



Photograph of John Henry and Anna Botsford Comstock made from a portrait painted in 1920 by Professor Olaf Brauner.

THE COMSTOCKS AND CORNELL: IN THE PEOPLE'S SERVICE

◆6099

Edward H. Smith

Department of Entomology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853

John Henry and Anna Botsford Comstock, as a husband-wife team, served Cornell University for over 50 years. Their labors together added two new dimensions, entomology and nature study, to the disciplines under study at the university. Their influence was extended to thousands of students not only in the classroom but also through the hospitality of their home.

Their period of service commenced almost with the founding of the university. They and the university grew and matured together, the impulses of their intellect being in harmony with the spirit of the new center of learning. The university in turn was founded in response to the educational aspirations of a growing nation. The social, scientific, and economic climate of the times is mirrored in the character of these individuals and in the institution that so completely captured their loyalty and devotion.

Because the development of the individuals and the institution are so intertwined, I first consider the Comstocks' contribution in the context of the growing institution and the times that gave rise to it and, secondly, seek from their formula for achievement some guidelines that might be useful in our present way of life.

For information on the Comstocks, we turn first to Anna Comstock's autobiography, *The Comstocks of Cornell* (21). This provides glimpses of their background and early life at Cornell and illuminates, in a personal way, their tribulations and victories. There are several sources by individuals who knew them well—Needham (80), Herrick (73), and Howard (74), all students and colleagues of the Comstocks. These are written with personal warmth and admiration. In addition to these firsthand sources, Comstockian threads run through histories of the institution and its founders (1, 3, 12, 86, 89, 92). There is also material in the Department of Manuscripts and University Archives of Cornell University (not all of which was studied in the preparation of this report).

The contribution of Howard E. Evans to the program commemorating the centennial of entomology at Cornell is acknowledged as a particularly useful source.

His report, "The Comstock Heritage," appeared in full in the departmental publication, *Comstock Comment* (64b) and in abridged form in the commemorative volume (85).

LIFE IN THE EARLY AND MID-1800s

The Comstocks were products of the culture of America in the early to mid-1800s. This was a period of intellectual restiveness and economic austerity. Life was not easy in those times. Ill health was common, with infectious diseases still taking a heavy toll. Religion had a major influence on the general outlook. It offered the prospect of salvation from sin and heavenly reward for those who obeyed the rules; the work ethic of toil, endurance, and suffering, was glorified. Such annoyances as fleas and bedbugs were among life's normal vicissitudes.

Despite the drabness of the daily routine, people believed in the ultimate goodness of man, that enlightenment would conquer evil, and that science would provide the means of ordering the universe and bending it to human ends. One particularly interesting paradox of that era, cited by Bishop (4), is that artistic beauty was rejected whereas esthetic appreciation of natural beauty was accepted. Austerity characterized the decorations of homes and churches—beauty being an instrument of evil—but the beauty of nature was generally appreciated and condoned. Certainly the poets found it an apt object for their expression.

The national culture of the early 1800s reflected a growing appreciation of science, and intensified the conflict between science and theology. People believed that science had utilitarian value in improving the economic capacity of the nation and were eager to sever their dependence on the European centers of science and education and to assert control of their own destiny. One of the foremost centers of such thought was Albany, New York, the state capital. Its influence was felt upon men of government, science, and education, including Ezra Cornell and Andrew D. White.

Recent developments, such as the steam engine, the harnessing of electricity, and the telegraph, provided a preview of science and technology being adapted to useful ends. Men of vision saw prospects of harnessing the vast resources of the country on a scale unprecedented in human history. This excitement inspired efforts to take stock of natural resources, to advance science and technology, and to educate the people. The founding of the Smithsonian Institution, the National Academy of Sciences, and various new colleges was evidence of these national aspirations.

Having savored the flavor of their times, let us now return to the Comstocks.

BACKGROUND AND YOUTH

Ebenezer Comstock moved from Massachusetts to Stephentown, New York, as a young man to seek his livelihood as a teacher. In 1847 he married Susan Allen, believed to be a descendent of Ethan Allen, the famed Revolutionary War leader. Shortly thereafter, Ebenezer heeded the dictum popularized by Horace Greely—"Go west, young man, go west!"—and migrated to Wisconsin to establish a farm.

