

Annual Review of Plant Biology

Prospects for Engineering Biophysical CO₂ Concentrating Mechanisms into Land Plants to Enhance Yields

Jessica H. Hennacy and Martin C. Jonikas

Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA;
email: jhennacy@princeton.edu, mjonikas@princeton.edu

Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2020. 71:461–85

First published as a Review in Advance on
March 9, 2020

The *Annual Review of Plant Biology* is online at
plant.annualreviews.org

<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-081519-040100>

Copyright © 2020 by Annual Reviews.
All rights reserved

Keywords

carboxysome, pyrenoid, CO₂ concentrating mechanism, Rubisco, synthetic biology, crop yields

Abstract

Although cyanobacteria and algae represent a small fraction of the biomass of all primary producers, their photosynthetic activity accounts for roughly half of the daily CO₂ fixation that occurs on Earth. These microorganisms are able to accomplish this feat by enhancing the activity of the CO₂-fixing enzyme Rubisco using biophysical CO₂ concentrating mechanisms (CCMs). Biophysical CCMs operate by concentrating bicarbonate and converting it into CO₂ in a compartment that houses Rubisco (in contrast with other CCMs that concentrate CO₂ via an organic intermediate, such as malate in the case of C₄ CCMs). This activity provides Rubisco with a high concentration of its substrate, thereby increasing its reaction rate. The genetic engineering of a biophysical CCM into land plants is being pursued as a strategy to increase crop yields. This review focuses on the progress toward understanding the molecular components of cyanobacterial and algal CCMs, as well as recent advances toward engineering these components into land plants.

ANNUAL REVIEWS CONNECT

www.annualreviews.org

- Download figures
- Navigate cited references
- Keyword search
- Explore related articles
- Share via email or social media

Contents

INTRODUCTION	462
The CO ₂ -Fixing Activity of the Enzyme Rubisco Is Limited by Slow Catalytic Rate and a Competing Reaction with O ₂	462
CO ₂ Concentrating Mechanisms Promote Rubisco's Carboxylase Activity	463
Engineering a CO ₂ Concentrating Mechanism into Land Plants Has the Potential to Improve Yields	465
THE CYANOBACTERIAL CO ₂ CONCENTRATING MECHANISM	465
Inorganic Carbon Is Concentrated in the Cell by HCO ₃ ⁻ Transporters and Converted to CO ₂ by a Carbonic Anhydrase	466
Engineering a HCO ₃ ⁻ Transport System Is an Important Step in Transferring a Cyanobacterial CO ₂ Concentrating Mechanism into Land Plants	467
Shell Proteins Allow Selective Diffusion of Charged Molecules Between the Cytosol and the Carboxysome Interior	468
Linker Proteins Bind and Cluster Rubisco Inside the Carboxysome	470
Steps Have Been Taken to Build Synthetic Carboxysomes	471
THE CO ₂ CONCENTRATING MECHANISM IN EUKARYOTIC ALGAE	472
HCO ₃ ⁻ Transport Must Occur at the Plasma Membrane, Chloroplast Envelope, and Thylakoid Membrane	473
Rubisco, Linked by EPYC1, Is Clustered in the Liquid-Like Pyrenoid Matrix	474
The Pyrenoid Starch Sheath May Serve as a Diffusion Barrier to Slow CO ₂ Escape	475
Pyrenoid Tubules Are Thought to Deliver Concentrated CO ₂ and May Provide a Path for Diffusion of Calvin Benson Bassham Cycle Metabolites	476
Early Progress Suggests Promising Prospects of Transferring an Algal CO ₂ Concentrating Mechanism into Land Plants	477
Characterizing and Engineering the Algal CO ₂ Concentrating Mechanism Will Benefit from New Resources	478
CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK	479

Rubisco: D-ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase

Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP): Rubisco's substrate

3-Phosphoglycerate (3-PGA): Rubisco's product

CBB cycle: Calvin Benson Bassham cycle

k_{cat}: catalytic rate

INTRODUCTION

The CO₂-Fixing Activity of the Enzyme Rubisco Is Limited by Slow Catalytic Rate and a Competing Reaction with O₂

Carbon is an essential building block for life on Earth, and nearly all the organic carbon that is accessible to living organisms is thought to have passed through the enzyme Rubisco at some point in time. Rubisco, short for D-ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase, captures inorganic CO₂ and catalyzes its addition to ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP), generating two molecules of 3-phosphoglycerate (3-PGA) that continue through the Calvin Benson Bassham (CBB) cycle to produce a sugar precursor.

Rubisco is highly productive on a global scale, collectively fixing roughly 10¹¹ tons of carbon per year (25). However, the CO₂-fixing activity of Rubisco is limited by a slow catalytic rate and a competing reaction with O₂. Whereas the median turnover rate (k_{cat}) of central carbon metabolism enzymes is approximately 79 reactions per second (9), more than 95% of characterized Rubiscos catalyze only 1 to 10 carboxylation reactions per second (27). Furthermore, when Rubisco binds

to O_2 instead of CO_2 , it catalyzes a counterproductive oxygenation reaction that generates 2-phosphoglycolate from RuBP, which results in the removal of carbon from the CBB cycle. 2-phosphoglycolate must be recycled through a process called photorespiration that wastes energy and liberates fixed CO_2 (70).

According to molecular dynamics simulations, Rubisco has an obvious preference for CO_2 over O_2 when both gases are present at equal concentrations (99). However, at atmospheric concentrations of 21% O_2 and 0.04% CO_2 , Rubisco's oxygenase activity occurs frequently, leading to substantial photorespiration. In C_3 plants, which include trees and many crops such as rice and wheat, photorespiration results in the loss of about a quarter of fixed carbon (102).

Some organisms have evolved Rubisco with a higher specificity for CO_2 (S_{CO_2/O_2}), but this seems to come at the expense of the enzyme's turnover rate for CO_2 ($k_{cat,C}$). The examination of Rubisco orthologs from a variety of species has revealed an inverse correlation between Rubisco's $k_{cat,C}$ and its S_{CO_2/O_2} , suggesting a trade-off between the two parameters (85). A proposed explanation for this trade-off is that because CO_2 is a fairly featureless molecule, improving Rubisco's S_{CO_2/O_2} may only be possible through stabilizing the carboxyketone intermediate that forms while CO_2 is being added to RuBP (96). Tighter binding to the carboxyketone intermediate would slow conversion to the final product, resulting in a slower $k_{cat,C}$.

C_3 plants usually contain Rubiscos with a higher S_{CO_2/O_2} but lower $k_{cat,C}$, and to compensate for Rubisco's slow activity, these plants express abundant amounts of the enzyme, dedicating up to 25% of leaf nitrogen to do so (81).

CO_2 Concentrating Mechanisms Promote Rubisco's Carboxylase Activity

Many organisms, including C_4 plants, cyanobacteria, and algae, overcome Rubisco's limitations by using CO_2 concentrating mechanisms (CCMs). CCMs increase the CO_2/O_2 ratio around Rubisco, thereby speeding carboxylation while limiting the occurrence of oxygenation.

By creating an environment around Rubisco that is enriched with CO_2 , organisms with CCMs are able to use a Rubisco with a lower S_{CO_2/O_2} and higher $k_{cat,C}$. With a faster Rubisco, the same rate of carbon fixation can be achieved using less of the enzyme, which allows the organism to allocate fewer resources to producing Rubisco, improving the growth rate and amount of biomass produced per unit of nitrogen (31). Additionally, for land plants, CCMs allow capture of a greater fraction of the CO_2 that diffuses into leaves through stomata, allowing plants to have fewer and/or smaller stomata, which decreases water losses due to transpiration and thus improves growth in arid environments. These benefits come at an energetic cost, but this is a small price to pay in many environments where photosynthetic energy is available in excess.

CCMs increase the concentration of CO_2 in a compartment that houses Rubisco, but CO_2 cannot be directly transported because it easily diffuses across membranes. Therefore, CCMs operate by converting CO_2 to a charged intermediate that can be concentrated before being converted back to CO_2 at a site near Rubisco.

C_4 plants have biochemical CCMs, which rely on an enzyme temporarily fixing CO_2 into a four-carbon organic molecule that is shuttled to a compartment containing Rubisco, where the molecule is decarboxylated to produce concentrated CO_2 . In most C_4 plants, the initial fixation and decarboxylation occur in different tissues, the mesophyll and the bundle sheath, respectively. These two tissues are arranged in characteristic concentric rings, known as Kranz anatomy (Figure 1a).

There are three known subtypes of the C_4 mechanism, but in general they operate by using the enzyme phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) carboxylase to temporarily fix CO_2 in mesophyll cells. Dissolved CO_2 is converted into bicarbonate (HCO_3^- hereafter), and PEP carboxylase adds

S_{CO_2/O_2} : Rubisco's specificity for CO_2 over O_2

$k_{cat,C}$: Rubisco's turnover rate for CO_2

CCM: CO_2 concentrating mechanism

Biochemical CCM: a CO_2 concentrating mechanism that uses an intermediate organic molecule that is decarboxylated to release CO_2 near Rubisco

Kranz anatomy: the arrangement of mesophyll and bundle sheath tissues in a C_4 leaf




Figure 1

C_4 plants, cyanobacteria, and algae concentrate CO_2 around the enzyme Rubisco using different strategies. (a) In the mesophyll cells of C_4 plants, HCO_3^- is added to the three-carbon molecule phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) by the enzyme PEP carboxylase, generating the four-carbon molecule malate or aspartate. The malate or aspartate is then decarboxylated to form the three-carbon molecule pyruvate and release CO_2 in bundle sheath cells, where Rubisco is expressed. The biophysical CCMs of (b) cyanobacteria and (c) algae actively transport HCO_3^- into the cell, then convert it to CO_2 at a site of clustered Rubisco, which is either the (b) carboxysome or (c) pyrenoid.

Biophysical CCM:

a CO_2 concentrating mechanism that operates by directly transporting inorganic carbon (in the form of bicarbonate) and dehydrating it to CO_2 near Rubisco

HCO_3^- to the three-carbon molecule PEP, generating the four-carbon product oxaloacetate. Oxaloacetate is eventually converted to malate or aspartate, which is transported into bundle sheath cells that surround the vascular tissues. Within the bundle sheath cells, the four-carbon malate or aspartate is decarboxylated to the three-carbon molecule pyruvate, releasing CO_2 in the vicinity of Rubisco. It is worth noting that some plants (21, 89) and some diatoms (82) operate a single-celled C_4 mechanism where the metabolic pathways are compartmentalized within a single cell rather than partitioned between two cell types. A more detailed discussion of the C_4 pathway and efforts to engineer it into C_3 plants is beyond the scope of this review; we refer the reader to the review by Schlüter & Weber in this volume (86) and by others (6, 29, 87).

