromosome 7. Common QTLs for root thickness at the G1085 marker on chromosome 9 were also detected in different populations in several studies (37, 148). These colocalized QTLs and common QTLs are promising in MAS for root traits in crop DR breeding programs.

Despite the obviously important role of RSA in DR, direct root selection for optimal RSA characteristics in the field is difficult because RSA is composed of numerous component root traits, each with a complex genetic basis. Therefore, throughput and phenotyping accuracy remain bottlenecks for genetic analyses of RSA. To address this, developmental models of RSA have emerged during the past decade. New approaches for RSA phenotyping have been developed through direct imaging. Two-dimensional imaging enables the quantification of RSA using images of the root system captured by digital cameras or scanners, and the image acquisition is straightforward for non-soil-grown plants. However, this method can be used only when phenotyping relatively simple RSA traits. Several approaches have been developed using three-dimensional RSA imaging of plants grown in both soil and gel-based growth systems. X-ray computed tomography is a promising technique and has been applied in numerous species, including barley, maize, wheat, and *Arabidopsis* (35, 63, 65, 110); magnetic resonance imaging is another three-dimensional imaging technology that has been used to measure roots. However, both of these techniques are expensive and require long periods for scanning, and thus they have not been widely adopted for high-throughput RSA phenotyping. Multiple-energy computed tomography methods have been developed to resolve the above problems (32, 33). The application of these improved three-dimensional imaging methods should lead to identification of authentic and important loci underlying the genetic basis of RSA traits in the future.

2.4. Validation of Important Quantitative Trait Loci for Crop Performance Under Drought Stress Conditions

Hundreds of QTLs have been identified for DR-related traits, but very few have been verified in appropriate breeding programs for improving crop DR in the field. Among the many important crops, rice has received the most attention for improving DR by MAS. For example, Shen et al. (100) used marker-assisted backcrossing (MABC) to transfer four QTLs for deeper roots (on chromosomes 1, 2, 7, and 9) from doubled-haploid lines derived from Azucena/IR64 into IR64, and some BC3F3 near-isogenic lines (NILs) showed significantly improved root depth and maximum root length under water-limited conditions compared with IR64. Steele et al. (105) also used MABC to introduce four QTLs (for root thickness and root length) from Azucena into Kalinga III. Twenty-two NILs with the target QTLs were evaluated in five field experiments, and one NIL, containing the target segment on chromosome 9 (RM242–RM201) from Azucena, showed markedly increased root length under well-watered and drought stress conditions. By evaluating the grain yield of the MABC products from this study under drought stress conditions for three years, Steele et al. (106) developed a highly drought-tolerant rice variety in India named Birsa Vikas Dhan 111 (PY84) that has high grain yield and good grain quality.

Bernier et al. (12) identified a QTL on chromosome 12 (*qtI12.1*) with a significant effect on grain yield from the F₃ lines of two upland rice varieties, Vandana and Way Rarem, grown under drought stress conditions in the field for two years. The effectiveness of this QTL in improving DT was reproduced when tested in different locations and in different upland drought conditions (13). Interestingly, Mishra et al. (72) tested the effect of *qtI12.1* in the rice variety Sabitri, which is a high-yielding but drought-susceptible recipient, and found that *qtI12.1* has a strong effect on

grain yield under lowland drought conditions. These studies suggest that *qt12.1* is a major QTL controlling grain yield under drought stress and that it can be used in molecular breeding for improved DR.

Recently, Uga et al. (113) cloned and characterized the QTL *Deeper Rooting 1 (DRO1)*, which controls root growth angle. Higher expression of *DRO1* increases the root growth angle so that the roots grow in a more downward direction. When *DRO1* was introduced into a shallow-rooting rice cultivar by backcrossing, the NIL lines exhibited enhanced DA by increasing deep rooting and maintained high-yield performance under drought conditions. *DRO1* is the first root QTL that has been cloned in crops, and this work further confirmed that control of RSA can contribute significantly to DA.

Some QTLs conferring DR in other crops were also validated by MABC. In maize, a major QTL (*root-ABA1*) for root traits and leaf ABA has been identified (31, 54), and the effect of this QTL was also tested by MABC. The backcross-derived NILs growing in the field showed higher leaf ABA content, confirming the strong and steady effect of *root-ABA1* on ABA induction under different watering conditions (53, 54). In sorghum, four QTLs (*stg1-4*) for the stay-green trait were detected using a population from BT × 642 and RT × 7000, in which BT × 642 is the resource for the stay-green trait. Physiological evaluation showed that RT × 7000 NILs harboring the *stg2* region from BT × 642 displayed more green leaf area than other NILs under terminal drought conditions (36). Multiple NILs with QTLs for yield- and drought-related physiological traits in cotton exhibited the expected phenotype, with lower osmotic potential and higher $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ compared with the recipient parent, examined in two field trials with two irrigation regimes (57).

All of the above-mentioned reports clearly show that considerable improvement of DR in crops can be achieved by MAS, which allows for the pyramiding of genes at two or more loci to improve DR. However, the accuracy and precision in QTL identification, the complexity of genetic and environmental interactions, the large number of genes controlling grain yield, and the erroneous use of mapping populations have affected the progress of MAS for DR improvement. With the accessibility of genome sequence information for each crop, integrated genetic and physical maps with a high density of single-nucleotide polymorphisms and other markers for specific DR traits will lead to a substantial role for MAS in molecular breeding for DR.

3. FUNCTIONAL GENOMICS OF DROUGHT RESISTANCE AT VARIOUS LEVELS

Because DR has a complex genetic basis and diverse mechanisms, it is not unexpected that hundreds to thousands of genes or proteins are up- or downregulated under drought stress conditions, and these changes occur mostly on a subcellular scale and often for a short time in specific organs. Functional genomics approaches have recently been adopted to study the molecular basis of DR at the transcriptomic, proteomic, metabolomic, and epigenetic levels.

The early transcriptomic studies were based on large numbers of expressed sequence tags from either normalized or nonnormalized cDNA libraries of drought-stressed tissues from plant genotypes with distinct resistance to drought stress. Bioinformatic analysis of the publicly available expressed sequence tags for various crops provides another option to identify drought-responsive genes. However, the functions of these genes identified by bioinformatic analyses need to be confirmed by laboratory research. With the rapid development of microarray and DNA chip technologies, genome-wide transcript profiling has been widely applied to identify drought-responsive genes in crops. By using Affymetrix GeneChip technology, Wang et al. (115) found that 5,284 genes were differentially expressed in drought-stressed rice seedlings. Lenka et al. (56) compared the transcriptomes of the drought-tolerant rice variety N22 and the drought-susceptible rice variety

IR64 and found that DT is contributed mainly by the enhanced expression of several enzyme-encoding genes. In the same IR64 cultivar, Ray et al. (91) identified 1,563 upregulated genes and 1,746 downregulated genes under water-deficit stress conditions. Degenkolbe et al. (21) investigated the gene expression profiles of four rice varieties (the drought-tolerant varieties IR57311 and LG93-4 and the drought-sensitive varieties Nipponbare and Taipei 309) under drought stress conditions using a 20K oligonucleotide microarray constructed with support from the National Science Foundation. They found that only 245 and 413 genes were commonly suppressed and induced, respectively, in all four cultivars, and more genes were regulated in the sensitive varieties than in the tolerant varieties.

With the advent of next-generation sequencing technology, RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) has been widely applied in expression profiling because of its advantages in finding novel transcripts, splicing models, allele-specific expression, and splicing junctions (68). By using this technique, Dugas et al. (24) identified 28,335 unique transcripts, compared against the transcript databases of rice, maize, and *Arabidopsis*, from sorghum root and shoot treated with polyethylene glycol or ABA. Of the genes producing these transcripts, more than 50 were novel drought-responsive genes. In maize, RNA-seq analysis of ovary and leaf meristems exposed to well-watered or drought conditions revealed that many cell division- and cell cycle-related genes in the drought-stressed ovary were downregulated, whereas many genes in ABA-related pathways were upregulated (48). Although these profiling data were derived from different techniques and are not sufficient to completely explain the diverse DR mechanisms of crops, useful clues can be found by intensively exploring these large data sets along with other functional genomics data sets.

A few studies have reported proteomic or metabolomic changes in crops under drought stress. For instance, Ali & Komatsu (2) investigated the initial responses of rice seedlings to drought stress and found that 10 and 2 proteins were induced and suppressed, respectively, in which an actin depolymerizing factor could be considered a target protein induced by drought, because the expression of the actin depolymerizing factor was higher in a drought-tolerant rice variety before stress and increased further in leaf blades, leaf sheath, and roots under drought stress conditions. Shu et al. (103) identified 60 proteins in rice seedlings that were responsive to drought stress, with the upregulated proteins related mainly to protein folding and assembly. The authors also identified 37 metabolites differentially expressed under drought stress conditions by employing a gas chromatography-mass spectrometry method using plants exposed to the same treatment conditions. Combination analysis of the proteomic and metabolic data revealed that the increased expression of enzymes involved in anabolic pathways may result in increased levels of six amino acids. Another study showed that protective and stress-related proteins (mainly chaperones and dehydrins) were commonly upregulated in both drought-sensitive and drought-tolerant maize varieties under drought stress conditions, but the number and induction levels of the upregulated proteins were generally lower in the sensitive genotypes (9).

Upon exposure to drought stress, plants produce diverse compounds (metabolites) to adapt to the stress. Alvarez et al. (3) detected and quantified the concentration changes of 31 compounds, including ABA, cytokinin, cytokinin-6-benzylaminopurine (BAP), and some phenylpropanoids in maize xylem sap exposed to drought stress conditions. Another study used gas chromatography-mass spectrometry to compare the metabolomic differences of 21 rice cultivars with different DR under drought stress conditions, and the data showed that eight metabolites were positively correlated with DR performance (20). Such comparative proteomic and metabolomic analyses may help reveal the complex mechanisms of DR and provide good candidates for molecular breeding to improve DT.