Their son, John Henry, was born there on February 24, 1849 (22). Then came exciting news of the discovery of gold in California, and Ebenezer joined the gold rush. He died of cholera en route. Back on the farm things went badly for Mrs. Comstock. She lost the farm and began the eastward trek to rejoin her people in New York. Here she earned her livelihood as a housekeeper and nurse. Young Henry was placed in an orphanage and with relatives; at the age of 11 his wanderings brought him to the home of Lewis and Rebecca Turner. Turner was a captain of schooners on the Great Lakes and his three sons had followed him as sailors. The sons had left home before Henry's arrival, and the boy profited from the kindly atmosphere of the home. The Turners provided him a home, and in return he performed chores around the house and farm. These were staunch people, with active minds and an uncommon capacity for lighthearted diversion and activity—perhaps a special need of sailors. Recognizing that the little fellow was too frail for deck duty, Mrs. Turner taught him to cook. At age 15, he began his career as a sailor, serving as cabin boy and cook. The winter season provided him an opportunity for formal schooling, and while aboard ship he had an opportunity to read whatever books were available to him.

Throughout his childhood he corresponded with his mother, and his letters expressed his deep longing for her, even in the congenial setting of the Turner home. Although he saw her but rarely after the age of four, she continued to be a guiding influence in his life. He shared with his mother his goal to pursue a profession and to achieve. The prevailing religious and social attitudes toward austerity, good works, and perseverance became part of his philosophy.

Young Henry was keenly interested in the issues of the Civil War. At 14, he tried to enlist in support of the Union cause but was rejected because of his age and small stature (23).

Comstock's industriousness and capacity for learning were noted by his teachers, and he was encouraged to prepare himself for college. He attended Falley Seminary, a college preparatory school, and in 1869, at the age of 20, he completed his preparation for college. His training was strongly oriented toward the classics, with attention also given to art and music. He had found languages difficult but liked mathematics and all of the natural sciences.

Comstock had been undecided about a career, although he considered medicine and the ministry. His choice of entomology was apparently decided by a chance incident. While in port at Buffalo he went to a book store seeking a botany book, this quest having been stimulated by his interest in the plants of the Great Lakes. He found no botany books but found instead Harris' classic *Insects Injurious to Vegetation* (71). This book, now in the library of the Department of Entomology at Cornell, bears Comstock's inscription¹ (see following page).

Anna Botsford was born September 1, 1854, in Otto, Cattaraugus County, New York, the only child of Marvin and Phoeby Botsford. Her parents were well estab-

¹"I purchased this book for ten dollars in Buffalo, N.Y., July 2, 1870. I think it was the first Entomological work I ever saw. Before seeing it I had never given Entomology a serious thought; from the time that I bought it I felt that I should like to make the study of insects my life's work. Nov. 19, 1876, J.H.C."

*I purchased this book for ten
dollars in Buffalo N. Y. July 2, 1870.
I think it was the first Entomological
work I ever saw. Before seeing it I
had never given Entomology a serious
thought; from the time that I bought
it I felt that I should like to make
the study of Insects my life's work
J.H.S.
Nov. 19, 1876*

lished and active in the cultural and intellectual life of the community. Mrs. Botsford, a gracious and industrious lady, shared with her daughter a keen interest in nature; their field trips together were a source of great pleasure.

Anna attended the local schools and spent two years at Chamberlain Institute and Female College, a Methodist school at nearby Randolph, before enrolling in modern languages and literature at Cornell in 1874. She was of a liberal mind, perhaps because of her father's liberal bent, which was evidenced by his rebellion against the religious dogma of his parents.

This brief review serves to establish similarities and differences between the two at the point of young adulthood. The contrasts are striking. Henry, five years her senior, small in stature, and in poor health, had been a child of misfortune. He was nervous, serious, and grimly determined to make his way. By contrast, Anna had been reared in a secure home in an atmosphere of intellectual stimulation. She had a vibrant spirit, pleasing personality, and attractive appearance. Both had inquiring minds and both were given to questioning the status quo, particularly as it related to theology.

THE EMERGENCE OF ENTOMOLOGY AS A SCIENTIFIC DISCIPLINE

With the Comstocks at the threshold of adulthood and university training, and Cornell University about to open its doors in 1868, let us consider the status of the study of entomology. We tend to forget how young is the scientific method and the discipline of entomology.

The essential biological concepts had been provided by seventeenth, eighteenth, and early nineteenth century European workers. The microscope had extended the

power of observation, the printing press had aided communication, and the species concept had been proposed. Linnaeus had provided the binomial system of nomenclature in 1758 (77a); analogy and homology were recognized. There was some grasp of the relationship of anatomy and physiology, genetics, etc. And in 1859, Darwin's *Origin of Species* (64a) provided a framework into which biological principles could be fitted.