Cyanobacteria and algae have biophysical CCMs that operate by transporting HCO_3^- and converting it to CO_2 near a site of clustered Rubisco (**Figure 1b,c**). The negative charge on HCO_3^- impedes its diffusion across membranes, preventing it from escaping and allowing high concentrations of HCO_3^- to be maintained within the cell. HCO_3^- is then converted to CO_2 in the proximity of Rubisco.

Cyanobacteria and algae leverage the properties of HCO_3^- and CO_2 to enhance their CCMs. In this field, HCO_3^- and CO_2 are referred to as inorganic carbon (Ci) because they lack C–H bonds. These Ci species equilibrate with each other, and their equilibrium concentrations depend on pH. HCO_3^- is the most abundant Ci species between pH 6 and 9, while CO_2 is the most abundant below pH 6. Both algal (66) and cyanobacterial (58) CCMs leverage a high pH to capture CO_2 into HCO_3^- . Algae appear to additionally convert HCO_3^- into CO_2 at a low pH, which favors the reaction and uses protons concentrated by the photosynthetic light reactions to create a sink for HCO_3^- . Spontaneous equilibration between HCO_3^- and CO_2 occurs slowly, on the timescale of 10 seconds (35), but is accelerated dramatically by carbonic anhydrase enzymes, which are among the world's fastest enzymes, able to perform up to 10^6 reactions per second (41). Thus, by localizing the carbonic anhydases to specific subcellular compartments, the cell is able to control where the conversion happens.

Inorganic carbon (Ci): generally defined in this field as carbon that is not bound directly to hydrogen

Engineering a CO_2 Concentrating Mechanism into Land Plants Has the Potential to Improve Yields

The potential for improving yields by genetically engineering a CCM into C_3 crops is supported both by theoretical models and by experimental data. Mathematical models predict that installing a CCM into C_3 plants could improve leaf CO_2 uptake by up to 60% (53, 61, 110). Furthermore, free-air CO_2 enrichment experiments have shown that C_3 crops produce higher yields when grown with elevated levels of CO_2 (38). This supports the idea that engineering C_3 plants to concentrate CO_2 at the subcellular level would also lead to increased yields. The percentage increase in yield observed in free-air CO_2 enrichment experiments varies depending on the plant species. For example, cotton has an average of 42% increase in yield, whereas wheat and rice have a more modest yield increase of 15% (1). This discrepancy is attributed to differences in physiology; cotton leaves develop more rapidly, which may allow the plant to take advantage of enhanced photosynthesis starting at an earlier stage of its growth.

Limiting the occurrence of photorespiration is one of the key ways in which a CCM could improve plant growth. By elevating the CO_2/O_2 ratio around Rubisco, CCMs decrease both the energy and fixed CO_2 lost to photorespiration. Significant progress has already been made toward engineering an alternative pathway that reduces the energetic cost of processing 2-phosphoglycolate, although it still liberates fixed CO_2 (92). Transgenic tobacco plants engineered with the alternative pathway display a 24% increase in seasonal biomass as compared to wild-type plants. C_3 plants engineered to contain a CCM would be expected to achieve the same benefits and additional yield increases by not liberating fixed CO_2 . Plants with an engineered CCM are also expected to have improved nitrogen and water use efficiency because they will require less Rubisco and less leaf gas exchange, as is the case for existing C_4 plants (31).

This review focuses on our current understanding of the molecular components of biophysical CCMs as well as recent progress toward engineering these components into other organisms. The first section of this review covers progress that has been made toward characterizing the cyanobacterial CCM. The second section of this review discusses what is known about the algal CCM from studies of the freshwater alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Within each section, progress toward engineering these CCMs into land plants and important future directions are discussed.

THE CYANOBACTERIAL CO_2 CONCENTRATING MECHANISM

The concentration of Ci within a cyanobacterial cell begins with the active transport of HCO_3^- across the plasma membrane. Once concentrated within the cytosol, HCO_3^- diffuses into the

Table 1 The biophysical CO₂ concentrating mechanisms (CCMs) of α-cyanobacteria, β-cyanobacteria, and the alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* have many analogous core components, although they evolved independently

Type of protein	α-Cyanobacteria	β-Cyanobacteria	<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>
Rubisco large subunit	CbbL	RbcL	RbcL
Rubisco small subunit	CbbS	RbcS	RbcS
Rubisco linker	CsoS2 (CsoS2A and CsoS2B isoforms)	CcmM (M35 and M58 isoforms)	EPYC1
Carbonic anhydrases near the site of Rubisco	CsoS3 (CsoSCA)	CcmM58 N terminus, CcaA	CAH3
Shell proteins: hexameric, pentameric, pore-forming	Hexameric: CsoS1A, CsoS1B, CsoS1C Pentameric: CsoS4A, CsoS4B Pore-forming: CsoS1D, CsoS1E	Hexameric: CcmK2, CcmK3, CcmK4, CcmO Pentameric: CcmL Pore-forming: CcmP	The <i>Chlamydomonas</i> pyrenoid does not appear to have a shell.
Bicarbonate transporters	Plasma membrane: BicA, SbtA	Plasma membrane: BicA, SbtA, BCT1	Plasma membrane: HLA3 and LCI1 Chloroplast envelope: LCIA and CIA8 Thylakoid membrane: BST1, BST2, BST3
CO ₂ recapture	NDH-1 ₄	NDH-1 ₃ , NDH-1 ₄	LCIB/LCIC complex

carboxysome, which is composed of an icosahedral protein shell wrapped around Rubisco and a carbonic anhydrase. This carbonic anhydrase catalyzes the conversion of HCO₃[−] into CO₂, feeding Rubisco’s carboxylase activity (**Figure 1b**).

Two types of cyanobacteria, α-cyanobacteria, which are found predominately in marine environments, and β-cyanobacteria, which favor freshwater, appear to have convergently evolved carboxysomes. Components of α-carboxysomes are encoded by the *cso* operon in α-cyanobacteria, and components of β-carboxysomes are encoded either by the *ccm* operon or other operons at separate genomic loci. This review focuses on the β-carboxysome, but many of the molecular components that make up the α-cyanobacterial CCM are conceptually similar and are described briefly. **Table 1** lists likely functionally analogous proteins between the CCMs of α-cyanobacteria, β-cyanobacteria, and the eukaryotic alga *C. reinhardtii*. Several outstanding recent reviews provide more detailed comparisons of α- and β-carboxysomes (40, 78, 109).

Inorganic Carbon Is Concentrated in the Cell by HCO₃[−] Transporters and Converted to CO₂ by a Carbonic Anhydrase

At the plasma membrane of β-cyanobacteria, the heteromultimeric ATP-binding cassette transporter BCT1 and the homomultimeric Na⁺/HCO₃[−] symporters BicA and SbtA actively transport HCO₃[−] into the cytosol (**Figure 2**) (71). Both BCT1 and SbtA have a high affinity for HCO₃[−], while BicA has a low affinity. A previously published review has covered these Ci transporters in more detail (e.g., 71).

CO₂ that diffuses across the plasma membrane or that leaks out of the carboxysome is captured in the cytosol and converted into HCO₃[−] by the NDH-1₃ and NDH-1₄ complexes (**Figure 2**). These complexes are thought to drive the unidirectional hydration of CO₂ to HCO₃[−] by coupling the reaction with electron transport that occurs during the light reactions of photosynthesis (7). This model is supported by data from a structure of the NDH-1₃ complex purified from the




Figure 2

HCO₃⁻ transport in a β-cyanobacterial cell starts at the plasma membrane, where the transporters BicA, SbtA, and BCT1 are located. After being concentrated in the cytoplasm, HCO₃⁻ diffuses into the carboxysome, likely via pores in the shell protein CcmK4. Inside the carboxysome, carbonic anhydrases convert HCO₃⁻ into CO₂, which can then be fixed by Rubisco clustered there. CO₂ that diffuses into the cytoplasm or leaks out of the carboxysome is converted to HCO₃⁻ by the NDH-1₃ and NDH-1₄ complexes.

β-cyanobacterium *Thermosynechococcus elongatus*, which was resolved using cryo-electron microscopy (88). β-cyanobacteria express both complexes, whereas α-cyanobacteria only encode NDH-1₄ (8). In β-cyanobacteria, the expression of the higher-affinity NDH-1₃ is induced when Ci is limited, whereas the lower-affinity NDH-1₄ is constitutively expressed (71). Both of the NDH-1 complexes are membrane-bound, but whether they reside on the plasma or thylakoid membrane is controversial (10) and may vary between species (8).

Ultimately, the coordinated action of these Ci uptake systems leads to a state where the cytosolic HCO₃⁻ concentration is about 30 times the concentration found in water with pH 7 at 25°C (101). Maintaining this high concentration of HCO₃⁻ within the cytosol is important for the CCM, as it drives HCO₃⁻ diffusion into the carboxysome where HCO₃⁻ is converted into concentrated CO₂ to feed Rubisco (74). The absence of carbonic anhydrase activity in the cytosol is critical for maintaining CCM function. When a carbonic anhydrase from humans was heterologously expressed in the cyanobacterial cytosol, cells required high CO₂ to grow, indicating that their CCM was impaired (72). This is presumably because the presence of a carbonic anhydrase in the cytosol drives the conversion of HCO₃⁻ into CO₂, allowing CO₂ to diffuse out of the cell before it reaches Rubisco within the carboxysome.

Engineering a HCO₃⁻ Transport System Is an Important Step in Transferring a Cyanobacterial CO₂ Concentrating Mechanism into Land Plants

Installing a cyanobacterial HCO₃⁻ transporter at the chloroplast inner envelope membrane (IEM) of a land plant has been suggested as a simple strategy for modestly boosting CO₂ flux to Rubisco in the chloroplast without needing to build a carboxysome (73). The imported HCO₃⁻ could be converted to CO₂ by β-carbonic anhydrases that are natively expressed in plant chloroplasts (18). One computational model predicted that incorporating a single HCO₃⁻ transporter could

IEM: chloroplast inner envelope membrane

increase CO₂ uptake by 9% and that expressing multiple transporters could lead to a 16% increase (61). However, this model had assumed ideal growth conditions, and a more detailed model using field data for crop plants (110) predicts that a full cyanobacterial CCM is needed to see crop improvement.