Evidence suggests that the drought stress response may also be regulated at the epigenetic level, which may be an important mechanism for regulating DR. Wang et al. (117) compared

the genome-wide DNA methylation changes in the drought-resistant rice variety DK151 and the drought-susceptible rice variety IR64. They found that 12.1% of the total site-specific methylation was induced by drought, and the differential methylation/demethylation between DK151 and IR64 was associated with DR performance. Zong et al. (150) investigated the genome-wide distribution and changes in histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation (H3K4me3) in rice under drought stress conditions and found 3,927 and 910 genes that exhibited increased and decreased H3K4me3 modification, respectively. The modification level was increased mainly in genes with low expression levels and decreased in those with high expression levels. In another study, Shaik & Ramakrishna (98) investigated the scope and extent of epigenetic modification and miRNA-mediated regulation under drought stress conditions through bioinformatic analyses of 5,468 drought-responsive genes. The results showed that one-third of the drought-responsive genes are targeted by two-thirds of all known predicted miRNAs, and approximately 75% of the drought-responsive genes possibly involved in chromatin remodeling were downregulated under drought stress conditions.

Overall, the functional genomics studies to date in crops exposed to drought stress conditions are fragmental and insufficient to reveal the molecular basis of DR. However, such large data sets derived from genomics-based approaches provide valuable resources for discovering novel information, especially for identifying important molecular components for DR and elucidating their functions.

4. GENETIC ENGINEERING OF DROUGHT-RESISTANCE GENES IN CROPS

To adapt to drought stress, plants have evolved multiple interconnected chains of signaling processes to regulate different sets of drought-responsive genes for producing various classes of proteins, including TFs, enzymes, molecular chaperones, and other functional proteins. These proteins function accordingly to enhance plant resistance under drought conditions. Hundreds or even thousands of genes (as well as proteins and other regulatory elements) that control the processes of plant responses have been identified by the diverse functional genomics approaches described above. In parallel to this, many of these genes have been genetically manipulated or engineered to test their effects on improving DR in crops by overexpression and suppression transgenic technologies (**Table 1**).

The ectopic expression or suppression of regulatory genes could potentially activate multiple mechanisms of stress tolerance. Genes encoding various TF family members, such as dehydration-responsive element-binding factor (DREB), basic leucine zipper (bZIP), zinc-finger proteins, and NAM-ATAF-CUC2 (NAC), have been identified as involved in regulating DT and are promising for improving DR in crops (4, 16, 26, 132). ABA-responsive element-binding proteins/factors (AREBs/ABFs) are bZIP TFs known to function in ABA-dependent regulatory systems in response to drought stress. Overexpression of AREB1/ABF2, ABF3, or AREB2/ABF4 in *Arabidopsis* enhanced ABA sensitivity and DT (27, 49). When *ABF3* was overexpressed in rice under the control of the maize ubiquitin promoter (Ubi1P), rice seedlings showed delayed leaf rolling and wilting after drought stress treatment (79). Other rice bZIP TFs, such as OsbZIP23 (driven by Ubi1P), OsbZIP46 in the constitutively active form (driven by Ubi1P), and OsbZIP72 (driven by the CaMV35P promoter), have been tested in rice, and overexpression of the genes encoding these TFs resulted in improved DT (64, 107, 123). Moreover, *SIAREB1*-overexpressing tomato plants showed increased tolerance to water stress (80), and overexpression of the *GmbZIP* gene in soybean resulted in not only enhanced DT but also enhanced tolerance to salt and cold stresses (28).

NAC is a plant-specific TF family with a highly conserved DNA-binding domain, and many genes belonging to this family are responsive to drought stress (26, 34). *Stress-responsive NAC*

Table 1 Major genes that have been tested for drought resistance in major crops

Functional category	Gene	Protein function	Origin	Transformation receptor	Materials, expression	Testing conditions and stages	Parameters	Reference			
Signaling factors	Protein kinases	MAPKs	<i>OsMAPK5</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35S</i> P	GH, VS	Survivability	126		
			<i>NPK1</i>	<i>N. tabacum</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, rice <i>Act1</i> IP/ <i>LEA3-IP</i> (stress inducible)	FD, RS	Seed setting rate	124		
	CIPK	<i>DSM1</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	HO, VS	Plant growth	76		
		<i>OsCIPK12</i>	CBL-interacting protein kinase	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35S</i> P	GH, VS	Survivability	122		
	CDPK	<i>OsCDPK7</i>	Calcium-dependent protein kinase	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35S</i> P	GH, VS	Plant growth, F_v/F_m	96		
		<i>OsSIK1</i>	Receptor-like kinase	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35S</i> P	GH, VS	Survivability	81		
	Transcription factors	AP2/ERF	Other kinase	<i>SOS2</i>	Serine/threonine kinase	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, rice <i>LEA3-IP</i>	FD, RS	Seed setting rate	124
				<i>OsDREB1A, -1B</i>	DREB1/CBF	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35S</i> P/maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	DH/GH, VS	Survivability	43
				<i>DREB1A, -1B, -1C</i>	DREB1/CBF	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	DH/GH, VS	Survivability	43
				<i>DREB1A</i>	DREB1/CBF	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	GH, VS	Survivability	79
				<i>DREB1A</i>	DREB1/CBF	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, rice <i>LEA3-IP</i>	FD, RS	Yield, seed setting rate	124
				<i>HcCBF4</i>	DREB1/CBF	<i>H. vulgare</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	GH, VS	Survivability	78
<i>OsDREB1F</i>				DREB1/CBF	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35S</i> P	DH, VS	Survivability	116	
<i>OsDREB1G, -2B</i>				DREB1/CBF, DREB2	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35S</i> P	GH, VS	Survivability	15	
<i>OsDREB2A</i>				DREB2	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>ARPC</i> (stress inducible)	HO/GH, VS	Survivability	17	
<i>TadDREB2, -3</i>				DREB	<i>T. aestivum</i>	<i>T. aestivum</i> / <i>H. vulgare</i>	OE, double <i>CaMV35S</i> P/maize <i>Rab17P</i>	GH, VS	Multiple	73	
<i>HARDY</i>	AP2/ERF-like	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35S</i> P	GH, VS	Survivability, WUE, photosynthesis	50				

bZIP	<i>OsbZIP23</i>	bZIP	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	GH, VS/RS	Relative yield	123
	<i>OsbZIP46</i> (constitutive active form)	bZIP	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	HO/GH, VS/RS	Survivability, relative yield	107
	<i>OsbZIP72</i>	bZIP	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35S</i> P	DH, VS	Survivability	64
	<i>ABF3</i>	bZIP	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	GH, VS	Survivability, F_v/F_m	79
	<i>SlAREB1</i>	bZIP	<i>S. lycopersicum</i>	<i>S. lycopersicum</i>	<i>S. lycopersicum</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35S</i> P	DH/VS	Multiple	80
	<i>SNAC1</i>	NAC	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35S</i> P	GH/FD, VS/RS	Survivability, seed setting rate	39
	<i>OsNAC9</i>	NAC	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, rice <i>RC:3P</i> (root-specific expression)	GH/FD, VS/RS	Multiple	92
	<i>OsNAC10</i>	NAC	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, rice <i>RC:3P</i>	GH/FD, VS/RS	Multiple	45
	<i>OsNAC5</i>	NAC	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, rice <i>RC:3P</i>	GH/FD, VS/RS	Multiple	46
	<i>OsNAC6</i>	NAC	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	DH, VS	Survivability	74
	<i>SNAC1</i>	NAC	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>T. aestivum</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	GH, VS	RLWC, chlorophyll content	95
	<i>TaNAC69</i>	NAC	<i>T. aestivum</i>	<i>T. aestivum</i>	<i>T. aestivum</i>	OE, barley <i>HvDhn8s/HvDhn4s</i> (stress inducible)	GH, VS	Multiple	129
	<i>DST</i>	C2H2 zinc finger				EMS mutant	HO/GH, VS	Survivability	40
	<i>ZFP252</i>	C2H2 zinc finger							
<i>Zat10</i>	C2H2-EAR zinc finger								
<i>OsMYB2</i>	MYB								
<i>TaP1N1P1</i>	R2R3 MYB								
<i>SmMYB1R-1</i>	R1-type MYB-like								
<i>OsWRKY11</i>	WRKY								
<i>OsWRKY30</i>	WRKY								
Other transcription factors									

(Continued)

Table 1 (Continued)