The environment in the United States was favorable for the establishment and expansion of the emerging scientific concepts. In 1812, the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia was formed with an interest in descriptive work. From this early effort came Thomas Say's classic, *American Entomology, or Descriptions of the Insects of North America* (87a). W. D. Peck published on the injurious insects of the New England states and taught at Harvard in the early 1800s. Dr. T. W. Harris, a student of Peck's at Harvard, published a series of papers on life histories of insects and their economic importance which culminated in his 1841 *Report on Insects Injurious to Vegetation* (70a). This classic earned for him the designation as founder of applied entomology in America, and, as we have seen, had a direct influence on Comstock. A. J. Cook began teaching entomology at Michigan Agricultural College about 1867.

In 1846, Louis Agassiz arrived at Harvard, bringing with him a grasp of the natural history of Europe, and there embarked upon his great task as advocate and promoter of natural history in North America. The influence of this charismatic leader was to be felt throughout the land and in a special way at Cornell.

In New York, the state legislature appropriated funds for investigation of insect losses. Dr. Asa Fitch headed the effort from 1854 to 1872 and is generally regarded as the first state entomologist. Workers in other areas, including B. D. Walsh in Illinois, C. V. Riley in Missouri, A. R. Grote in Buffalo, and E. T. Cresson in Philadelphia were gaining recognition. A. S. Packard, a student of Agassiz, published *A Guide to the Study of Insects* in 1869 (84a). The "Missouri Reports," by C. V. Riley, began in 1869.

In the federal government the Bureau of Agriculture was established in 1853 with Townsend Glover as entomologist. Efforts in the United States were enhanced by the pioneering work in Canada by Rev. C. J. S. Bethune and Dr. William Saunders and by the establishment of the Ontario Entomological Society in 1870.

Thus by 1869, when Comstock arrived at Cornell, the prerequisites for the emergence of entomology as a discipline had been met. Comstock was to come under the direct influence of the work and personalities of most of the individuals cited. He was to devote the rest of his life to synthesizing and recording this accumulated knowledge of insects.

THE INSTITUTIONAL SETTING

Higher education in the early to mid-1800s had been in the doldrums, becalmed on the sea of tradition and religious dogma but with clear signs of storms ahead. Following the Civil War, there was a great surge of national aspiration, which had

its roots in the idealism of the founding fathers, the desire to "bind up the wounds," and the pragmatic need to move from an agricultural to an industrial society.

The idealism espoused by Franklin and Jefferson was gaining popular support. They believed in a free society in which the search for truth should proceed unfettered by civil and ecclesiastical control; they further believed that the utility of knowledge, together with its acquisition and dissemination, was an appropriate concern for a university.

These national stirrings were reflected in the concept of a new kind of college: a college in the people's service. The plan had a vigorous proponent in Congressman Justin S. Morrill of Vermont, the able son of a blacksmith and himself a man with little formal education. In 1862, the Morrill Land Grant Act was passed providing funds for "at least one college where the leading object will be, without excluding the other scientific and classical studies, . . . to teach such branches of learning as are related to agriculture and the mechanic arts, . . . in order to promote the liberal and practical education of the industrial classes in the several pursuits and professions of life." (5) It is interesting to note that this legislative landmark came at a low point in the national outlook: the country at that point was locked in the bloody conflict of the Civil War. At last legislation was provided through which the classical educational tradition could be broken.

The Land Grant Act provided the stimulus for the founding of Cornell. Its founders were an unlikely team: Ezra Cornell and Andrew D. White. Cornell was a self-made, shrewd business man, as well as a farmer, developer, and politician. His early partnership with S. F. B. Morse in developing telegraph lines led to financial success. His wealth weighed heavily on his social consciousness. He had the will, resources, and vision to support the university concept but not the intellectual endowments to lead it. These were provided by Andrew D. White, a colleague in the state senate. The son of affluent and educated parents and a graduate of Yale, White was in many ways Cornell's opposite. He had traveled widely to the European centers of learning and had the advantage of experience as a faculty member at the University of Michigan. For a number of years, he had contemplated the organization and philosophy of a new kind of university.

Both White and Cornell were distrustful of clerical control of the university. Of this controversy White said, "More and more I saw that it was the conflict between two epochs in the evolution of human thought—the theological and the scientific." (95) In addition to freedom from clerical control, White and Cornell wanted university training to be available to all who desired it and to provide studies relevant to practical needs.