Because cyanobacteria are prokaryotes, they have a different cellular organization from plants, which adds a challenge for properly localizing engineered components. Cyanobacteria are the evolutionary relative and topological equivalent of plant chloroplasts. Therefore, to be targeted to their site of function, components from cyanobacteria need to be either directly transformed into the chloroplast genome or transformed into the nuclear genome fused to an exogenous chloroplast targeting sequence (also called transit sequence). Chloroplast transformation is straightforward in the dicotyledonous reference plant tobacco, which facilitates proof-of-concept studies. However, chloroplast genome transformation is ineffective in monocotyledonous plants, which include major CCM target crops such as rice and wheat, because a lack of selectable markers makes it difficult to obtain plants where each chloroplast expresses the transgenes (33). Therefore, practical efforts toward achieving a CCM in these target crops are likely to ultimately require expressing components from the nuclear genome with targeting sequences to localize them to the desired chloroplast sub-compartment.

An initial attempt was made to express BicA in tobacco leaf chloroplasts using biolistic transformation to insert the foreign DNA directly into the chloroplast genome (69). While BicA could be expressed without hindering the plant's growth, only about 25% of the protein localized to the chloroplast envelope while 75% was found in the thylakoid membranes instead.

Experiments performed using nuclear transformation of BicA and SbtA fused with chloroplast transit peptides were more successful, both in *Nicotiana benthamiana* (83) and in *Arabidopsis* (98). Rolland et al. (83) identified N-terminal sequences in large *Arabidopsis* transmembrane proteins that could reliably redirect BicA and SbtA to the chloroplast envelope of *N. benthamiana*. Uehara et al. (98) were able to specifically direct BicA and SbtA to the IEM of *Arabidopsis* by fusing these HCO₃⁻ transporters to both a chloroplast transit peptide and a mature portion of a protein that is natively located in the IEM. In this study, BicA could stay embedded in the IEM even after removal of the transit peptide. This represents an encouraging step toward engineering functional prokaryotic transporters into the chloroplast membrane.

To identify the HCO₃⁻ transporter homologs that are most likely to function in other organisms, researchers expressed HCO₃⁻ transporters from various cyanobacterial species in *Escherichia coli* and tested them for functionality through examining cellular uptake of NaH¹⁴CO₃ (19). This screen identified six active SbtA homologs, but none of the BicA or BCT1 homologs tested were able to transport HCO₃⁻. Identifying additional factors necessary for BicA or BCT1 functionality could be important for reconstituting a cyanobacterial CCM in a land plant.

Going forward, a major goal for the field will be demonstrating that HCO₃⁻ can be concentrated in the chloroplasts of engineered plants. To achieve this goal, it will likely be necessary to knock out the carbonic anhydrases natively found in plant chloroplast stroma (18) to avoid premature dehydration of HCO₃⁻ to CO₂. The removal of carbonic anhydrases may impact the availability of HCO₃⁻ for certain metabolic pathways, but engineering a CCM would be expected to rescue this defect by supplying HCO₃⁻ via transporters.

Shell Proteins Allow Selective Diffusion of Charged Molecules Between the Cytosol and the Carboxysome Interior

The protein shell of the carboxysome encapsulates densely packed Rubisco and is thought to selectively allow the channeling of HCO₃⁻ and RuBP into the carboxysome and 3-PGA out. Just




Figure 3

Carboxysomes are made up of an icosahedral protein shell that surrounds clustered Rubisco. (a) There are several carboxysomes per cyanobacterial cell, and they are evenly distributed across the longitudinal axis. (b) Assembly of the icosahedral carboxysome shell relies on the hexameric CcmK2 that makes up the faces of the icosahedron, the trimeric CcmO at the edges, and pentameric CcmL at the vertices. The hexameric protein CcmK4 has a small ionic pore through which HCO_3^- could diffuse, and CcmP has a larger pore that could allow an exchange of Calvin cycle intermediates. The CcmK3/K4 hexamer may act as a cap to block the flow of HCO_3^- through CcmK4 when needed. (c) The interior of the carboxysome is made up of Rubisco that is clustered by the CcmM isoform M35. The CcmM isoform M58 also binds Rubisco and is thought to reside at the edges, where CcmN links it to the shell.

three monomeric proteins are sufficient for building the icosahedral shell structure; these are CcmK2, CcmO, and CcmL (**Figure 3a,b**) (77). CcmK2 forms a hexamer that takes on a flattened hexagonal shape and forms the large sheets that make up the faces of the carboxysome icosahedron. CcmO forms trimers that have a hexagonal shape, and it likely bends to form the edges of the icosahedron. Finally, CcmL is a pentamer that creates the vertices of the shell. In α -cyanobacteria, analogous roles are performed by the hexameric proteins CsoS1A, CsoS1B, and CsoS1C, which make up the bulk of the carboxysome shell faces, and the pentameric proteins CsoS4A and CsoS4B, which form the vertices (**Table 1**) (43, 95).

Although CcmK2, CcmO, and CcmL are sufficient to build the shell's structure, the oligomers CcmP, CcmK3, and CcmK4 are thought to be necessary for mediating diffusion of metabolites across the shell, thereby enabling the CCM to function properly (**Figure 3b**). CcmP is a hexamer and forms a double layer of hexagonal shapes (45). The double layer allows CcmP to have an open and a closed conformation. In its open conformation, CcmP has a central pore large enough to allow the diffusion of CBB cycle metabolites such as the Rubisco substrate RuBP and product 3-PGA.

CcmK4 can independently form a hexamer containing a central pore that is predicted to be permeable to anions such as HCO_3^- (57). The CcmK4 hexamer is thought to be dispersed throughout the faces of the carboxysome and to control the influx of HCO_3^- .

CcmK3 cannot form large oligomers on its own, but it is able to form a heterohexamer with CcmK4 that has a ratio of four CcmK4 monomers to two CcmK3 monomers (91). Certain residues on CcmK3 make it unlikely to fit favorably alongside CcmK2 in the carboxysome shell. However, the CcmK3/K4 heterohexamer can form a dodecamer by fitting on the top of CcmK4, suggesting a model in which the heterohexamer may be used as a cap to limit the flux of HCO_3^- under certain conditions that would induce CcmK3 expression (**Figure 3b**).

CcmK3 and CcmK4 are encoded at a separate locus from the rest of the *ccm* operon, indicating that they could be under different transcriptional control (90). While CcmK4 is necessary for growth, CcmK3 is not (91).

Linker Proteins Bind and Cluster Rubisco Inside the Carboxysome

In β -cyanobacteria, carboxysome assembly begins with the clustering of Rubisco into an electron-dense body called the procarboxysome (15). This clustering is carried out by M35, a truncated isoform of the protein CcmM that arises by translation initiated at an internal ribosome entry site in the *ccmM* transcript (**Figure 3c**) (51). M35 is necessary for procarboxysome formation (52) and sufficient for clustering Rubisco into a procarboxysome-like structure in cyanobacterial mutants lacking the *ccm* operon (15).

The amino acid sequence of M35 consists of three repeated Rubisco small subunit-like (SSUL) domains, each of which binds to the Rubisco large subunit, allowing its clustering to form a matrix within the carboxysome. The sequence similarity between the SSUL domains of CcmM and the Rubisco small subunit led Price et al. (75) to propose a model where each SSUL domain of CcmM binds to the Rubisco large subunit by displacing the Rubisco small subunit. Intriguingly, recent work has shown that in vitro the SSUL domain can bind at an equatorial region between the Rubisco large subunit dimers, suggesting that CcmM could link Rubiscos without displacing the Rubisco small subunit (84, 103). However, it remains possible that the original hypothesis is correct, and the interactions observed in vitro are representative of an intermediate complex that forms before a chaperone replaces the Rubisco small subunit with the SSUL domain.

The full-length 58-kDa isoform of CcmM, M58, has an N-terminal γ -carbonic anhydrase domain in addition to the three SSUL domain repeats (52). In some species, this N-terminal domain functions as a carbonic anhydrase to convert HCO_3^- into CO_2 within the carboxysome, and in other species, there is a separate carbonic anhydrase called CcaA that is recruited to the carboxysome by the M58 N-terminal domain (49, 62).

M58 is expressed less abundantly than M35, supporting a model in which M35 clusters Rubisco into a matrix at the carboxysome center while M58 resides at the periphery and mediates interactions between the Rubisco matrix and the shell (**Figure 3c**) (51). Interactions between the shell and the carboxysome interior are thought to be facilitated by the protein CcmN. The N terminus of CcmN interacts with M58, while the C terminus interacts with the abundant hexagonal shell protein CcmK2 (44). CcmK2 fails to localize to the procarboxysome in mutants lacking CcmN, demonstrating that CcmN is necessary to recruit CcmK2 to the procarboxysome (15).

In α -cyanobacteria, Rubisco clustering and carboxysome shell assembly are thought to occur simultaneously (36). The protein CsoS2 plays a similar role to the β -cyanobacterial protein CcmM, acting as a Rubisco linker (14). Like CcmM, CsoS2 has two isoforms that arise through posttranscriptional mechanisms: the full-length isoform CsoS2B, and a truncated isoform, CsoS2A (17). However, while the truncated M35 form of CcmM is produced through an internal ribosomal entry site, CsoS2A is produced from programmed ribosomal frameshifting.

While both CcmM and CsoS2 cluster Rubisco into a dense matrix, they are different in several structural and functional aspects. For example, CcmM contains SSUL domains and binds to the

Rubisco large subunit, but CsoS2 is intrinsically disordered and interacts with the Rubisco small subunit (48). While both isoforms of CcmM are necessary to assemble a β -carboxysome, only the full-length CsoS2B isoform is necessary to assemble an α -carboxysome, and the role of CsoS2A is unknown (17).

Steps Have Been Taken to Build Synthetic Carboxysomes

To achieve the goal of engineering a cyanobacterial CCM into land plants, researchers are pursuing efforts to reconstitute carboxysomes in heterologous systems in parallel with efforts to target cyanobacterial HCO_3^- transporters to plant membranes (**Figure 4**). Both β - and α -carboxysome-like structures have been reconstituted in heterologous systems including *E. coli* and tobacco. While tobacco is a good reference for the crop plants that will ultimately be the target for engineering, *E. coli* is a useful chassis organism for rapidly identifying the minimal gene set for assembling a carboxysome.