Functional category	Gene	Protein function	Origin	Transformation receptor	Materials, expression	Testing conditions and stages	Parameters	Reference
Protein degradation	<i>OsDJS1</i>	E3 ubiquitin ligase			RI	GH, VS	Survivability	77
	<i>OsDSG1</i>	E3 ubiquitin ligase			KM	GH, VS	Fresh weight	82
	<i>OsSDIR1</i>	E3 ubiquitin ligase	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	GH, VS	Survivability	29
	<i>OsRDCP1</i>	E3 ubiquitin ligase	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35SP</i>	GH, VS	Survivability	8
Protein modification	<i>SQS1</i>	Farnesyltransferase/squalene synthase			RI	GH, VS/RS	Survivability, relative yield	69
Other nuclear proteins	<i>OsSKIPa</i>	Ski-interacting protein	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35SP</i>	GH, VS/RS	Survivability, yield	38
	<i>OSRIP18</i>	Ribosome-inactivating protein	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35SP</i>	HO/GH, VS/RS	Survivability	47
Functional proteins								
Metabolism of abscisic acid	<i>DSM2</i>	Carotene hydroxylase	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35SP</i>	GH, VS/RS	Survivability, seed setting rate	22
	<i>LOS5</i>	Molybdenum cofactor sulfurase	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, rice <i>Actin1P/LEA3-1P</i>	FD, RS	Yield, seed setting rate	124
	<i>LOS5</i>	Molybdenum cofactor sulfurase	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>G. max</i>	OE, superpromoter (enhancer and promoter)	GH/FD, VS	Multiple	60
	<i>LOS5</i>	Molybdenum cofactor sulfurase	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>G. hirsutum</i>	OE, superpromoter	GH, VS	Multiple	140
Metabolism of other hormones	<i>IPT</i>	Isopentenyltransferase	<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, bean <i>S/HPKP</i> (maturation and stress inducible)	GH, RS	Yield, biomass	83
	<i>IPT</i>	Isopentenyltransferase	<i>A. tumefaciens</i>	<i>G. hirsutum</i>	OE, bean <i>S/HPKP</i> (maturation and stress inducible)	GH, VS	Multiple	51

Osmotic adjustment	Trehalose	<i>OsTPSI</i>	Trehalose-6-phosphate synthase	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, rice <i>Actin1P</i>	DH/HO, VS	Survivability	58
		<i>TPSP (otsA + otsB)</i>	Trehalose-6-phosphate synthase/phosphatase	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>ARPC</i> /rice <i>rhcSP</i> (mesophyll-specific expression)	GH, VS	Plant growth, F_v/F_m	30
		<i>TPSP (otsA + otsB)</i>	Trehalose-6-phosphate synthase/phosphatase	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	GH, VS	Plant growth, F_v/F_m	44
Proline		<i>P5CS</i>	Δ^1 -Pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase	<i>P. aconitifolius</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>ARPC</i>	GH, VS	Fresh weight	149
	Mannitol	<i>mtlD</i>	Mannitol-1-phosphate dehydrogenase	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>T. aestivum</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	GH, VS	Multiple	1
Dehydrin/LEA		<i>OsLEA3-1</i>	LEA protein	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35SP</i> /rice <i>LEA3-1P</i>	GH/FD, RS	Yield, seed setting rate	125
		<i>OsLEA3-2</i>	LEA protein	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35SP</i>	GH, VS	Survivability, grains per spike	23
		<i>HVA1</i>	LEA protein	<i>H. vulgare</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, rice <i>Actin1P</i>	GH, VS	Plant growth, survivability, relative water content	7
		<i>HVA1</i>	LEA protein	<i>H. vulgare</i>	<i>T. aestivum</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	FD, VS	WUE, biomass	104
Other transporters		<i>AtNHX1</i>	Na^+/H^+ antiporter	<i>A. thaliana</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, rice <i>Actin1P</i>	FD, RS	Seed setting rate	124
		<i>OsPIN3t</i>	Auxin efflux carrier	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, <i>CaMV35SP</i>	HO/GH, VS	Plant growth, survivability	143
Reactive oxygen species scavenging		<i>betA, TsVP</i>	Choline dehydrogenase	<i>E. coli (betA), T. halophila (TsVP)</i>	<i>Z. mays</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	GH/VS	Multiple	120
		<i>OsSRO1c</i>	Similar to RCD1	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE/KM, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	HO/GH/FD, VS	Multiple	136
Amino acid metabolism		<i>OsOAT</i>	Ornithine δ -aminotransferase	<i>O. sativa</i>	<i>O. sativa</i>	OE, maize <i>Ubi1P</i>	GH/FD, VS/RS	Survivability, relative seed setting rate	135

Species: *A. hypogaea*, *Arachis hypogaea*; *A. thaliana*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*; *A. tumefaciens*, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*; *E. coli*, *Escherichia coli*; *G. birsutum*, *Gossypium birsutum*; *G. max*, *Glycine max*; *H. vulgare*, *Hordeum vulgare*; *N. tabacum*, *Nicotiana tabacum*; *O. sativa*, *Oryza sativa*; *P. aconitifolius*, *Phasolus aconitifolius*; *S. lycopersicum*, *Solanum lycopersicum*; *S. tuberosum*, *Solanum tuberosum*; *T. aestivum*, *Triticum aestivum*; *T. halophila*, *Thellungiella halophila*; *Z. mays*, *Zea mays*. Testing conditions: DH, dehydration; HO, high osmotic medium supplied with polyethylene glycol or mannitol; GH, greenhouse or growth chamber (with plants grown in pots containing soil); FD, field. Testing stages: VS, vegetative or seedling stage; RS, reproductive or flowering stage. Other abbreviations: KM, knockout mutant; OE, overexpression; RI, RNA interference repression; RLWC, relative leaf water content; WUE, water-use efficiency. "Multiple" denotes that more than three parameters analyzed.

1 (*SNAC1*) is a member of the rice NAC family and is specifically induced in guard cells by drought stress conditions. Overexpression of *SNAC1* in rice resulted in significantly enhanced DR under severe drought conditions in the field at the reproductive stage (22–34% higher seed setting) without any phenotypic changes or yield penalty under normal growth conditions (39). The *SNAC1*-overexpressing rice showed a significant reduction in stomatal aperture, and the enhanced DT appeared to be due partially to the increased WUE and increased ABA sensitivity in guard cells (39). When *SNAC1* was overexpressed in wheat, transgenic wheat also showed improved DR (95), providing additional promising evidence for the value of this gene.

In addition to *SNAC1*, several other NAC gene family members in rice, such as *OsNAC6*, *OsNAC10*, *OsNAC5*, and *OsNAC9*, have roles in DR (45, 46, 74, 92). *OsNAC6*-overexpressing transgenic rice plants under the control of the maize ubiquitin ZmUbi promoter had 42–57% higher rates of recovery after being removed from hydroponic medium for 12 h, but the transgenic plants exhibited a growth retardation phenotype (74). *OsNAC5* can interact with *OsNAC6* and *SNAC1*, and transgenic rice overexpressing *OsNAC5* driven by the root-specific promoter RCc3 showed higher grain yields than wild-type rice under drought stress conditions in the field, but the constitutive overexpression of *OsNAC5* had no obvious effect on DR (46). Interestingly, with the same strategy, RCc3::*OsNAC9* and RCc3::*OsNAC10* transgenic rice also showed significantly enhanced DT at the reproductive stage in field trials (45, 92). NAC genes from other crops were also tested for their effect on DT. When the *TaNAC69* gene from bread wheat was overexpressed in bread barley leaves and roots through use of a barley drought-inducible HvDhn4s promoter, the transgenic plants produced more shoot biomass under water-deficit conditions (129).

Some DREBs/CBFs function in ABA-independent drought-responsive pathways, and members of this family from many plant species have been characterized as improving DT. The function of the DREBs (which include two subclasses, DREB1 and DREB2) in stress tolerance was found first in *Arabidopsis* (62). The DREB1/CBF regulatory function has been intensively explored to determine whether it can improve DT in crops. *Arabidopsis* *DREB1A*, *-1B*, and *-1C* and rice *OsDREB1A*, *-1B*, *-1E*, *-1F*, and *-1G* driven either by the CaMV35S, ZmUbi, or *OsActin1p* promoters or by the drought-inducible HVA22P promoter have been transformed in rice or other crops, and the transgenic plants showed increased DT in greenhouse and field trials (15, 43, 79, 116, 124). *OsHVA22P::AtDREB1A* plants showed significantly higher relative spikelet fertility and yield than wild-type control plants after severe drought stress at the panicle development stage (124). Unlike the plants with growth defects, which resulted from the overexpression of *OsDREB1A* and *OsDREB1B* (43), transgenic rice overexpressing *OsDREB1F* and *OsDREB1G* showed no obvious growth retardation (15, 116).

DREB2 TFs have also been tested for their functions in DT. For example, introducing *OsDREB2A* under the control of the stress-inducible promoter ABRC and *OsDREB2B* driven by the CaMV35S promoter into rice resulted in transgenic plants that exhibited improved DT (17, 116). Similarly, the drought-induced expression of wheat *TaDREB2* and *TaDREB3* resulted in improved survival rates of transgenic wheat and barley under severe drought stress conditions, whereas no obvious growth defects were observed under normal growth conditions. These results are in contrast to the growth retardation and delayed flowering observed in transgenic plants constitutively overexpressing *TaDREB2* and *TaDREB3* (73). In general, these reports suggest that DREB TFs have promising potential for crop engineering for DT when suitable drought-inducible promoters are used to avoid the unwanted side effects.

Quite a few zinc-finger proteins confer DR in crops. Transgenic rice overexpressing *OsZFP252* showed enhanced DR, with 74–79% higher survival rates and increased accumulation of proline and soluble sugars (127). DST, another rice zinc-finger protein, acts as a negative regulator of

stomatal closure by modulating H₂O₂ homeostasis, and the *dst* mutant exhibited enhanced DT and salt tolerance through increased stomatal closure and reduced stomatal density (40). When *Arabidopsis ZAT10* induced by DREB1A/CBF3 was overexpressed in rice, the transgenic plants showed 17–36% increased yield production compared with the wild type under severe drought stress conditions in the field (124).