In October 1868, the new university opened its doors, with Cornell its founder and White its president. Leaders of the arts and sciences assembled to give their blessing to this unorthodox undertaking. The essence of the Morrill Act is reflected in the remarks of Cornell at the dedication.

I hope we have laid the foundation of an institution which shall combine practical with liberal education, which shall fit the youth of our country for the professions, the farms, the mines, the manufactories, for the investigations of science, and for measuring all the

practical questions of life with success and honor . . . Finally, I trust we have laid the foundation of a university—an institution where any person can find instruction in any study.

White followed, stating the ideals he held for the university:

\ The close union of liberal and practical education.

The principle of unsectarian education.

A living union between this University and the whole school system of the State.

Equality between different courses of study. . . .

Louis Agassiz, the most influential man in natural history in North America, added his benediction (6):

I hope I shall live to see the time when all the old colleges will draw fresh life from this young university, when they will remodel their obsolete methods and come up to the mark.

The spirit and zeal of the founders for this enterprise was in sharp contrast to their attitude to earlier educational philosophies, which White had termed “as stagnant as a Spanish convent and as self-satisfied as a Bourbon duchy” (7).

The resident faculty consisted of a handful of outstanding young individuals recruited by White. These were backed by a nonresident faculty of distinguished leaders in the arts and sciences. White soon learned that the few established scholars sympathetic to his concept could not be lured by money from their assignments to join his effort. He therefore arranged for a nucleus of these individuals to serve as nonresident professors, to offer lectures and stimulate the young faculty and students. Of this arrangement White (93) commented, “. . . the former [nonresident faculty] shook the bush and the latter caught the birds.”

White sought the advice of Agassiz, one of the nonresident faculty members, on a leader for natural history. Agassiz recommended his student, Dr. Burton G. Wilder, a graduate of the Lawrence Scientific School and Harvard Medical College. Wilder had served as a surgeon in the Civil War. His broad training and interests included zoology, entomology, physiology, anatomy, and hygiene. He was a superb lecturer and stressed the value of laboratory and field work, at that time a novel approach to training. By education and temperament, he was well adapted for such a leadership role.

White and other early founders felt a great debt of gratitude to Agassiz. This was acknowledged in part by the tablet erected in 1884 in the Cornell Memorial Chapel, which bears the inscription:

To the memory of Louis Agassiz, LL.D. In the midst of great labors for science, throughout the world, he aided in laying the foundations of instruction at Cornell University, and, by his teachings here, gave an impulse to scientific studies which remains a priceless heritage . . . (94).

EARLY YEARS AT CORNELL

Comstock entered Cornell in 1869, a year after its founding. Several features of the new school met his needs: the freedom from clerical control, the stress on natural history, the chance to elect the courses he wanted, and the opportunity to work to defray expenses.

In 1871, Comstock was chosen by Dr. Wilder to be his assistant. Wilder wrote of his first meeting with Comstock (24):

... as if in answer to my prayer, suddenly there appeared a brown haired, blue eyed youth, a little older than the average freshman, with an expression both serious and alert. He introduced himself as John Henry Comstock, newly admitted to Cornell, wishing to become a naturalist, and willing to help himself by work. His aspects and desires appealed to me. Few words were needed for him to appreciate the conditions and their remedies. He hung up his coat, found water and utensils, and attacked the situation like an inspired anthropomorphic squirrel, bringing order out of chaos in a surprisingly brief period.

In Comstock's role as assistant to Dr. Wilder, he had an opportunity not only to be exposed to Wilder's methods and views but to become acquainted with the great minds in natural science, the physical sciences, and the arts that were attracted to the growing university. His interest in maintaining contact with the full stream of intellectual thought on campus was a hallmark throughout his career. He formed fruitful associations with intellectual leaders of the campus. Years later, in speaking of his associates, Mrs. Comstock's greatest accolade was reserved for Wilder (25): "... Henry's special patron saint, always at hand to help, to offer suggestions, and to give encouragement."

In 1872, with a professor in entomology still not engaged, a petition was submitted to the natural history faculty by 13 students, who requested that "permission be given to J. H. Comstock to deliver a course of 10 or 12 lectures during the present trimester upon *Insects Injurious to Vegetation*" (26). It is interesting to note that the title of the lecture series was identical with the title of Harris's book. Thus, the first entomology offered at Cornell was economic entomology. Among the students signing the petition was David Starr Jordan, who later became president of Stanford University and engaged the support of the Comstocks in establishing programs there.