Simple β -carboxysome-like shells could be assembled in *E. coli* using a synthetic operon consisting of only four genes from the cyanobacterium *Halotheca* sp. PCC 7418 (13). These genes encode the hexameric proteins that make up the faces of the carboxysome icosahedron, CcmK2 and K1; a K2 homolog found in a subset of β -cyanobacteria; the trimeric protein CcmO that forms the edges; and the pentameric vertex CcmL.




Figure 4

The goals of localizing HCO_3^- transporters to the plant chloroplast envelope and building a carboxysome have been pursued in parallel. Once HCO_3^- transporters and carboxysomes can independently function in a plant cell, combining them into a single plant will be the next step toward reconstituting a cyanobacterial CO_2 concentrating mechanism (CCM) in land plants.

Fang et al. (23) expanded on this work by creating and expressing an IPTG-inducible synthetic *ccm* operon using genes found in the β -cyanobacterial reference organism *Synechococcus elongatus* PCC 7942. This synthetic operon combined 12 genes normally found at five different chromosomal loci into a single operon. These genes encode the shell-associated proteins CcmK2, CcmO, CcmL, CcmK3, CcmK4, CcmP, and CcmN, as well as the Rubisco linker CcmM, the Rubisco large and small subunits, the Rubisco chaperone RbcX, and the carbonic anhydrase CcaA. When expressed in *E. coli*, the synthetic operon generated carboxysome-like structures that were slightly larger and more irregularly shaped than native carboxysomes, indicating that the ratio of these carboxysome components may need to be optimized in future experiments. Nevertheless, the packing of Rubisco within the synthetic carboxysome lumen was similar to the native packing density. Furthermore, ^{14}C could be fixed by isolated carboxysomes treated with $\text{NaH}^{14}\text{CO}_3$, indicating that the carboxysomes had an active carbonic anhydrase and Rubisco.

An α -carboxysome has been assembled in *E. coli* using the *cso* operon from *Halothiobacillus neapolitanus*, a chemolithotrophic proteobacteria that also packages Rubisco into a microcompartment, demonstrating that all the proteins necessary to build an α -carboxysome are encoded in the *cso* operon (11). Furthermore, α -carboxysome-like structures could be observed in tobacco chloroplasts expressing simply the α -cyanobacterial Rubisco large and small subunits alongside the Rubisco linker CsoS2 and the shell protein CsoS1A (50).

Given the promising results in *E. coli*, a major near-term frontier is the production of carboxysomes in plant chloroplasts. Replacing a plant's endogenous Rubisco with the ortholog from cyanobacteria is a critical step toward ensuring that the enzyme can be packaged into the carboxysome, as the linker protein interacts with specific sites in the cyanobacterial ortholog. Lin et al. (47) have been able to replace the native Rubisco large subunit gene in tobacco transplastomic lines with the β -cyanobacterial large and small subunits, and Long et al. (50) accomplished this with the α -cyanobacterial Rubisco. In both cases, the transgenic plants are able to grow autotrophically in high CO_2 , indicating that the cyanobacterial Rubisco is functional in the plant.

Excitingly, minimal carboxysome-like structures have been reconstituted in tobacco using either β - or α -carboxysome components. Lin et al. (47) observed procarboxysome-like structures when the β -cyanobacterial Rubisco was expressed along with the M35 isoform of CcmM in tobacco. Long et al. (50) reconstituted minimal α -carboxysome-like structures in tobacco using a minimal gene set containing Rubisco, the linker CsoS2, and the shell component CsoS1A. Going forward, the next challenge for both systems is incorporating the remaining components necessary for a fully functional carboxysome, including other shell proteins and a carbonic anhydrase (Figure 4). The functional carboxysome will then need to be combined with a HCO_3^- transport system and CO_2 recapture mechanism in a plant lacking stromal carbonic anhydrases, in order to reconstitute a full CCM.

THE CO_2 CONCENTRATING MECHANISM IN EUKARYOTIC ALGAE

Much of our molecular understanding of the algal CCM has come from studies of the reference freshwater alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (*Chlamydomonas* hereafter), which is the focus of this section of the review. The CCM in *Chlamydomonas* relies on HCO_3^- transport across several different membranes to concentrate Ci near Rubisco, which is housed in a non-membrane-bound organelle within the chloroplast called the pyrenoid (Figure 5). The core of the pyrenoid is a region known as the matrix, which consists of densely packed Rubisco. Starch granules form a sheath around the matrix. Membrane tubules traverse the matrix, exit through gaps in the starch sheath, and connect to the photosynthetic thylakoid membranes in the stroma. The core model of the algal CCM is as follows: HCO_3^- is concentrated in the lumen of the pyrenoid tubules through




Figure 5

In the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, HCO_3^- transport across a series of membranes leads to concentrated HCO_3^- in the pyrenoid tubules, which are continuous with the thylakoid membranes. In the tubules, a carbonic anhydrase (CAH3) converts HCO_3^- to CO_2 , which then diffuses out to reach Rubisco in the matrix. CO_2 that leaks out of the pyrenoid is converted back into HCO_3^- by the LCIB/LCIC complex and then recycled through the pyrenoid. The pH of various subcellular compartments is important for determining the net direction of $\text{CO}_2/\text{HCO}_3^-$ conversion. The neutral to slightly basic pH of the periplasm and chloroplast stroma supports conversion of CO_2 into HCO_3^- , and the acidic pH of the thylakoid lumen supports conversion into CO_2 .

the action of HCO_3^- transporters. There, an acidic environment and a carbonic anhydrase cause the conversion of HCO_3^- to CO_2 . This CO_2 is then able to diffuse across the pyrenoid tubule membranes out to the Rubisco in the matrix.

HCO_3^- Transport Must Occur at the Plasma Membrane, Chloroplast Envelope, and Thylakoid Membrane

The flux of Ci through a *Chlamydomonas* cell begins in the periplasm, where the carbonic anhydrases CAH1 (Cre04.g223100) and CAH2 (Cre04.g223050) are located (Figure 5; Supplemental Table 1). The pH of the periplasm is thought to match the pH of the extracellular environment. Active transport at the plasma membrane removes HCO_3^- from the periplasm, so CAH1 and CAH2 likely function to replenish HCO_3^- by converting CO_2 into HCO_3^- (24).

At the plasma membrane, HCO_3^- uptake is mediated by LCI1 (low CO_2 inducible protein 1, Cre03.g162800) (68) and HLA3 (high light activated protein 3, Cre02.g097800) (20), which form a complex (55). Overexpression of LCI1 in high CO_2 conditions, when the rest of the CCM is not induced, leads to higher accumulation of Ci within the cell (68). The sequence of LCI1 does not have any recognizable structural domains, so its specific role in Ci uptake is still unknown (107), and HLA3 is a putative ATP-binding cassette HCO_3^- transporter (20). The ATP-dependent HCO_3^- transport activity of HLA3 has been demonstrated in a heterologous expression system, indicating that it can function independently from other *Chlamydomonas*-specific factors to actively pump HCO_3^- into the cell (60).

Supplemental Material >

Once in the cytoplasm, HCO_3^- must then pass through the chloroplast envelope to become concentrated in the chloroplast stroma. LCIA (limiting CO_2 inducible A, Cre06.g309000), a formate/nitrite transporter homolog, localizes to the chloroplast envelope and has been implicated in bicarbonate transport there (107), although it is unclear whether LCIA mediates active or passive transport. Photosynthesis is inhibited in *lcia* mutants at pH 9, when most Ci is expected to be HCO_3^- , demonstrating that LCIA likely has a role in HCO_3^- uptake (105). Furthermore, heterologous expression of LCIA in *Xenopus* oocytes led to a twofold increase in HCO_3^- accumulation (59).

Inside the stroma, according to the prevailing model, HCO_3^- crosses one final membrane to enter the thylakoid lumen (65). The genes BST1 (Cre16.g662600), BST2 (Cre16.gg663400), and BST3 (Cre16.g663450) each encode putative bestrophins (67), which are a family of chloride channels that are in some cases permeable to HCO_3^- (76). BST1, BST2, and BST3 each localize to the thylakoid membrane, suggesting that one or more of these proteins could facilitate the shuttling of HCO_3^- into the thylakoid lumen (55). The hypothesis that BST1–3 play an important role in the CCM is further supported by the observation that a *bst1–3* triple knockdown generated by RNAi grows more slowly at air levels of CO_2 than wildtype cells (67).

Proton pumping during the light reactions of photosynthesis creates an acidic environment within the thylakoid lumen, which promotes the conversion of HCO_3^- to CO_2 (2, 80). This conversion is likely catalyzed by the carbonic anhydrase CAH3 (Cre09.g415700), which localizes to the thylakoid lumen (65). Concentrated CO_2 produced in the thylakoid lumen is thought to diffuse across the pyrenoid tubules to feed the Rubisco in the matrix.

The proteins LCIB (Cre10.g452800) and LCIC (Cre06.g307500) form a complex that is thought to recapture unfixed CO_2 that leaks out of the matrix, converting this CO_2 back into HCO_3^- (108). The structure of LCIB resembles functional β -carbonic anhydrases, and homologs of LCIB have carbonic anhydrase activity (39). LCIB and LCIC bind to some of the bestrophins on the thylakoid membrane (55), suggesting that the HCO_3^- recaptured by LCIB and LCIC can rapidly access the thylakoid lumen for another opportunity to be fixed by Rubisco.

CIA8 (Ci accumulation 8, Cre09.g395700), a putative member of the sodium bile acid symporter family, has recently been implicated in Ci uptake, although both its function and localization within the chloroplast are yet unresolved (54). *cia8* mutants have impaired growth at air levels of CO_2 and reduced Ci accumulation both at pH 7.3 and at pH 9. This is notable because many of the proteins involved in Ci uptake have overlapping functions, so a growth defect is usually not observed from a single gene knockout. Continuing to study the function of CIA8 will lead to a more complete picture of Ci uptake in *Chlamydomonas*.