Numerous TFs from other families and nuclear proteins also function in DT. Overexpression of *OsWRKY11* in rice by the heat-inducible promoter HSP101 significantly improved drought and heat tolerance (121), and *OsWRKY30* also regulates DT in rice (99). Transgenic rice overexpressing *OsMYB2* showed improved DT and increased accumulation of soluble sugars but decreased levels of H₂O₂ and malondialdehyde (133). Two additional studies reported that *TaPIMP1*-overexpressing transgenic wheat and *StMYB1R-1*-overexpressing potato plants also showed improved tolerance to dehydration stress (101, 146). Nuclear factor Y (NF-Y) is a CCAAT-binding TF composed of A, B, and C subunits; in *Arabidopsis*, *AtNF-YB1* and *AtNF-YA5* were able to improve DR when constitutively overexpressed (59, 75). Overexpression of maize *ZmNY-YB2*, an ortholog of *AtNF-YB1*, in maize plants resulted in improved DT, with an increased yield of ~50% under relatively severe drought stress conditions in the field (75).

A few nuclear proteins, such as OsSKIPa (38) and OsRIP18 (47), which function as transcriptional coregulators, have also been identified in stress tolerance. OsSKIPa is a rice homolog of the human Ski-interacting protein (SKIP), and *OsSKIPa*-overexpressing transgenic rice exhibited significantly enhanced DT at the reproductive stage, which was partially contributed by the increased ROS-scavenging ability and activation of many stress-related genes (38).

Posttranscriptional protein modifications such as protein phosphorylation/dephosphorylation, protein degradation/modification, and sensing of secondary messengers such as Ca²⁺ play important roles in stress signaling and regulation. Some TFs and drought-responsive proteins need to be phosphorylated/dephosphorylated or modified by posttranslational regulation to become active, and genes encoding mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades, calcineurin B-like protein interacting protein kinase (CIPK), calcium-dependent protein kinase (CDPK or CPK), and receptor-like kinases have roles in drought stress signaling and regulation pathways (Table 1). OsMAPK5 was the first characterized protein kinase in rice for regulating drought and other abiotic stresses, but it negatively regulates biotic stress (126). When the kinase domain of *NPK1* [a tobacco MAPK kinase kinase (MAPKKK)] was constitutively overexpressed in maize, the transgenic lines showed enhanced DT by maintaining a high photosynthetic rate. The drought-stressed transgenic maize plants produced kernels comparable to those produced under well-watered conditions (102). Similarly, when *NPK1* was overexpressed in rice by a drought-inducible promoter, the transgenic rice showed significantly increased rates of spikelet fertility (23% higher than those of the controls) and grain production (28% higher than those of the controls) under severe drought stress conditions in the field (124). Another MAPKKK, DSM1, also confers osmotic stress tolerance in rice, mainly through ROS-scavenging mechanisms (76). Two types of Ca²⁺-sensing protein kinases, CIPK and CDPK, are also involved in stress signaling. *OsCDPK7*- and *OsCIPK12*-overexpressing rice showed increased tolerance to drought (96, 122). The observed DT in rice conferred by OsCIPK12 correlated with a significant increase in the proline and soluble sugar content after exposure to drought stress conditions (122). Among the other cases, overexpression of the receptor-like protein kinase gene *OsSIK1* resulted in improved drought and salt tolerance, with increased activities of peroxidase and superoxide and lower accumulation of H₂O₂ (81). Overexpression of the *Arabidopsis* serine/threonine kinase gene *SOS2* under the control of a rice drought-inducible promoter enhanced DR under field conditions (124).

Farnesylation is a posttranslational modification in which a farnesyl group is added to inactive target proteins so that they are targeted to membranes. Transgenic canola plants in which

farnesyltransferase FTB or FTA was suppressed by the drought-inducible RD29A promoter exhibited significantly higher yields than control plants under drought stress conditions in field trials (118, 119). Suppressing the rice farnesyltransferase SQS by RNA interference greatly enhanced DR through reduced stomatal conductance and higher relative water content at both the vegetative and reproductive stages (69). Protein degradation is another way to inactivate protein function. Diverse proteins such as E3 ligases, RING-domain-containing proteins, and F-box proteins are involved in the protein degradation pathway. Among the E3 ligases identified in rice, OsSDIR1 and OsRDCP1 positively regulate DT (8, 29), whereas OsDIS1 and OsDSG1 negatively regulate DT (77, 82).

Under drought stress conditions, ABA is synthesized and accumulates in guard cells, where it triggers stomatal closure to reduce water loss (97). The roles of many proteins in the ABA signaling pathway—such as TFs, protein kinases, and other regulatory proteins—have been reviewed (134), and some of them were described above in terms of their ability to improve DR in crops. Some genes in the ABA metabolism pathway have also been tested for their roles in DR in crops. LOS5/ABA3, a molybdenum cofactor sulfurase, is a key enzyme functioning in the final step of ABA biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis*. When *LOS5/ABA3* was overexpressed in rice, the transgenic plants showed improved spikelet fertility and grain yield under drought stress conditions in the field (124). LOS5 also had a positive effect on improving DR when overexpressed in soybean and cotton (60, 140). NCED (9-*cis*-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase) is a drought-inducible and rate-limiting enzyme involved in ABA biosynthesis; positive effects on DR were observed in transgenic rice overexpressing *Arabidopsis* NCED2 (124) and tomato plants overexpressing the endogenous gene *LeNCED1* (109). Recently, the rice gene *DSM2*, encoding a β -carotene hydroxylase that converts β -carotene to zeaxanthin (an ABA precursor), has been identified as having a positive role in improving DR in rice (22).

In addition to genes associated with the ABA pathway, several genes functioning in other hormone metabolism pathways have been investigated for their effects on stress tolerance. Overexpression of a rice *IPT* gene, encoding a critical enzyme for cytokinin biosynthesis, resulted in increased DR and increased grain yield by regulating hormone synthesis and homeostasis (84). Similar results were obtained by overexpressing different *IPT* homolog genes in other crops, including cotton and tobacco (51, 93). These results indicate that IPTs from different species have the same functions, thus providing promising candidates for engineering DR in crops.

Genes encoding late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins are often strongly induced at the later stages of drought stress, and some of them act as chaperones. Overexpression of barley *HVA1* (encoding a LEA protein) in rice and wheat led to improved growth performance and WUE under drought stress conditions, and the increased DR of *HVA1*-overexpressing transgenic wheat has been verified in several field trials (7, 104). Recently, two rice LEA genes, *OsLEA3-1* and *OsLEA3-2*, have been reported to play roles in drought stress regulation (23, 125). Transgenic rice overexpressing *OsLEA3-1* exhibited significantly less yield loss than control plants under severe drought stress conditions in field trials (125).

Osmoprotectants are small, nontoxic molecules that can stabilize proteins and cell membranes or scavenge ROS during exposure to stress conditions. Most crops cannot synthesize the osmoprotectants that accumulate in stress-tolerant species. Increasing the osmoprotectant synthesis/metabolic pathways for the production of osmolytes has also been attempted in order to increase the DR of crops. Transformation of a mannitol dehydrogenase gene (*mtlD*) into wheat resulted in enhanced DT under water-limiting conditions (1). However, no significant differences in osmotic adjustment between the *mtlD* transgenic wheat and control plants were observed, suggesting that the effect of mannitol resulted from protective mechanisms, mainly by stabilization of macromolecules, rather than by osmotic adjustment.

Proline is one of the common plant osmoprotectants, and during drought stress, its biosynthesis is promoted and its degradation is prevented. Overexpression of a gene encoding 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase (P5CS), the rate-limiting enzyme in proline biosynthesis, improved the DT of transgenic rice (149). Recently, similar results in enhancing DT were reported in transgenic petunia plants overexpressing the *AtP5CS* and *OsP5CS* genes (131). Suppression of the gene encoding 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase (P5CR) in soybean resulted in increased sensitivity to water deficit and heat stresses (19), whereas overexpression of the *P5CR* gene resulted in increased relative water content in leaves with overaccumulation of proline under drought stress conditions (18). Ornithine δ -aminotransferase (δ -OAT) is a pyridoxal-5'-phosphate-dependent enzyme that has been proposed to be involved in proline and arginine metabolism. Transgenic rice overexpressing *OsOAT* showed increased proline levels and enhanced tolerance to drought and oxidative stresses (135).

Trehalose plays an important role in abiotic stress and functions as a reserve carbohydrate and stress protectant, stabilizing proteins against denaturation. In plants, trehalose biosynthesis is catalyzed by two key enzymes: trehalose-6-phosphate synthase (TPS) and trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase (TPP). To improve the DT of rice by increasing the accumulation of trehalose, a TPP/TPS fusion gene from the *Escherichia coli* trehalose biosynthetic genes (*otsA* and *otsB*) was introduced into rice, and the transgenic plants indeed exhibited increased amounts of trehalose and improved DT (30, 44). In addition, the transgenic rice exhibited less photooxidative damage under salt and low-temperature stresses. Similar results were obtained from the overexpression of *OsTPS1* (58) and *AtTPS1* (5). These results confirm the role of trehalose in carbon metabolism; however, these transgenic plants were seldom tested under field conditions.

5. DROUGHT-RESISTANCE BREEDING IN CROPS

New crop varieties with improved DR and/or WUE have been developed through conventional breeding approaches in the past decade, and some DR breeding programs have adopted MAS technology. However, no public research institutes have reported engineering-based DR crops, although some private companies have claimed to have a few cases of genetically modified crops undergoing field trials. Here, we briefly review the progress in conventional and MAS-integrated DR breeding in crops.