Dr. Wilder encouraged Comstock to study with H. A. Hagen at Harvard during the summer of 1872. This proved to be a memorable experience and broadened his perspective. The Harvard and Hagen influence on Comstock has been debated. The exposure was of relatively short duration and he also studied at Yale in 1875. Comstock himself said of the experience (75), "And it was a wonderful course. Years afterwards when I gave a course of lectures on insect morphology myself I would go back for data to my notes on these lectures."

The success of Comstock's informal lectures led to his appointment as instructor of entomology in 1873. In June 1874, Comstock was graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree, the only degree he ever received. He was among the 70 out of 300 entering freshmen to finish. In 1876, he was appointed assistant professor.

The spirit prevailing at the young university was described by David Starr Jordan (8):

The early years of my Alma Mata, though relatively crude and cramped, were enriched by an enthusiasm hard to maintain in days of prosperity. And the pioneer impulse far outweighed to our minds, any deficiency in coordination, equipment or tradition. At that time we were all young together, freshmen students, freshmen professors, freshmen president, without experience or tradition to guide or hinder.

Not only did Comstock have the support and encouragement of Wilder and others, but also of President Andrew D. White. Years later Mrs. Comstock wrote of White's influence (27):

President White's most remarkable quality, the sign and seal of his genius, was his equal vision in the various fields of thought and education. His training had not been in the sciences and yet, far more than most scientists, he foresaw what a part their work was to play in the development of the world. Thus it was that he, in those days of beginnings, was the sympathetic helper and the inspiration of every man teaching science in Cornell. It was his vision that planned for the teaching of economic entomology at Cornell, although at that time this science was scarcely known.

In February 1875 Anna Botsford enrolled in Comstock's class. They became well acquainted through meals shared at Sage College and began to take walks together. But Anna remarked to her mother, "Mr. Comstock is noted for being a young man who is a sort of general friend to young women of his acquaintance but never wastes any sentiment upon them" (28). Both of them had had romances which fell by the wayside, hers because "it was too emotional to meet the realities of life" (29); his because of the death of his fiancée through tuberculosis.

Their association grew and on October 7, 1878, Anna Botsford and John Henry Comstock were married at her home. There was no time for a honeymoon because Henry had to return to his teaching. Mrs. Comstock reports that immediately upon establishing their home they began entertaining Professor Comstock's students, a custom they continued for 40 years (30).

With the degree awarded and with an appointment as assistant professor, Comstock immediately established contact with the agricultural leaders of the state. The Western New York Horticultural Society engaged him for their program in 1876 and named him entomologist for their organization. He was later cited as the first of Cornell's resident professors to venture into the untried field of extension teaching (90). His influence was extended by an arrangement with Dr. E. L. Sturtevant, head of the State Experiment Station at Geneva, whereby he worked for the station (\$100 per year plus travel expenses).

In 1878, C. V. Riley, Entomologist, Department of Agriculture, invited Comstock to accept a special assignment to investigate the cotton worm, which was then threatening the cotton crop in the southern states. This experience brought Comstock into contact with many entomologists and provided him with new insights in economic entomology. His report on this work (44) appeared in 1880 and was well received by farmers and colleagues. Among those offering accolades on the publication were his Harvard mentor, H. A. Hagen, and Charles Darwin.

U.S. ENTOMOLOGIST, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, 1879-1881

L. O. Howard, a former student, urged Comstock to apply for the position of U.S. Entomologist when it became evident that C. V. Riley would resign. This Comstock did, taking leave of absence from Cornell. The directness of Administrative protocol is indicated by the longhand letter of appointment (63) from Commissioner W. G. LeDuc, which is quoted in its entirety: "You are hereby appointed Entomologist of this Department, said appointment to date May 1. You will at your earliest convenience take the necessary oath of office and report for duty."

Thus, at age 30 and largely self-taught in entomology, Comstock assumed a key position of leadership. The staff consisted of Comstock, L. O. Howard, and Theodore Pergande. With his usual industry and supported by his wife, Comstock entered upon his new duties. He turned to the leading taxonomists for assistance, traveled to the sites of insect outbreaks, made contacts with the organized groups and leaders of entomological effort in the United States and Canada, arranged contributions to the leading agricultural journals, and concentrated on comprehensive reports that would have enduring value. He seems to have had no difficulty in keeping before him the interests of both his scientific peers and his farmer constituency.

His studies of scale insects, occasioned by their threat to the citrus industry, resulted in three papers (45-47) dealing with the systematics of Coccidae that appeared between 1880 and 1883. These papers, well-illustrated by his wife and complemented by Howard's contributions on the parasites of scale insects, represented major additions to the literature.