Rubisco, Linked by EPYC1, Is Clustered in the Liquid-Like Pyrenoid Matrix

When the CCM is induced, an estimated 90% of the cell's Rubisco clusters in the pyrenoid matrix (12). This clustering of Rubisco ensures that the enzyme is supplied with concentrated CO_2 , which is thought to arrive via the membranous pyrenoid tubules that traverse the matrix.

Assembly of the *Chlamydomonas* pyrenoid matrix relies on the Rubisco linker EPYC1 (essential pyrenoid component 1, Cre10.g436550) (**Figure 6a**), which is one of the most abundant proteins in the matrix (56). The amino acid sequence of EPYC1 consists primarily of four nearly identical repeats, each with a predicted α -helical region followed by a region predicted to be highly disordered, suggesting that EPYC1 has at least four binding sites for Rubisco. Aggregation of Rubisco into the pyrenoid requires two solvent-facing α -helices found on the algal Rubisco small subunit, making this region a potential site for EPYC1 binding (5, 63).




Figure 6

A pyrenoid forms at the center of the *Chlamydomonas* cell's cup-shaped chloroplast. (a) Rubisco is clustered within the pyrenoid matrix by the linker protein EPYC1. (b) Before the Rubisco matrix is fully encapsulated by a starch sheath, Rubisco can directly exchange its substrate ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) and its product 3-phosphoglycerate (3-PGA) with the other Calvin Benson Bassham (CBB) cycle enzymes in the chloroplast stroma. However, when a starch sheath is in place, this exchange may depend on diffusion through minitubules embedded within the pyrenoid tubules. These minitubules are continuous with the stroma and pyrenoid matrix.

Observation of fluorescently tagged matrix components within *Chlamydomonas* has revealed that the matrix displays liquid-like properties, such as internal mixing and formation of spherical droplets that can fuse (28). Pyrenoids are also inherited by daughter cells through fission of the mother cell's pyrenoid, although a portion of the matrix rapidly disperses into the stroma at the end of each cell division cycle. The rapid dispersal of the pyrenoid during cell division could be important for ensuring that both daughter cells have enough starting material to form a pyrenoid *de novo* in case fission fails. In addition, the phase-separated nature of the pyrenoid matrix could allow it to form rapidly as a response to conditions that induce the CCM, such as low CO_2 (12).

Purified Rubisco does not form liquid-like droplets on its own *in vitro*, but the addition of EPYC1 is sufficient to drive demixing into liquid droplets (106). The internal mixing dynamics of these *in vitro* droplets occur on a similar time scale to the pyrenoid, suggesting that EPYC1 and Rubisco are sufficient to reconstitute the *Chlamydomonas* pyrenoid matrix.

The Pyrenoid Starch Sheath May Serve as a Diffusion Barrier to Slow CO_2 Escape

Both green algae and plants store some of the energy they capture from photosynthesis as starch, a polymer of glucose that forms large lens-shaped granules in the chloroplast stroma. Many green algal species also assemble a subset of their starch granules in a shell around the pyrenoid, forming

a structure called the starch sheath. Unlike globular stromal starch, this pyrenoid starch has a curved morphology, and is made up of distinct plates that wrap around the pyrenoid and appear to form a seal interrupted by gaps to allow the passage of pyrenoid tubules (64).

In *Chlamydomonas*, the conditions that induce the CCM also induce the starch sheath to form (79). The total amount of starch accumulated is coordinated with the diurnal cycle, but whether starch is primarily in the stroma or surrounding the pyrenoid depends on whether the CCM is active. Nitrogen deprivation, which slows down photosynthetic activity, causes cells to accumulate more stromal starch rather than pyrenoid starch (26). The apparent coordination between CCM induction and pyrenoid starch formation suggests that the starch sheath may have a role in the CCM.

It has been suggested that the starch sheath could act as a barrier to slow the CO₂ efflux from the pyrenoid (79). Starch is composed of alternating amorphous layers of the unbranched polymer amylose and crystalline layers of the branched polymer amylopectin (111), the latter of which is thought to be impermeable to gases, including CO₂ and O₂ (30). Furthermore, the curved morphology of pyrenoid starch granules and the seal they form around the pyrenoid would appear to support this function.

Despite the proposed role of the starch sheath in preventing CO₂ efflux, mutants that are unable to synthesize starch still appear to have a fully functional CCM at air levels of CO₂, suggesting that the starch sheath may not actually be necessary for the CCM after all (100). This conundrum could be resolved if the starch sheath were found to be important under slightly different growth conditions than those tested in the laboratory, or if it were found to confer only a small advantage that is still relevant evolutionarily.

Several proteins that contain starch-binding domains localize to the periphery of the pyrenoid and form different localization patterns there (55). The granule-bound starch synthase STA2 (Cre17.g721500) and the starch branching enzyme SBE3 (Cre10.g444700) appear to localize to the starch plates, whereas the protein LCI9 (Cre02.g130700) localizes to a mesh pattern, possibly filling the gaps between starch plates. LCI9 contains two starch-binding domains and is homologous to glucan 1,4- α -glucosidases, enzymes that typically function to liberate glucose monomers from glucan chains. This homology suggests that LCI9 could degrade starch at the gaps between starch plates, possibly ensuring a close fit for adjacent starch plates.

Two mutants have been described with interesting phenotypes related to the pyrenoid starch. Cells lacking a protein that localizes to the starch sheath, named SAGA1 (starch granules abnormal 1, Cre11.g467712), have thin and elongated pyrenoid starch granules (37). Cells lacking another protein called BSG1 (bimodal starch granule 1, Cre02.g091750) have enlarged pyrenoid starch granules under nitrogen-limiting conditions that would normally favor the accumulation of stromal starch, indicating that BSG1 may be involved in controlling the transition from pyrenoid to stroma starch (26). Continued studies of the pyrenoid starch sheath could provide insights into why starch granules across the green lineage have specific morphologies and how these morphologies are produced.

Pyrenoid Tubules Are Thought to Deliver Concentrated CO₂ and May Provide a Path for Diffusion of Calvin Benson Bassham Cycle Metabolites

The pyrenoid tubules, which are continuous with the thylakoid membranes, penetrate into the pyrenoid matrix through distinct gaps in the starch sheath and fuse into a reticulated network at the center (22). When a starch sheath is present, the tubules are thought to be the primary route for entry of inorganic carbon into the pyrenoid: HCO₃⁻ enters the thylakoid lumen via transporters outside the pyrenoid and diffuses along the inside of the tubules before being converted to CO₂ in the portion of the tubules that traverses the matrix (**Figure 5**).

Formation of the starch sheath occurs more slowly than formation of the other components of the pyrenoid, so for a short period of time after the CCM is induced, the starch sheath does not fully enclose the pyrenoid matrix (79). During this time, Rubisco's substrate RuBP and its product 3-PGA can directly diffuse between Rubisco in the pyrenoid and the other CBB cycle enzymes, which are located in the chloroplast stroma (94) (**Figure 6b**).

Cryo-electron tomography images of the pyrenoid tubules have shown that there are several minitubules embedded within each tubule (**Figure 6b**) (22). The lumens of these minitubules are continuous with both the pyrenoid and the chloroplast stroma and are wide enough for the passage of RuBP and 3-PGA. Therefore, the minitubules may serve as a conduit for the diffusion of metabolites between Rubisco in the matrix and other CBB cycle enzymes in the stroma along their respective concentration gradients when the starch sheath is fully formed.

Mutants that lack a pyrenoid matrix still form a pyrenoid tubule network at the canonical location within the chloroplast (16). This observation suggests that the process of building the pyrenoid tubule network occurs separately from the assembly of the rest of the pyrenoid, and that these tubules contain the information for where a pyrenoid should be placed. Therefore, the pyrenoid tubules may actually localize the matrix and the starch sheath.

Although the pyrenoid tubules play a crucial role in the algal CCM, not much is known about their biogenesis. The topology of the transition zone between the thylakoid membranes that exist as stacked sheets in the chloroplast and the more cylindrical tubules that traverse the pyrenoid is complex (22), and how its formation is mediated molecularly is unknown. The protein PSAH (Cre07.g330250), associated with photosystem I, is enriched in the pyrenoid tubules (55) and may have a function there. More research is needed to understand the molecular details of how pyrenoid tubules are formed from thylakoid membranes, as constructing pyrenoid tubules will likely be a crucial step towards eventually installing an algal CCM in land plants.

Early Progress Suggests Promising Prospects of Transferring an Algal CO₂ Concentrating Mechanism into Land Plants

Several important components of the *Chlamydomonas* CCM have been fused to green fluorescent protein (GFP) and expressed in *Arabidopsis* and tobacco leaves to examine their localization (3). Most of these algal proteins localized to the correct subcellular compartment in the plant cell without requiring changes to their protein sequence. For example, the periplasmic carbonic anhydrase CAH1 and the plasma membrane HCO₃[−] transporters LCI1 and HLA3 all localized to the cell periphery. The putative CO₂ recapture complex proteins LCIB and LCIC localized to the chloroplast stroma, while the putative HCO₃[−] channel LCIA was targeted to the chloroplast envelope. These results are encouraging because they suggest that expressing algal CCM components from the nucleus of a land plant will require very few modifications at the protein sequence level, and the key challenge is determining which genes need to be transferred.

As in the case of cyanobacterial transporters, it is not clear whether algal HCO₃[−] transporters are active, and an important goal for the future will be to test their activity. Furthermore, the full algal CCM likely requires HCO₃[−] transport across the thylakoid membranes. A voltage-dependent chloride channel that has homology to the bestrophin-like proteins on the *Chlamydomonas* thylakoids already resides on *Arabidopsis thaliana* thylakoids (34). It will be interesting to learn whether this channel is sufficient for CCM activity or whether it is necessary to express the putative algal HCO₃[−] transporters BST1, BST2 and BST3.

Another important step toward reconstituting a pyrenoid in a plant is to engineer the plant Rubisco small subunit to contain specific residues on the two solvent-facing α -helices present on the *Chlamydomonas* ortholog, which may be required for binding to the Rubisco linker EPYC1




Figure 7

Several milestones toward engineering an algal CO₂ concentrating mechanism (CCM) into land plants can be pursued in parallel.

(63). Atkinson et al. (4) were able to construct a hybrid small subunit which replaced the native *Arabidopsis* small subunit α -helices with those of *Chlamydomonas*. This hybrid small subunit was functional, as demonstrated by its ability to rescue an *Arabidopsis* double mutant that grew slowly due to impairment of two out of four homologous small subunit genes. Modifying these α -helices did not appear to significantly impact the catalytic properties of the Rubisco holoenzyme.