Conventional breeding for DR adopts mainly a large-scale backcross strategy to develop new varieties with improved DR and high yield potential. For example, in rice, the International Rice Research Institute made a total of 322 crosses between 3 elite recurrent parents (IR64, Teqing, and IR68552-55-3-2) and 163 donor varieties of diverse origins (137). The backcross progenies derived from these crosses that showed higher yields than the recurrent parents were selected in severe-drought lowland or upland nurseries (52). Similarly, to develop new rice varieties with improved DR and high yield, the Shanghai Agrobiological Gene Center performed large-scale crossing and backcrossing using elite paddy rice varieties as recurrent parents and upland drought-resistant rice as donors. In recent years, a series of water-saving and drought-resistant rice (WDR) varieties have been developed and released, such as Huhan3, Huhan2B, and Hanyou3 (66). Because of the polygenic nature, low heritability, and high levels of genotype–environment interaction of DR-related traits, the progress of conventional DR breeding in crops is still quite slow.

With the successful application of MAS in crop breeding for relatively simple traits (such as *R* genes for disease resistance), this technology has also been deployed in breeding practices to improve crop DR. The common strategy of MABC is to introgress major QTLs for drought-resistant donor genotypes into high-yielding but less drought-resistant or drought-sensitive recipient

possible, in association with other major abiotic stresses, such as high temperature and salinity, because drought stress frequently occurs along with high-temperature stress, and crosstalk occurs between the responses to these stresses at various levels.

Numerous QTLs for drought-tolerant traits have been identified in major crops, and many attempts have been made to use these major QTLs to develop drought-tolerant crops. However, very few have proven successful, owing mainly to the influence of genetic background and the environment. Similarly, recent advances in functional genomics have made it easy to conduct large-scale and high-throughput genotyping (such as resequencing hundreds of germplasms affordably in a short period of time), which facilitates the identification of major QTLs or candidate genes for DR. However, accurate and high-throughput phenotyping of DR traits, especially in field conditions, is currently the major limiting factor for both genetic dissection and breeding of DR in crops. There is no doubt that successful cloning of QTLs for DR traits will enable us to better understand the genetic basis of the traits and more effectively manipulate the traits for DR breeding. In addition to the commonly used MABC, newly emerging breeding approaches such as MARS and genome-wide selection provide more powerful tools for pyramiding multiple QTLs or integrating multiple traits for DR (**Figure 1**).

Many genes have been identified that could improve crop DR through transgenic approaches. However, the effects of these genes on DR have been tested mainly in greenhouses with plants grown in small pots or only at the seedling stages. Only a few have been tested in fields (**Table 1**), including the *FTA/FTB* genes in canola (118, 119); *SNAC1*, *OsNAC9*, *OsNAC10*, and *OsLEA3-1* in rice (39, 124, 125); and *ZmNF-YB2* in maize (75). Owing to the complex nature of drought stress in the field, those genes proven to be effective in improving DR in the greenhouse must be further evaluated in the field before being used in breeding programs. Some genes also have negative side effects on normal growth or yield potential (84). Identification and application of tissue-specific and drought-inducible promoters may overcome this problem, but practically, useful promoters for such purposes are limited so far.

Although hundreds of genes and QTLs related to DR have been identified in both the model plant *Arabidopsis* and many crops, our knowledge of signaling networks and the complete picture of the genetic and molecular mechanisms of DR is still limited. Most genetic and molecular studies of DR have focused on the aboveground parts of plants; the underground parts have received much less attention because of the difficulties in phenotyping. Root architecture and plasticity, which play important roles in crop growth in drought-prone environments, and stomatal movement should be focus areas for studying DR. More integrative studies that link the genetics, genomics, physiology, systems biology, and agronomics of DR are challenging but will advance our knowledge of this complex trait. Another challenge in the near future is to identify the signaling components missing in the current models of drought-response pathways and the crosstalk between the response pathways of different stresses. The last but most important challenge is how to efficiently explore the large data sets derived from “-omics” (such as genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics) and phenotyping studies of DR (**Figure 1**) in order to find or crystallize key functional units or machinery that can be used as tools for engineering and breeding DR in crops.

SUMMARY POINTS

1. Hundreds of QTLs for the diverse traits related to drought resistance have been mapped, but only a small portion of them can be repeatedly detected in different environments and populations, and very a few have been verified or cloned.

2. Increasingly huge data sets are being derived from genome-wide studies at the transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic levels, but how to efficiently explore these data sets to extract the essential functional pathways or networks for genetic improvement of drought resistance remains a significant challenge.
3. Hundreds of genes that control the key processes of drought responses or adaptation have been identified. The genes that have been proven effective for improving drought resistance in crops were summarized by highlighting those being tested in the field.
4. New crop varieties with improved drought resistance have been developed by conventional breeding approaches. Very few QTLs or functional genes have been successfully integrated into conventional breeding programs.
5. Approaches for engineering and breeding drought-resistant crops based on intensive and integrative studies that link genetics, genomics, physiology, systems biology, and agronomics will advance our knowledge of drought resistance.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Zhuyun Qi for his effort to organize the references cited here. We apologize for not being able to cite related publications owing to space limitations. Research on abiotic stresses in the authors' laboratory has been supported by grants from the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-12-0861), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (project 2013PY019), and the National Natural Science Foundation (31271515) to H.H. and grants from the National Program for Basic Research of China (2012CB114305), the National Program on High Technology Development (2012AA10A303), and the National Natural Science Foundation (31271316) to L.X.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Abebe T, Guenzi AC, Martin B, Cushman JC. 2003. Tolerance of mannitol-accumulating transgenic wheat to water stress and salinity. *Plant Physiol.* 131:1748–55
2. Ali GM, Komatsu S. 2006. Proteomic analysis of rice leaf sheath during drought stress. *J. Proteome Res.* 5:396–403
3. Alvarez S, Marsh EL, Schroeder SG, Schachtman DP. 2008. Metabolomic and proteomic changes in the xylem sap of maize under drought. *Plant Cell Environ.* 31:325–40
4. Ariel FD, Manavella PA, Dezar CA, Chan RL. 2007. The true story of the HD-Zip family. *Trends Plant Sci.* 12:419–26
5. Avonce N, Leyman B, Mascorro-Gallardo JO, Van Dijck P, Thevelein JM, Iturriaga G. 2004. The *Arabidopsis* trehalose-6-P synthase *AtTPS1* gene is a regulator of glucose, abscisic acid, and stress signaling. *Plant Physiol.* 136:3649–59
6. Babu RC, Nguyen BD, Chamarek V, Shanmugasundaram P, Chezian P, et al. 2003. Genetic analysis of drought resistance in rice by molecular markers. *Crop Sci.* 43:1457–69

7. Babu RC, Zhang J, Blum A, Ho THD, Wu R, Nguyen HT. 2004. *HVA1*, a LEA gene from barley confers dehydration tolerance in transgenic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) via cell membrane protection. *Plant Sci.* 166:855–62
8. Bae H, Kim SK, Cho SK, Kang BG, Kim WT. 2011. Overexpression of *OsRDCP1*, a rice RING domain-containing E3 ubiquitin ligase, increased tolerance to drought stress in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Sci.* 180:775–82
9. Benešová M, Holá D, Fischer L, Jedelský PL, Hnilička F, et al. 2012. The physiology and proteomics of drought tolerance in maize: early stomatal closure as a cause of lower tolerance to short-term dehydration? *PLoS ONE* 7:e38017
10. Bennett D, Izanloo A, Reynolds M, Kuchel H, Langridge P, Schnurbusch T. 2012. Genetic dissection of grain yield and physical grain quality in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under water-limited environments. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 125:255–71
11. Bernardo R. 2008. Molecular markers and selection for complex traits in plants: learning from the last 20 years. *Crop Sci.* 48:1649–64
12. Bernier J, Kumar A, Ramaiah V, Spaner D, Atlin G. 2007. A large-effect QTL for grain yield under reproductive-stage drought stress in upland rice. *Crop Sci.* 47:507–16
13. Bernier J, Kumar A, Venuprasad R, Spaner D, Verulkar S, et al. 2009. Characterization of the effect of a QTL for drought resistance in rice, *qt12.1*, over a range of environments in the Philippines and eastern India. *Euphytica* 166:207–17
14. Boyer JS. 1982. Plant productivity and environment. *Science* 218:443–48
15. Chen J-Q, Meng X-P, Zhang Y, Xia M, Wang X-P. 2008. Over-expression of *OsDREB* genes lead to enhanced drought tolerance in rice. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 30:2191–98
16. Ciftci-Yilmaz S, Mittler R. 2008. The zinc finger network of plants. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 65:1150–60
17. Cui M, Zhang W, Zhang Q, Xu Z, Zhu Z, et al. 2011. Induced over-expression of the transcription factor *OsDREB2A* improves drought tolerance in rice. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 49:1384–91
18. de Ronde JA, Cress WA, Krüger GHJ, Strasser RJ, Van Staden J. 2004. Photosynthetic response of transgenic soybean plants, containing an *Arabidopsis P5CR* gene, during heat and drought stress. *Plant Physiol.* 161:1211–24
19. de Ronde JA, Spreeth MH, Cress WA. 2000. Effect of antisense L- Δ 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase transgenic soybean plants subjected to osmotic and drought stress. *Plant Growth Regul.* 32:13–26
20. Degenkolbe T, Do PT, Kopka J, Zuther E, Hinch DK, Köhl KI. 2013. Identification of drought tolerance markers in a diverse population of rice cultivars by expression and metabolite profiling. *PLoS ONE* 8:e63637
21. Degenkolbe T, Do PT, Zuther E, Repsilber D, Walther D, et al. 2009. Expression profiling of rice cultivars differing in their tolerance to long-term drought stress. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 69:133–53
22. Du H, Wang N, Cui F, Li X, Xiao J, Xiong L. 2010. Characterization of the β -carotene hydroxylase gene *DSM2* conferring drought and oxidative stress resistance by increasing xanthophylls and abscisic acid synthesis in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 154:1304–18
23. Duan J, Cai W. 2012. *OsLEA3-2*, an abiotic stress induced gene of rice plays a key role in salt and drought tolerance. *PLoS ONE* 7:e45117
24. Dugas D, Monaco M, Olsen A, Klein R, Kumari S, et al. 2011. Functional annotation of the transcriptome of *Sorghum bicolor* in response to osmotic stress and abscisic acid. *BMC Genomics* 12:514
25. Eathington SR, Crosbie TM, Edwards MD, Reiter RS, Bull JK. 2007. Molecular markers in a commercial breeding program. *Crop Sci.* 47(Suppl. 3):S-154–63
26. Fang Y, You J, Xie K, Xie W, Xiong L. 2008. Systematic sequence analysis and identification of tissue-specific or stress-responsive genes of NAC transcription factor family in rice. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 280:547–63
27. Fujita Y, Fujita M, Satoh R, Maruyama K, Parvez MM, et al. 2005. *AREB1* is a transcription activator of novel ABRE-dependent ABA signaling that enhances drought stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 17:3470–88
28. Gao SQ, Chen M, Xu ZS, Zhao CP, Li L, et al. 2011. The soybean GmbZIP1 transcription factor enhances multiple abiotic stress tolerances in transgenic plants. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 75:537–53

38. Describes the first SKIP homology in plants that modulates drought tolerance in rice through interaction with diverse functional protein.