Several stages for engineering an algal system into plants can be pursued in parallel; these include reconstituting a pyrenoid matrix in a land plant, continuing to transfer HCO₃⁻ transporters to plant membranes, studying the mechanisms of pyrenoid tubule biogenesis, and identifying any remaining components required for CCM function (**Figure 7**). After these individual goals are met, an important direction will be to localize a pyrenoid matrix around pyrenoid tubules and combine this matrix/tubule system with HCO₃⁻ transporters. Finally, it may be necessary to express LCIB and LCIC to recapture CO₂ that leaks out of the pyrenoid.

Characterizing and Engineering the Algal CO₂ Concentrating Mechanism Will Benefit from New Resources

Much of the progress that has been made toward understanding the genes involved in the algal CCM has relied on forward genetics studies, wherein mutants generated by chemical mutagenesis or random insertion mutagenesis are screened for a CCM phenotype (93, 104). The classic CCM phenotype is impaired photoautotrophic growth at air levels of CO₂ that is rescued by elevated CO₂. It will be important to be able to approach saturation in such screens to produce a comprehensive list of factors that need to be transferred to plants. To this end, an insertional mutant library has recently been created, covering 83% of *Chlamydomonas* nuclear genes (46). Many new genes with roles in photosynthesis have been identified through pooled screening of this library, and

Table 2 C₄, cyanobacterial, and algal CO₂ concentrating mechanisms (CCMs) each provide different opportunities and challenges for engineering into land plants

Type of CCM	Advantages	Challenges
C ₄ plant	Most closely related evolutionarily to C ₃ plants	Requires engineering tissue development to give leaves Kranz anatomy
Both cyanobacteria and algae	Operates within a single cell, therefore no need to engineer cell differentiation	Requires replacing Rubisco, because Rubisco linkers appear to bind only Rubisco from their host organisms
Cyanobacteria	Components are well characterized	Most evolutionarily distant from plants Components are not natively encoded in a eukaryotic nucleus and targeted to a chloroplast
Algae	Components are natively encoded in a eukaryotic nucleus and targeted to a chloroplast	Components are poorly characterized

some may include novel CCM factors. Additionally, this library now enables the reverse genetic characterization of mutants in genes that become CCM candidates as a result of their sequence or presence in other high-throughput data sets. Furthermore, recent advances in RNA interference (42) and CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing (32) in *Chlamydomonas* provide the complementary ability to study genes that are not represented in the library or homologous genes that may be partially functionally redundant and thus may not show a phenotype in a single-gene knockout mutant.

Systematic characterization of protein localization and protein–protein interactions is synergistic with studies of mutant phenotypes because it identifies new genes of interest and greatly accelerates the process of understanding gene function. A high-throughput fluorescence protein-tagging effort in *Chlamydomonas* has provided data about the localizations of 135 candidate CCM proteins, 89 of which localize to at least six distinct patterns within the pyrenoid (55). Moreover, the interactions of 38 core CCM proteins were identified through affinity purification and mass spectrometry. A parallel effort characterized the *Chlamydomonas* pyrenoid proteome (112), providing independent evidence of pyrenoid localization of proteins that were also identified in the protein-tagging effort and additionally identifying other candidate pyrenoid components.

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

Genetically engineered crops that are able to grow more quickly while using fewer resources will be important for meeting global agricultural demands, which are expected to rise significantly in the near future (97). One promising target for engineering is the improvement of the function of the carbon-fixing enzyme Rubisco through installing a CCM, as this would promote Rubisco's productive carboxylase activity while minimizing its unwanted oxygenase activity. Progress is being made toward understanding a variety of types of CCMs, such as the C₄ pathway found in many plant species and the biophysical CCMs found in single-celled cyanobacteria and algae. Efforts to reconstitute these CCMs into land plants will take many years but are already yielding encouraging preliminary results. Each system has its own set of opportunities and challenges, some of which remain unknown (Table 2), so continuing the pursuit of characterizing and engineering C₄, α -cyanobacterial, β -cyanobacterial, and algal CCMs in parallel will maximize the chance of at least one approach being successful. Exploring hybrid approaches that combine elements from different CCMs could also be advantageous. The availability of genetic tools that can now be used to both study the components of CCMs and begin to engineer them into plants is expected to lead to exciting advances in the near future.

SUMMARY POINTS

1. The enzyme Rubisco is crucial for converting CO₂ into biomass but has limitations, including a relatively slow reaction rate and a competing reaction with O₂.
2. CO₂ concentrating mechanisms (CCMs) enhance Rubisco's CO₂-fixing activity by feeding the enzyme with concentrated CO₂ and increasing the CO₂/O₂ ratio so that carboxylation is favored over oxygenation.
3. The CCMs in both cyanobacteria and green algae operate by concentrating HCO₃[−] through transport and converting the molecule into CO₂ at a site near clustered Rubisco.
4. Rubisco is clustered by linker proteins to form a subcellular structure: the carboxysome in cyanobacteria and the pyrenoid in algae.
5. In recent progress toward the goal of engineering functional cyanobacterial and algal CCMs into plants, researchers have targeted HCO₃[−] transporters from both types of organisms to plant membranes.
6. Carboxysome-like structures have been synthesized in chassis organisms and land plants, and a pyrenoid matrix has been reconstituted in vitro.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

M.C.J. is funded by National Science Foundation grant MCB-1935444, which aims to engineer an algal CO₂ concentrating mechanism into land plants, and is a co-inventor on a patent application titled “Rubisco-Binding Protein Motifs and Uses Thereof,” which offers tools relevant to efforts to engineer CO₂ concentrating mechanisms into land plants.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Moritz Meyer, Eric Franklin, Alexandra Wilson, Shan He, Lianyong Wang, Michael Bender, Cornelia Spetea Wiklund, and the anonymous reviewer for their helpful input on the manuscript. Recent research by J.H.H. in this area has been supported by the National Institute of General Medical Science of the National Institutes of Health (T32GM007388). The research of M.C.J. is funded by the National Institutes of Health (DP2-GM-119137), the Simons Foundation and Howard Hughes Medical Institute (55108535), the Department of Energy (DE-SC0020195) and the National Science Foundation (MCB-1914989 and MCB-1935444).

LITERATURE CITED

1. Ainsworth EA, Long SP. 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO₂. *New Phytol.* 165:351–71
2. Antal TK, Kovalenko IB, Rubin AB, Tyystjarvi E. 2013. Photosynthesis-related quantities for education and modeling. *Photosynth. Res.* 117:1–30
3. Atkinson N, Feike D, Mackinder LCM, Meyer MT, Griffiths H, et al. 2016. Introducing an algal carbon-concentrating mechanism into higher plants: location and incorporation of key components. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 14:1302–15
4. Atkinson N, Leitão N, Orr DJ, Meyer MT, Carmo-Silva E, et al. 2017. Rubisco small subunits from the unicellular green alga *Cblamydomonas* complement Rubisco-deficient mutants of *Arabidopsis*. *New Phytol.* 214(2):655–67

3. Several algal CCM components could localize to their corresponding subcellular compartments in tobacco and *Arabidopsis*.

5. Atkinson N, Velanis CN, Wunder T, Clarke DJ, Mueller-Cajar O, McCormick AJ. 2019. The pyrenoid linker protein EPYC1 phase separates with hybrid Arabidopsis–*Chlamydomonas* Rubisco through interactions with the algal Rubisco small subunit. *J. Exp. Bot.* 70:5271–85
 6. Aubry S, Brown NJ, Hibberd JM. 2011. The role of proteins in C₃ plants prior to their recruitment into the C₄ pathway. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62:3049–59
 7. Badger MR, Price GD. 2003. CO₂ concentrating mechanisms in cyanobacteria: molecular components, their diversity and evolution. *J. Exp. Bot.* 54:609–22
 8. Badger MR, Price GD, Long BM, Woodger FJ. 2006. The environmental plasticity and ecological genomics of the cyanobacterial CO₂ concentrating mechanism. *J. Exp. Bot.* 57(2):249–65
 9. Bar-Even A, Noor E, Savir Y, Liebermeister W, Davidi D, et al. 2011. The moderately efficient enzyme: evolutionary and physicochemical trends shaping enzyme parameters. *Biochemistry* 50:4402–10
 10. Battchikova N, Eisenhut M, Aro E-M. 2011. Cyanobacterial NDH-1 complexes: novel insights and remaining puzzles. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerget.* 1807:935–44
 11. Bonacci W, Teng PK, Afonso B, Niederholtmeyer H, Grob P, et al. 2012. Modularity of a carbon-fixing protein organelle. *PNAS* 109:478–83
 12. Borkhsenius ON, Mason CB, Moroney JV. 1998. The intracellular localization of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant Physiol.* 116:1585–91
 13. Cai F, Bernstein SL, Wilson SC, Kerfeld CA. 2016. Production and characterization of synthetic carboxysome shells with incorporated luminal proteins. *Plant Physiol.* 170:1868–77
 14. Cai F, Dou Z, Bernstein SL, Leverenz R, Williams EB, et al. 2015. Advances in understanding carboxysome assembly in *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* implicate CsoS2 as a critical component. *Life* 5:1141–71
 15. **Cameron JC, Wilson SC, Bernstein SL, Kerfeld CA. 2013. Biogenesis of a bacterial organelle: the carboxysome assembly pathway. *Cell* 155:1131–40**
 16. Caspari OD, Meyer MT, Tollerle D, Wittkopp TM, Cuniffe NJ, et al. 2017. Pyrenoid loss in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* causes limitations in CO₂ supply, but not thylakoid operating efficiency. *J. Exp. Bot.* 68:3903–13
 17. Chaijarasphong T, Nichols RJ, Kortright KE, Nixon CF, Teng PK, et al. 2016. Programmed ribosomal frameshifting mediates expression of the α -carboxysome. *J. Mol. Biol.* 428:153–64
 18. DiMario RJ, Clayton H, Mukherjee A, Ludwig M, Moroney JV. 2017. Plant carbonic anhydrases: structures, locations, evolution, and physiological roles. *Mol. Plant* 10:30–46
 19. Du J, Förster B, Rourke L, Howitt SM, Price GD. 2014. Characterisation of cyanobacterial bicarbonate transporters in *E. coli* shows that SbtA homologs are functional in this heterologous expression system. *PLOS ONE* 9:e115905
 20. Duanmu D, Miller AR, Horken KM, Weeks DP, Spalding MH. 2009. Knockdown of limiting-CO₂-induced gene *HLA3* decreases HCO₃[−] transport and photosynthetic Ci affinity in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *PNAS* 106:5990–95
 21. Edwards GE, Franceschi VR, Voznesenskaya EV. 2004. Single-cell C₄ photosynthesis versus the dual-cell (Kranz) paradigm. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 55:173–96
 22. Engel BD, Schaffer M, Kuhn Cuellar L, Villa E, Plitzko JM, Baumeister W. 2015. Native architecture of the *Chlamydomonas* chloroplast revealed by in situ cryo-electron tomography. *eLife* 4:e04889
 23. **Fang Y, Huang F, Faulkner M, Jiang Q, Dykes GF, et al. 2018. Engineering and modulating functional cyanobacterial CO₂-fixing organelles. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9:739**
 24. Fett JP, Coleman JR. 1994. Regulation of periplasmic carbonic anhydrase expression in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* by acetate and pH. *Plant Physiol.* 106(1):103–8
 25. Field CB, Behrenfeld MJ, Randerson JT, Falkowski P. 1998. Primary production of the biosphere: integrating terrestrial and oceanic components. *Science* 281:237–40
 26. Findinier J, Laurent S, Duchêne T, Roussel X, Lancelon-Pin C, et al. 2019. Deletion of BSG1 in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* leads to abnormal starch granule size and morphology. *Sci. Rep.* 9:1990
 27. Flamholz AI, Prywes N, Moran U, Davidi D, Bar-On YM, et al. 2019. Revisiting trade-offs between Rubisco kinetic parameters. *Biochemistry* 58:3365–76
-
15. Observing β -carboxysome formation in Δccm cells expressing truncated *ccm* operons provided key insights into the order of assembly of β -carboxysomes.
-
23. A synthetic β -carboxysome with functional Rubisco was assembled in *E. coli* using 12 genes from the β -cyanobacterium *Synechococcus elongatus* PCC7942.
-

28. The *Chlamydomonas* pyrenoid matrix has liquid-like properties, and a portion disperses at the end of each cell cycle.

28. Freeman Rosenzweig ES, Xu B, Kuhn Cuellar L, Martinez-Sanchez A, Schaffer M, et al. 2017. The eukaryotic CO₂-concentrating organelle is liquid-like and exhibits dynamic reorganization. *Cell* 171:148–62.e19
29. Furbank RT. 2016. Walking the C₄ pathway: past, present, and future. *J. Exp. Bot.* 67:4057–66
30. García MA, Martino MN, Zaritzky NE. 1999. Edible starch films and coatings characterization: scanning electron microscopy, water vapor, and gas permeabilities. *Scanning* 21:348–53
31. Ghannoum O, Evans JR, von Caemmerer S. 2010. Nitrogen and water use efficiency of C₄ plants. In *C₄ Photosynthesis and Related CO₂ Concentrating Mechanisms*, Vol. 32, ed. A Raghavendra, R Sage, pp. 129–146. Dordrecht, Neth: Springer
32. Guzmán-Zapata D, Sandoval-Vargas JM, Macedo-Osorio KS, Salgado-Manjarrez E, Castrejón-Flores JL, et al. 2019. Efficient editing of the nuclear APT reporter gene in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* via expression of a CRISPR-Cas9 module. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20:1247
33. Hanson MR, Gray BN, Ahner BA. 2013. Chloroplast transformation for engineering of photosynthesis. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64:731–42
34. Herdean A, Teardo E, Nilsson AK, Pfeil BE, Johansson ON, et al. 2016. A voltage-dependent chloride channel fine-tunes photosynthesis in plants. *Nat. Commun.* 7:11654
35. Ho C, Sturtevant JM. 1963. The kinetics of the hydration of carbon dioxide at 25°. *J. Biol. Chem.* 238:3499–501
36. Iancu CV, Morris DM, Dou Z, Heinhorst S, Cannon GC, Jensen GJ. 2010. Organization, structure, and assembly of α -carboxysomes determined by electron cryotomography of intact cells. *J. Mol. Biol.* 396:105–17
37. Itakura AK, Chan KX, Atkinson N, Pallesen L, Wang L, et al. 2019. A Rubisco-binding protein is required for normal pyrenoid number and starch sheath morphology in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *PNAS* 116:18445–54
38. Jablonski LM, Wang X, Curtis PS. 2002. Plant reproduction under elevated CO₂ conditions: a meta-analysis of reports on 79 crop and wild species. *New Phytol.* 156:9–26
39. Jin S, Sun J, Wunder T, Tang D, Cousins AB, et al. 2016. Structural insights into the LCIB protein family reveals a new group of β -carbonic anhydrases. *PNAS* 113:14716–21
40. Kerfeld CA, Melnicki M. 2016. Assembly, function and evolution of cyanobacterial carboxysomes. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 31:66–75
41. Khalifah RG. 1971. The carbon dioxide hydration activity of carbonic anhydrase. I. Stop-flow kinetic studies on the native human isoenzymes B and C. *J. Biol. Chem.* 246:2561–73
42. Kim E-J, Cerutti H. 2009. Targeted gene silencing by RNA interference in *Chlamydomonas*. *Methods Cell Biol.* 93:99–110
43. Kinney JN, Axen SD, Kerfeld CA. 2011. Comparative analysis of carboxysome shell proteins. *Photosynth. Res.* 109:21–32
44. Kinney JN, Salmeen A, Cai F, Kerfeld CA. 2012. Elucidating essential role of conserved carboxysomal protein CcmN reveals common feature of bacterial microcompartment assembly. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287:17729–36
45. Larsson AM, Hasse D, Vålegård K, Andersson I. 2017. Crystal structures of β -carboxysome shell protein CcmP: Ligand binding correlates with the closed or open central pore. *J. Exp. Bot.* 68:3857–67
46. Li X, Patena W, Fauser F, Jinkerson RE, Saroussi S, et al. 2019. A genome-wide algal mutant library and functional screen identifies genes required for eukaryotic photosynthesis. *Nat. Genet.* 51:627–35
47. Lin MT, Occhialini A, Andralojc PJ, Parry MAJ, Hanson MR. 2014. A faster Rubisco with potential to increase photosynthesis in crops. *Nature* 513:547–50
48. Liu Y, He X, Lim W, Mueller J, Lawrie J, et al. 2018. Deciphering molecular details in the assembly of alpha-type carboxysome. *Sci. Rep.* 8:15062
49. Long BM, Badger MR, Whitney SM, Price GD. 2007. Analysis of carboxysomes from *Synechococcus* PCC7942 reveals multiple Rubisco complexes with carboxysomal proteins CcmM and CcaA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:29323–35
50. Long BM, Hee WY, Sharwood RE, Rae BD, Kaines S, et al. 2018. Carboxysome encapsulation of the CO₂-fixing enzyme Rubisco in tobacco chloroplasts. *Nat. Commun.* 9:3570

50. α -Carboxysome-like structures were formed in tobacco chloroplasts through transformation of a minimal gene set.

51. Long BM, Rae BD, Badger MR, Price GD. 2011. Over-expression of the β -carboxysomal CcmM protein in *Synechococcus* PCC7942 reveals a tight co-regulation of carboxysomal carbonic anhydrase (CcaA) and M58 content. *Photosynth. Res.* 109:33–45
52. Long BM, Tucker L, Badger MR, Price GD. 2010. Functional cyanobacterial β -carboxysomes have an absolute requirement for both long and short forms of the CcmM protein. *Plant Physiol.* 153:285–93
53. Long SP, Marshall-Colon A, Zhu X-G. 2015. Meeting the global food demand of the future by engineering crop photosynthesis and yield potential. *Cell* 161:56–66
54. Machingura MC, Bajsa-Hirschel J, Laborde SM, Schwartzenburg JB, Mukherjee B, et al. 2017. Identification and characterization of a solute carrier, CIA8, involved in inorganic carbon acclimation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *J. Exp. Bot.* 68:3879–90
55. **Mackinder LCM, Chen C, Leib RD, Patena W, Blum SR, et al. 2017. A spatial interactome reveals the protein organization of the algal CO₂-concentrating mechanism. *Cell* 171:133–47.e14**
56. Mackinder LCM, Meyer MT, Mettler-Altmann T, Chen VK, Mitchell MC, et al. 2016. A repeat protein links Rubisco to form the eukaryotic carbon-concentrating organelle. *PNAS* 113:5958–63
57. Mahinthichaichan P, Morris DM, Wang Y, Jensen GJ, Tajkhorshid E. 2018. Selective permeability of carboxysome shell pores to anionic molecules. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 122:9110–18
58. Mangan NM, Flamholz A, Hood RD, Milo R, Savage DF. 2016. pH determines the energetic efficiency of the cyanobacterial CO₂ concentrating mechanism. *PNAS* 113:E5354–62
59. Mariscal V, Moulin P, Orsel M, Miller AJ, Fernández E, Galván A. 2006. Differential regulation of the *Chlamydomonas* *Nar1* gene family by carbon and nitrogen. *Protist* 157:421–33
60. McCloskey MA, Duanmu D, Bengé N, Spalding MH. 2017. The HLA3 protein of *C. reinhardtii* enhances HCO₃[−]-transport activity of mammalian cells. *Biophys. J.* 112:571A
61. McGrath JM, Long SP. 2014. Can the cyanobacterial carbon-concentrating mechanism increase photosynthesis in crop species? A theoretical analysis. *Plant Physiol.* 164:2247–61
62. McGurn LD, Moazami-Goudarzi M, White SA, Suwal T, Brar B, et al. 2016. The structure, kinetics and interactions of the β -carboxysomal β -carbonic anhydrase, CcaA. *Biochem. J.* 473:4559–72
63. Meyer MT, Genkov T, Skepper JN, Jouhet J, Mitchell MC, et al. 2012. Rubisco small-subunit α -helices control pyrenoid formation in *Chlamydomonas*. *PNAS* 109:19474–79
64. Meyer MT, Whittaker C, Griffiths H. 2017. The algal pyrenoid: key unanswered questions. *J. Exp. Bot.* 68:3739–49
65. Moroney JV, Ma Y, Frey WD, Fusilier KA, Pham TT, et al. 2011. The carbonic anhydrase isoforms of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*: intracellular location, expression, and physiological roles. *Photosynth. Res.* 109:133–49
66. Moroney JV, Ynalvez RA. 2007. Proposed carbon dioxide concentrating mechanism in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Eukaryot. Cell* 6:1251–59
67. Mukherjee A, Lau CS, Walker CE, Rai AK, Prejean CI, et al. 2019. Thylakoid localized bestrophin-like proteins are essential for the CO₂ concentrating mechanism of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *PNAS* 116:16915–20
68. Ohnishi N, Mukherjee B, Tsujikawa T, Yanase M, Nakano H, et al. 2010. Expression of a low CO₂-inducible protein, LCII, increases inorganic carbon uptake in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant Cell* 22:3105–17
69. Pengelly JJL, Förster B, von Caemmerer S, Badger MR, Price GD, Whitney SM. 2014. Transplastomic integration of a cyanobacterial bicarbonate transporter into tobacco chloroplasts. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65:3071–80
70. Peterhansel C, Horst I, Niessen M, Blume C, Kebeish R, et al. 2010. Photorespiration. *Arabidopsis Book* 8:e0130
71. Price GD. 2011. Inorganic carbon transporters of the cyanobacterial CO₂ concentrating mechanism. *Photosynth. Res.* 109:47–57
72. **Price GD, Badger MR. 1989. Expression of human carbonic anhydrase in the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* PCC7942 creates a high CO₂-requiring phenotype: evidence for a central role for carboxysomes in the CO₂ concentrating mechanism. *Plant Physiol.* 91:505–13**
73. Price GD, Badger MR, von Caemmerer S. 2011. The prospect of using cyanobacterial bicarbonate transporters to improve leaf photosynthesis in C₃ crop plants. *Plant Physiol.* 155:20–26