39. Provides the first report of manipulation of a single gene to reduce grain yield loss under severe drought conditions in a field trial.

40. Demonstrates that a novel zinc-finger protein, DST, negatively regulates stomatal closure under drought by directly modulating genes related to H₂O₂ homeostasis.

29. Gao T, Wu Y, Zhang Y, Liu L, Ning Y, et al. 2011. *OsSDIR1* overexpression greatly improves drought tolerance in transgenic rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 76:145–56
30. Garg AK, Kim J-K, Owens TG, Ranwala AP, Choi YD, et al. 2002. Trehalose accumulation in rice plants confers high tolerance levels to different abiotic stresses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99:15898–903
31. Giuliani S, Sanguineti MC, Tuberosa R, Bellotti M, Salvi S, Landi P. 2005. Root-ABA1, a major constitutive QTL, affects maize root architecture and leaf ABA concentration at different water regimes. *J. Exp. Bot.* 56:3061–70
32. Granton PV, Pollmann SI, Ford NL, Drangova M, Holdsworth DW. 2008. Implementation of dual- and triple-energy cone-beam micro-CT for postreconstruction material decomposition. *Med. Phys.* 35:5030–42
33. Graser A, Johnson TC, Chandarana H, Macari M. 2009. Dual energy CT: preliminary observations and potential clinical applications in the abdomen. *Eur. Radiol.* 19:13–23
34. Guo A-Y, Chen X, Gao G, Zhang H, Zhu Q-H, et al. 2008. PlantTFDB: a comprehensive plant transcription factor database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36:D966–69
35. Hargreaves C, Gregory P, Bengough AG. 2009. Measuring root traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *vulgare* and ssp. *spontaneum*) seedlings using gel chambers, soil sacs and X-ray microtomography. *Plant Soil* 316:285–97
36. Harris K, Subudhi P, Borrell A, Jordan D, Rosenow D, et al. 2007. Sorghum stay-green QTL individually reduce post-flowering drought-induced leaf senescence. *J. Exp. Bot.* 58:327–38
37. Hemamalini GS, Shashidhar HE, Hittalmani S. 2000. Molecular marker assisted tagging of morphological and physiological traits under two contrasting moisture regimes at peak vegetative stage in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Euphytica* 112:69–78
38. Hou X, Xie K, Yao J, Qi Z, Xiong L. 2009. A homolog of human ski-interacting protein in rice positively regulates cell viability and stress tolerance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 106:6410–15
39. Hu H, Dai M, Yao J, Xiao B, Li X, et al. 2006. Overexpressing a NAM, ATAF, and CUC (NAC) transcription factor enhances drought resistance and salt tolerance in rice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103:12987–92
40. Huang X-Y, Chao D-Y, Gao J-P, Zhu M-Z, Shi M, Lin H-X. 2009. A previously unknown zinc finger protein, DST, regulates drought and salt tolerance in rice via stomatal aperture control. *Genes Dev.* 23:1805–17
41. Ishimaru K, Shirota K, Higa M, Kawamitsu Y. 2001. Identification of quantitative trait loci for adaxial and abaxial stomatal frequencies in *Oryza sativa*. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 39:173–77
42. Ishimaru K, Yano M, Aoki N, Ono K, Hirose T, et al. 2001. Toward the mapping of physiological and agronomic characters on a rice function map: QTL analysis and comparison between QTLs and expressed sequence tags. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 102:793–800
43. Ito Y, Katsura K, Maruyama K, Taji T, Kobayashi M, et al. 2006. Functional analysis of rice DREB1/CBF-type transcription factors involved in cold-responsive gene expression in transgenic rice. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 47:141–53
44. Jang I-C, Oh S-J, Seo J-S, Choi W-B, Song SI, et al. 2003. Expression of a bifunctional fusion of the *Escherichia coli* genes for trehalose-6-phosphate synthase and trehalose-6-phosphate phosphatase in transgenic rice plants increases trehalose accumulation and abiotic stress tolerance without stunting growth. *Plant Physiol.* 131:516–24
45. Jeong JS, Kim YS, Baek KH, Jung H, Ha S-H, et al. 2010. Root-specific expression of *OsNAC10* improves drought tolerance and grain yield in rice under field drought conditions. *Plant Physiol.* 153:185–97
46. Jeong JS, Kim YS, Redillas MCFR, Jang G, Jung H, et al. 2013. *OsNAC5* overexpression enlarges root diameter in rice plants leading to enhanced drought tolerance and increased grain yield in the field. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 11:101–14
47. Jiang S-Y, Bhalla R, Ramamoorthy R, Luan H-F, Venkatesh P, et al. 2012. Over-expression of *OSRIP18* increases drought and salt tolerance in transgenic rice plants. *Transgenic Res.* 21:785–95
48. Kakumanu A, Ambavaram MMR, Klumas C, Krishnan A, Batlang U, et al. 2012. Effects of drought on gene expression in maize reproductive and leaf meristem tissue revealed by RNA-Seq. *Plant Physiol.* 160:846–67

49. Kang J-Y, Choi H-I, Im M-Y, Kim SY. 2002. *Arabidopsis* basic leucine zipper proteins that mediate stress-responsive abscisic acid signaling. *Plant Cell* 14:343–57
50. Karaba A, Dixit S, Greco R, Aharoni A, Trijatmiko KR, et al. 2007. Improvement of water use efficiency in rice by expression of *HARDY*, an *Arabidopsis* drought and salt tolerance gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104:15270–75
51. Kuppup S, Mishra N, Hu R, Sun L, Zhu X, et al. 2013. Water-deficit inducible expression of a cytokinin biosynthetic gene *IPT* improves drought tolerance in cotton. *PLoS ONE* 8:e64190
52. Lafitte HR, Li ZK, Vijayakumar CHM, Gao YM, Shi Y, et al. 2006. Improvement of rice drought tolerance through backcross breeding: evaluation of donors and selection in drought nurseries. *Field Crops Res.* 97:77–86
53. Landi P, Sanguineti MC, Liu C, Li Y, Wang T, et al. 2007. *Root-ABA1* QTL affects root lodging, grain yield, and other agronomic traits in maize grown under well-watered and water-stressed conditions. *J. Exp. Bot.* 58:319–26
54. Landi P, Sanguineti MC, Salvi S, Giuliani S, Bellotti M, et al. 2005. Validation and characterization of a major QTL affecting leaf ABA concentration in maize. *Mol. Breed.* 15:291–303
55. Laza MR, Kondo M, Ideta O, Barlaan E, Imbe T. 2010. Quantitative trait loci for stomatal density and size in lowland rice. *Euphytica* 172:149–58
56. Lenka SK, Katiyar A, Chinnusamy V, Bansal KC. 2011. Comparative analysis of drought-responsive transcriptome in *Indica* rice genotypes with contrasting drought tolerance. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 9:315–27
57. Levi A, Paterson A, Barak V, Yakir D, Wang B, et al. 2009. Field evaluation of cotton near-isogenic lines introgressed with QTLs for productivity and drought related traits. *Mol. Breed.* 23:179–95
58. Li H-W, Zang B-S, Deng X-W, Wang X-P. 2011. Overexpression of the trehalose-6-phosphate synthase gene *OsTPS1* enhances abiotic stress tolerance in rice. *Planta* 234:1007–18
59. Li W-X, Oono Y, Zhu J, He X-J, Wu J-M, et al. 2008. The *Arabidopsis* NF-YA5 transcription factor is regulated transcriptionally and posttranscriptionally to promote drought resistance. *Plant Cell* 20:2238–51
60. Li Y, Zhang J, Zhang J, Hao L, Hua J, et al. 2013. Expression of an *Arabidopsis* molybdenum cofactor sulphurase gene in soybean enhances drought tolerance and increases yield under field conditions. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 11:747–58
61. Lilley JM, Ludlow MM, McCouch SR, O'Toole JC. 1996. Locating QTL for osmotic adjustment and dehydration tolerance in rice. *J. Exp. Bot.* 47:1427–36
62. Liu Q, Kasuga M, Sakuma Y, Abe H, Miura S, et al. 1998. Two transcription factors, DREB1 and DREB2, with an EREBP/AP2 DNA binding domain separate two cellular signal transduction pathways in drought- and low-temperature-responsive gene expression, respectively, in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 10:1391–406
63. Lontoc-Roy M, Dutilleul P, Prasher SO, Han L, Brouillet T, Smith DL. 2006. Advances in the acquisition and analysis of CT scan data to isolate a crop root system from the soil medium and quantify root system complexity in 3-D space. *Geoderma* 137:231–41
64. Lu G, Gao C, Zheng X, Han B. 2009. Identification of *OsbZIP72* as a positive regulator of ABA response and drought tolerance in rice. *Planta* 229:605–15
65. Lucas M, Swarup R, Paponov IA, Swarup K, Casimiro I, et al. 2011. *SHORT-ROOT* regulates primary, lateral, and adventitious root development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* 155:384–98
66. Luo LJ. 2010. Breeding for water-saving and drought-resistance rice (WDR) in China. *J. Exp. Bot.* 61:3509–17
67. MacMillan K, Emrich K, Piepho HP, Mullins CE, Price AH. 2006. Assessing the importance of genotype × environment interaction for root traits in rice using a mapping population II: conventional QTL analysis. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 113:953–64
68. Malone J, Oliver B. 2011. Microarrays, deep sequencing and the true measure of the transcriptome. *BMC Biol.* 9:34
69. Manavalan LP, Chen X, Clarke J, Salmeron J, Nguyen HT. 2011. RNAi-mediated disruption of squalene synthase improves drought tolerance and yield in rice. *J. Exp. Bot.* 63: 163–75
70. Mian MAR, Ashley DA, Boerma HR. 1998. An additional QTL for water use efficiency in soybean. *Crop Sci.* 38:390–93

75. Demonstrates that the nuclear factor ZmNF-YB2 can greatly enhance drought tolerance of maize in the field.

71. Mian MAR, Bailey MA, Ashley DA, Wells R, Carter TE, et al. 1996. Molecular markers associated with water use efficiency and leaf ash in soybean. *Crop Sci.* 36:1252–57
72. Mishra KK, Vikram P, Yadav RB, Swamy BM, Dixit S, et al. 2013. *qDTY12.1*: a locus with a consistent effect on grain yield under drought in rice. *BMC Genet.* 14:12
73. Morran S, Eini O, Pyvovarenko T, Parent B, Singh R, et al. 2011. Improvement of stress tolerance of wheat and barley by modulation of expression of DREB/CBF factors. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 9:230–49
74. Nakashima K, Tran L-SP, Van Nguyen D, Fujita M, Maruyama K, et al. 2007. Functional analysis of a NAC-type transcription factor OsNAC6 involved in abiotic and biotic stress-responsive gene expression in rice. *Plant J.* 51:617–30
75. Nelson DE, Repetti PP, Adams TR, Creelman RA, Wu J, et al. 2007. Plant nuclear factor Y (NF-Y) B subunits confer drought tolerance and lead to improved corn yields on water-limited acres. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104:16450–55
76. Ning J, Li X, Hicks LM, Xiong L. 2010. A Raf-like MAPKKK gene *DSM1* mediates drought resistance through reactive oxygen species scavenging in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 152:876–90
77. Ning Y, Jantaturiyarat C, Zhao Q, Zhang H, Chen S, et al. 2011. The SINA E3 ligase OsDIS1 negatively regulates drought response in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 157:242–55
78. Oh S-J, Kwon C-W, Choi D-W, Song SI, Kim J-K. 2007. Expression of barley *HvCBF4* enhances tolerance to abiotic stress in transgenic rice. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 5:646–56
79. Oh S-J, Song SI, Kim YS, Jang H-J, Kim SY, et al. 2005. *Arabidopsis* CBF3/DREB1A and ABF3 in transgenic rice increased tolerance to abiotic stress without stunting growth. *Plant Physiol.* 138:341–51
80. Orellana S, Yañez M, Espinoza A, Verdugo I, González E, et al. 2010. The transcription factor SlAREB1 confers drought, salt stress tolerance and regulates biotic and abiotic stress-related genes in tomato. *Plant Cell Environ.* 33:2191–208
81. Ouyang S-Q, Liu Y-F, Liu P, Lei G, He S-J, et al. 2010. Receptor-like kinase OsSIK1 improves drought and salt stress tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa*) plants. *Plant J.* 62:316–29
82. Park G-G, Park J-J, Yoon J, Yu S-N, An G. 2010. A RING finger E3 ligase gene, *Oryza sativa* Delayed Seed Germination 1 (OsDSG1), controls seed germination and stress responses in rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 74:467–78
83. Peleg Z, Fahima T, Krugman T, Abbo S, Yakir D, et al. 2009. Genomic dissection of drought resistance in durum wheat × wild emmer wheat recombinant inbred line population. *Plant Cell Environ.* 32:758–79
84. Peleg Z, Reguera M, Tumimbang E, Walia H, Blumwald E. 2011. Cytokinin-mediated source/sink modifications improve drought tolerance and increase grain yield in rice under water-stress. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 9:747–58
85. Pinto RS, Reynolds M, Mathews K, McIntyre CL, Olivares-Villegas J-J, Chapman S. 2010. Heat and drought adaptive QTL in a wheat population designed to minimize confounding agronomic effects. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 121:1001–21
86. Price AH, Steele KA, Moore BJ, Barraclough PP, Clark LJ. 2000. A combined RFLP and AFLP linkage map of upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) used to identify QTLs for root-penetration ability. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 100:49–56
87. Price AH, Steele KA, Moore BJ, Jones RGW. 2002. Upland rice grown in soil-filled chambers and exposed to contrasting water-deficit regimes: II. Mapping quantitative trait loci for root morphology and distribution. *Field Crops Res.* 76:25–43
88. Price AH, Young EM, Tomos AD. 1997. Quantitative trait loci associated with stomatal conductance, leaf rolling and heading date mapped in upland rice (*Oryza sativa*). *New Phytol.* 137:83–91
89. Qu Y, Mu P, Zhang H, Chen C, Gao Y, et al. 2008. Mapping QTLs of root morphological traits at different growth stages in rice. *Genetica* 133:187–200
90. Quarrie S, Laurie D, Zhu J, Lebreton C, Semikhodskii A, et al. 1997. QTL analysis to study the association between leaf size and abscisic acid accumulation in droughted rice leaves and comparisons across cereals. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 35:155–65
91. Ray S, Dansana P, Giri J, Deveshwar P, Arora R, et al. 2011. Modulation of transcription factor and metabolic pathway genes in response to water-deficit stress in rice. *Funct. Integr. Genomics* 11:157–78

92. Redillas MCFR, Jeong JS, Kim YS, Jung H, Bang SW, et al. 2012. The overexpression of *OsNAC9* alters the root architecture of rice plants enhancing drought resistance and grain yield under field conditions. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 10:792–805
93. Rivero RM, Kojima M, Gepstein A, Sakakibara H, Mittler R, et al. 2007. Delayed leaf senescence induces extreme drought tolerance in a flowering plant. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104:19631–36
94. Robin S, Pathan MS, Courtois B, Lafitte R, Carandang S, et al. 2003. Mapping osmotic adjustment in an advanced back-cross inbred population of rice. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 107:1288–96
95. Saad ASI, Li X, Li H-P, Huang T, Gao C-S, et al. 2013. A rice stress-responsive NAC gene enhances tolerance of transgenic wheat to drought and salt stresses. *Plant Sci.* 203–204:33–40
96. Saijo Y, Hata S, Kyojuka J, Shimamoto K, Izui K. 2000. Over-expression of a single Ca^{2+} -dependent protein kinase confers both cold and salt/drought tolerance on rice plants. *Plant J.* 23:319–27
97. Schroeder JI, Allen GJ, Hugouvieux V, Kwak JM, Waner D. 2001. Guard cell signal transduction. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 52:627–58
98. Shaik R, Ramakrishna W. 2012. Bioinformatic analysis of epigenetic and microRNA mediated regulation of drought responsive genes in rice. *PLoS ONE* 7:e49331
99. Shen H, Liu C, Zhang Y, Meng X, Zhou X, et al. 2012. OsWRKY30 is activated by MAP kinases to confer drought tolerance in rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 80:241–53
100. Shen L, Courtois B, McNally KL, Robin S, Li Z. 2001. Evaluation of near-isogenic lines of rice introgressed with QTLs for root depth through marker-aided selection. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 103:75–83
101. Shin D, Moon SJ, Han S, Kim BG, Park SR, et al. 2011. Expression of *StMYB1R-1*, a novel potato single MYB-like domain transcription factor, increases drought tolerance. *Plant Physiol.* 155:421–32
102. Shou H, Bordallo P, Wang K. 2004. Expression of the *Nicotiana* protein kinase (NPK1) enhanced drought tolerance in transgenic maize. *J. Exp. Bot.* 55:1013–19
103. Shu L, Lou Q, Ma C, Ding W, Zhou J, et al. 2011. Genetic, proteomic and metabolic analysis of the regulation of energy storage in rice seedlings in response to drought. *Proteomics* 11:4122–38
104. Sivamani E, Bahieldin A, Wraith JM, Al-Niemi T, Dyer WE, et al. 2000. Improved biomass productivity and water use efficiency under water deficit conditions in transgenic wheat constitutively expressing the barley *HVA1* gene. *Plant Sci.* 155:1–9
105. Steele KA, Price AH, Shashidhar HE, Witcombe JR. 2006. Marker-assisted selection to introgress rice QTLs controlling root traits into an Indian upland rice variety. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 112:208–21
106. Steele KA, Virk DS, Kumar R, Prasad SC, Witcombe JR. 2007. Field evaluation of upland rice lines selected for QTLs controlling root traits. *Field Crops Res.* 101:180–86
107. Tang N, Zhang H, Li X, Xiao J, Xiong L. 2012. Constitutive activation of transcription factor OsbZIP46 improves drought tolerance in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 158:1755–68
108. Teulat B, This D, Khairallah M, Borries C, Ragot C, et al. 1998. Several QTLs involved in osmotic-adjustment trait variation in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 96:688–98
109. Thompson AJ, Andrews J, Mulholland BJ, McKee JMT, Hilton HW, et al. 2007. Overproduction of abscisic acid in tomato increases transpiration efficiency and root hydraulic conductivity and influences leaf expansion. *Plant Physiol.* 143:1905–17
110. Tracy SR, Roberts JA, Black CR, McNeill A, Davidson R, Mooney SJ. 2010. The X-factor: visualizing undisturbed root architecture in soils using X-ray computed tomography. *J. Exp. Bot.* 61:311–13
111. Tripathy JN, Zhang J, Robin S, Nguyen TT, Nguyen HT. 2000. QTLs for cell-membrane stability mapped in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under drought stress. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 100:1197–202
112. Tuberosa R, Sanguineti MC, Landi P, Salvi S, Casarini E, Conti S. 1998. RFLP mapping of quantitative trait loci controlling abscisic acid concentration in leaves of drought-stressed maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 97:744–55
113. Uga Y, Sugimoto K, Ogawa S, Rane J, Ishitani M, et al. 2013. Control of root system architecture by *DEEPER ROOTING 1* increases rice yield under drought conditions. *Nat. Genet.* 45:1097–102
114. Venuprasad R, Lafitte HR, Atlin GN. 2007. Response to direct selection for grain yield under drought stress in rice. *Crop Sci.* 47:285–93
115. Wang D, Pan Y, Zhao X, Zhu L, Fu B, Li Z. 2011. Genome-wide temporal-spatial gene expression profiling of drought responsiveness in rice. *BMC Genomics* 12:149