55. This protein–protein interactome study in *Chlamydomonas* has illuminated multiple novel interactions in the algal CCM.

72. Expressing a carbonic anhydrase in the cyanobacterial cytosol impairs the CCM, demonstrating that HCO₃[−] is maintained at high concentrations in the cytosol.

74. Price GD, Coleman JR, Badger MR. 1992. Association of carbonic anhydrase activity with carboxysomes isolated from the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* PCC7942. *Plant Physiol.* 100:784–93
75. Price GD, Howitt SM, Harrison K, Badger MR. 1993. Analysis of a genomic DNA region from the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. strain PCC7942 involved in carboxysome assembly and function. *J. Bacteriol.* 175:2871–79
76. Qu Z, Hartzell HC. 2008. Bestrophin Cl⁻ channels are highly permeable to HCO₃⁻. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 294:C1371–77
77. Rae BD, Long BM, Badger MR, Price GD. 2012. Structural determinants of the outer shell of β -carboxysomes in *Synechococcus elongatus* PCC 7942: roles for CcmK2, K3-K4, CcmO, and CcmL. *PLOS ONE* 7:e43871
78. Rae BD, Long BM, Badger MR, Price GD. 2013. Functions, compositions, and evolution of the two types of carboxysomes: polyhedral microcompartments that facilitate CO₂ fixation in cyanobacteria and some proteobacteria. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 77:357–79
79. Ramazanov Z, Rawat M, Henk MC, Mason CB, Matthews SW, Moroney JV. 1994. The induction of the CO₂-concentrating mechanism is correlated with the formation of the starch sheath around the pyrenoid of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Planta* 195:210–16
80. Raven JA. 1997. CO₂-concentrating mechanisms: a direct role for thylakoid lumen acidification? *Plant Cell Environ.* 20:147–54
81. Raven JA. 2013. Rubisco: still the most abundant protein of Earth? *New Phytol.* 198:1–3
82. Reinfelder JR, Milligan AJ, Morel FMM. 2004. The role of the C₄ pathway in carbon accumulation and fixation in a marine diatom. *Plant Physiology* 135:2106–11
83. **Rolland V, Badger MR, Price GD. 2016. Redirecting the cyanobacterial bicarbonate transporters BicA and SbtA to the chloroplast envelope: Soluble and membrane cargos need different chloroplast targeting signals in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:185**
84. Ryan P, Forrester TJB, Wroblewski C, Kenney TMG, Kitova EN, et al. 2019. The small RbcS-like domains of the β -carboxysome structural protein CcmM bind RubisCO at a site distinct from that binding the RbcS subunit. *J. Biol. Chem.* 294:2593–603
85. Savir Y, Noor E, Milo R, Tlustý T. 2010. Cross-species analysis traces adaptation of Rubisco toward optimality in a low-dimensional landscape. *PNAS* 107:3475–80
86. Schlüter U, Weber APM. 2020. Regulation and evolution of C₄ photosynthesis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 71:183–215
87. Schuler ML, Mantegazza O, Weber APM. 2016. Engineering C₄ photosynthesis into C₃ chassis in the synthetic biology age. *Plant J.* 87:51–65
88. Schuller JM, Saura P, Thiemann J, Schuller SK, Gamiz-Hernandez AP, et al. 2020. Redox-coupled proton pumping drives carbon concentration in the photosynthetic complex I. *Nat. Commun.* 11:494
89. Sharpe RM, Offermann S. 2014. One decade after the discovery of single-cell C₄ species in terrestrial plants: What did we learn about the minimal requirements of C₄ photosynthesis? *Photosynth. Res.* 119:169–80
90. Sommer M, Cai F, Melnicki M, Kerfeld CA. 2017. β -Carboxysome bioinformatics: identification and evolution of new bacterial microcompartment protein gene classes and core locus constraints. *J. Exp. Bot.* 68:3841–55
91. Sommer M, Sutter M, Gupta S, Kirst H, Turmo A, et al. 2019. Heterohexamers formed by CcmK3 and CcmK4 increase the complexity of beta carboxysome shells. *Plant Physiol.* 179:156–67
92. South PF, Cavanagh AP, Liu HW, Ort DR. 2019. Synthetic glycolate metabolism pathways stimulate crop growth and productivity in the field. *Science* 363:eaat9077
93. Spalding MH, Spreitzer RJ, Ogren WL. 1983. Carbonic anhydrase-deficient mutant of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* requires elevated carbon dioxide concentration for photoautotrophic growth. *Plant Physiol.* 73:268–72
94. Suss KH, Prokhorenko I, Adler K. 1995. In situ association of Calvin cycle enzymes, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase activase, ferredoxin-NADP⁺ reductase, and nitrite reductase with thylakoid and pyrenoid membranes of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* chloroplasts as revealed by immunoelectron microscopy. *Plant Physiol.* 107:1387–97

95. Tanaka S, Kerfeld CA, Sawaya MR, Cai F, Heinhorst S, et al. 2008. Atomic-level models of the bacterial carboxysome shell. *Science* 319:1083–86
96. Tcherkez GGB, Farquhar GD, Andrews TJ. 2006. Despite slow catalysis and confused substrate specificity, all ribulose biphosphate carboxylases may be nearly perfectly optimized. *PNAS* 103:7246–51
97. Tilman D, Balzer C, Hill J, Belfort BL. 2011. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. *PNAS* 108:20260–64
98. Uehara S, Adachi F, Ito-Inaba Y, Inaba T. 2016. Specific and efficient targeting of cyanobacterial bicarbonate transporters to the inner envelope membrane of chloroplasts in *Arabidopsis*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:16
99. van Lun M, Hub JS, van der Spoel D, Andersson I. 2014. CO₂ and O₂ distribution in Rubisco suggests the small subunit functions as a CO₂ reservoir. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 136:3165–71
100. Villarejo A, Martinez F, del Pino Plumed M, Ramazanov Z. 1996. The induction of the CO₂ concentrating mechanism in a starch-less mutant of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Physiol. Plant.* 98:798–802
101. Volokita M, Zenvirth D, Kaplan A, Reinhold L. 1984. Nature of the inorganic carbon species actively taken up by the cyanobacterium *Anabaena variabilis*. *Plant Physiol.* 76:599–602
102. Walker BJ, VanLoocke A, Bernacchi CJ, Ort DR. 2016. The costs of photorespiration to food production now and in the future. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 67:107–29
103. Wang H, Yan X, Aigner H, Bracher A, Nguyen ND, et al. 2019. Rubisco condensate formation by CcmM in β -carboxysome biogenesis. *Nature* 566:131–35
104. Wang L, Yamano T, Kajikawa M, Hirono M, Fukuzawa H. 2014. Isolation and characterization of novel high-CO₂-requiring mutants of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Photosynth. Res.* 121:175–84
105. Wang Y, Spalding MH. 2014. Acclimation to very low CO₂: contribution of limiting CO₂ inducible proteins, LCIB and LCIA, to inorganic carbon uptake in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant Physiol.* 166:2040–50
106. Wunder T, Cheng SLH, Lai S-K, Li H-Y, Mueller-Cajar O. 2018. The phase separation underlying the pyrenoid-based microalgal Rubisco supercharger. *Nat. Commun.* 9:5076
107. Yamano T, Sato E, Iguchi H, Fukuda Y, Fukuzawa H. 2015. Characterization of cooperative bicarbonate uptake into chloroplast stroma in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *PNAS* 112:7315–20
108. Yamano T, Tsujikawa T, Hatano K, Ozawa S-I, Takahashi Y, Fukuzawa H. 2010. Light and low-CO₂-dependent LCIB-LCIC complex localization in the chloroplast supports the carbon-concentrating mechanism in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 51:1453–68
109. Yeates TO, Kerfeld CA, Heinhorst S, Cannon GC, Shively JM. 2008. Protein-based organelles in bacteria: carboxysomes and related microcompartments. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6:681–91
110. Yin X, Struik PC. 2017. Can increased leaf photosynthesis be converted into higher crop mass production? A simulation study for rice using the crop model GECROS. *J. Exp. Bot.* 68:2345–60
111. Zeeman SC, Kossmann J, Smith AM. 2010. Starch: its metabolism, evolution, and biotechnological modification in plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 61:209–34
112. Zhan Y, Marchand CH, Maes A, Mauries A, Sun Y, et al. 2018. Pyrenoid functions revealed by proteomics in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *PLOS ONE* 13:e0185039
98. The cyanobacterial HCO₃[−] transporters BicA and SbtA were targeted to the *Arabidopsis* chloroplast envelope inner membrane.
106. Rubisco and its linker EPYC1 were sufficient to form pyrenoid matrix-like droplets in vitro.