95. Demonstrates that overexpressing *SNAC1* in wheat enhances DT, providing evidence that *SNAC1* can be used in engineering DT in crops.

113. Describes the first cloning of a QTL in rice, demonstrating that higher expression of *DRO1* can enhance drought avoidance by increasing root growth angle.

116. Wang Q, Guan Y, Wu Y, Chen H, Chen F, Chu C. 2008. Overexpression of a rice *OsDREB1F* gene increases salt, drought, and low temperature tolerance in both *Arabidopsis* and rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 67:589–602
117. Wang W-S, Pan Y-J, Zhao X-Q, Dwivedi D, Zhu L-H, et al. 2011. Drought-induced site-specific DNA methylation and its association with drought tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Exp. Bot.* 62:1951–60
118. Wang Y, Beaith M, Chalifoux M, Ying J, Uchacz T, et al. 2009. Shoot-specific down-regulation of protein farnesyltransferase (α -subunit) for yield protection against drought in Canola. *Mol. Plant* 2:191–200
119. Wang Y, Ying J, Kuzma M, Chalifoux M, Sample A, et al. 2005. Molecular tailoring of farnesylation for plant drought tolerance and yield protection. *Plant J.* 43:413–24
120. Wei A, He C, Li B, Li N, Zhang J. 2011. The pyramid of transgenes *TsVP* and *BetA* effectively enhances the drought tolerance of maize plants. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 9:216–29
121. Wu X, Shiroto Y, Kishitani S, Ito Y, Toriyama K. 2009. Enhanced heat and drought tolerance in transgenic rice seedlings overexpressing *OsWRKY11* under the control of *HSP101* promoter. *Plant Cell Rep.* 28:21–30
122. Xiang Y, Huang Y, Xiong L. 2007. Characterization of stress-responsive *CIPK* genes in rice for stress tolerance improvement. *Plant Physiol.* 144:1416–28
123. Xiang Y, Tang N, Du H, Ye H, Xiong L. 2008. Characterization of OsbZIP23 as a key player of the basic leucine zipper transcription factor family for conferring abscisic acid sensitivity and salinity and drought tolerance in rice. *Plant Physiol.* 148:1938–52
124. Xiao B, Chen X, Xiang C, Tang N, Zhang Q, Xiong L. 2009. Evaluation of seven function-known candidate genes for their effects on improving drought resistance of transgenic rice under field conditions. *Mol. Plant* 2:73–83
125. Xiao B, Huang Y, Tang N, Xiong L. 2007. Over-expression of a *LEA* gene in rice improves drought resistance under the field conditions. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 115:35–46
126. Xiong L, Yang Y. 2003. Disease resistance and abiotic stress tolerance in rice are inversely modulated by an abscisic acid-inducible mitogen-activated protein kinase. *Plant Cell* 15:745–59
127. Xu D-Q, Huang J, Guo S-Q, Yang X, Bao Y-M, et al. 2008. Overexpression of a TFIIIA-type zinc finger protein gene *ZFP252* enhances drought and salt tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *FEBS Lett.* 582:1037–43
128. Xu Y, This D, Pausch R, Vonhof W, Coburn J, et al. 2009. Leaf-level water use efficiency determined by carbon isotope discrimination in rice seedlings: genetic variation associated with population structure and QTL mapping. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 118:1065–81
129. Xue GP, Way HM, Richardson T, Drenth J, Joyce PA, McIntyre CL. 2011. Overexpression of *TaNAC69* leads to enhanced transcript levels of stress up-regulated genes and dehydration tolerance in bread wheat. *Mol. Plant* 4:697–712
130. Yadav R, Courtois B, Huang N, McLaren G. 1997. Mapping genes controlling root morphology and root distribution in a doubled-haploid population of rice. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 94:619–32
131. Yamada M, Morishita H, Urano K, Shiozaki N, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, et al. 2005. Effects of free proline accumulation in petunias under drought stress. *J. Exp. Bot.* 56:1975–81
132. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. 2006. Transcriptional regulatory networks in cellular responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 57:781–803
133. Yang A, Dai X, Zhang W-H. 2012. A R2R3-type MYB gene, *OsMYB2*, is involved in salt, cold, and dehydration tolerance in rice. *J. Exp. Bot.* 63:2541–56
134. Yang S, Vanderbeld B, Wan J, Huang Y. 2010. Narrowing down the targets: towards successful genetic engineering of drought-tolerant crops. *Mol. Plant* 3:469–90
135. You J, Hu H, Xiong L. 2012. An ornithine δ -aminotransferase gene *OsOAT* confers drought and oxidative stress tolerance in rice. *Plant Sci.* 197:59–69
136. You J, Zong W, Li X, Ning J, Hu H, et al. 2013. The SNAC1-targeted gene *OsSRO1c* modulates stomatal closure and oxidative stress tolerance by regulating hydrogen peroxide in rice. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64:569–83
137. Yu SB, Xu WJ, Vijayakumar CHM, Ali J, Fu BY, et al. 2003. Molecular diversity and multilocus organization of the parental lines used in the International Rice Molecular Breeding Program. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 108:131–40

138. Yue B, Xiong L, Xue W, Xing Y, Luo L, Xu C. 2005. Genetic analysis for drought resistance of rice at reproductive stage in field with different types of soil. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 111:1127–36
139. Yue B, Xue W, Xiong L, Yu X, Luo L, et al. 2006. Genetic basis of drought resistance at reproductive stage in rice: separation of drought tolerance from drought avoidance. *Genetics* 172:1213–28
140. Yue Y, Zhang M, Zhang J, Tian X, Duan L, Li Z. 2012. Overexpression of the *AtLOS5* gene increased abscisic acid level and drought tolerance in transgenic cotton. *J. Exp. Bot.* 63:3741–48
141. Zhang J, Zheng HG, Aarti A, Pantuwan G, Nguyen TT, et al. 2001. Locating genomic regions associated with components of drought resistance in rice: comparative mapping within and across species. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 103:19–29
142. Zhang Q. 2007. Strategies for developing Green Super Rice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104:16402–9
143. Zhang Q, Li J, Zhang W, Yan S, Wang R, et al. 2012. The putative auxin efflux carrier OsPIN3t is involved in the drought stress response and drought tolerance. *Plant J.* 72:805–16
144. Zhang WP, Shen XY, Wu P, Hu B, Liao CY. 2001. QTLs and epistasis for seminal root length under a different water supply in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 103:118–23
145. Zhang X, Zhou S, Fu Y, Su Z, Wang X, Sun C. 2006. Identification of a drought tolerant introgression line derived from Dongxiang common wild rice (*O. rufipogon* Griff.). *Plant Mol. Biol.* 62:247–59
146. Zhang Z, Liu X, Wang X, Zhou M, Zhou X, et al. 2012. An R2R3 MYB transcription factor in wheat, TaPIMP1, mediates host resistance to *Bipolaris sorokiniana* and drought stresses through regulation of defense- and stress-related genes. *New Phytol.* 196:1155–70
147. Zheng BS, Yang L, Zhang WP, Mao CZ, Wu YR, et al. 2003. Mapping QTLs and candidate genes for rice root traits under different water-supply conditions and comparative analysis across three populations. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 107:1505–15
148. Zheng HG, Babu RC, Pathan MS, Ali L, Huang N, et al. 2000. Quantitative trait loci for root-penetration ability and root thickness in rice: comparison of genetic backgrounds. *Genome* 43:53–61
149. Zhu B, Su J, Chang M, Verma DPS, Fan YL, Wu R. 1998. Overexpression of a Δ^1 -pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase gene and analysis of tolerance to water- and salt-stress in transgenic rice. *Plant Sci.* 139:41–48
150. Zong W, Zhong X, You J, Xiong L. 2013. Genome-wide profiling of histone H3K4-tri-methylation and gene expression in rice under drought stress. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 81:175–88

139. Revealed that drought tolerance and drought avoidance are separately regulated by complex genetic mechanisms.