In 1881, because of a change in the political control of the government, Comstock was replaced by C. V. Riley. He claimed to feel no great personal disappointment but spoke out against "a system, the existence of which should make the heart of every lover of our glorious country ache with shame." (31)

Short though his tenure had been, it had given him many contacts with entomologists throughout the country, and through his publications he gained recognition as a rising entomologist of exceptional ability.

He immediately turned his attention to opportunities at Cornell. From Washington he wrote to his wife (32):

And how much we have grown in the past two years! We will take up the work at Ithaca with much more confidence. We will have a happy home. We will give my students the best facilities for obtaining an entomological training that can be found in the world. And we will do some original scientific work.

BACK AT CORNELL

The Cornell scene must have been inviting despite the hard work facing the Comstocks in terms of decorating and landscaping the new home and writing reports. Certainly there must have been a sense of relief in moving from the political

tug-of-war on the Washington scene, for which Comstock's temperament seemed ill suited.

Back at Cornell (August 1881), things got off to a good start. The University raised the annual salary of assistant professors from \$1000 to \$1200. Comstock was given a new laboratory and a telephone—"a great luxury." He plunged into three consuming tasks: (a) developing a base of support for the emerging department, (b) perfecting the teaching and (c) providing written materials to support the teaching. The support base he envisioned required facilities for studying living material, an insect collection, and photographic equipment, all of these new. He had earlier obtained the first microscope at the university. He convinced President White that it was important to add to the insect collection. He later persuaded I. T. Roberts, Director of the Experiment Station, to allocate funds provided by the Hatch Act (1888) to build an insectary, the first in the world.

Mrs. Comstock, with her husband's encouragement, completed her degree in 1885, getting "more training in the sciences allied to entomology." In addition, she was perfecting her skills as an illustrator and laying the foundation for her work in nature study.

Professor Comstock's goal in teaching was to provide a sound course in general entomology from which later specialization could be developed. The Wilder influence was evident in Comstock's insistence on live material in its natural setting. To take advantage of favorable collecting in the summer, he arranged a novel summer school program. The announcement states (33): "It is planned to have the work of each student, as far as possible, an original investigation." The success of the first full-time summer school session drew editorial comment in the Cornell *Daily Sun* (Sept. 25, 1885). The editorial states that the summer heat and rigor of the course insured that only those with unusual interest pursued the subject. Twelve students were enrolled and each spent time on a taxonomic group of special interest. The plan involved full-time effort divided between field collecting and work with material brought to the laboratory for further study. Comstock's investment in the effort is indicated by the annual report of the president, in which Comstock's teaching load is listed as 3 hours of lecture and 42 hours in laboratory (9). With obvious pride, Comstock cited in his annual report that original work was required of his students and that the work of one of them was included with the student as sole author (62).

We tend to think of the progress of leaders as having been made in great strides. Comstock found satisfaction in modest steps. He reported with enthusiasm to his wife (34), "One of the good things so far is the discovery of the eggs of the peach-tree borer."

The student training method developed by Comstock included active involvement with insects and independent investigation as the companion to classroom lectures. In the process of conducting research, training was provided for students as an integral part of the whole process. The intimate interaction between teaching, research, and extension has been a hallmark of the philosophy and methodology at Cornell, in part because of the influence of Comstock and others of his era, who reacted against the earlier classical methodology in which action and student partici-

pation were secondary. Added to this training program was the gracious hospitality of the Comstock home. Cornell University from the start encouraged foreign students, and they had a special appeal to Mrs. Comstock. Thus the Comstock influence on students included both the social and the academic spheres, and it extended beyond national boundaries.

In addition to teaching at Cornell, Comstock accepted the invitation of his old associate, David Starr Jordan, then president of Stanford University, to offer lectures there in the winter (1892, 1894). This effort led to the founding of a Department of Entomology at Stanford, with Comstock's student Vernon L. Kellogg as its head (1894–1920) (35).

As Comstock's duties increased, he found it difficult to do justice to faculty responsibilities, administration, and his own program of teaching, research, extension, and writing. To lighten his load he added a full-time assistant, Mark Vernon Slingerland in 1890 (36). Slingerland's interests were on the applied side, and he acquired great skill as a photographer and investigator in economic entomology.

In 1896, Alexander D. MacGillivray joined the staff and assumed responsibility for the laboratory phase of the introductory entomology course. He followed the pattern that Comstock had so carefully developed. He had a special interest in taxonomy and greatly expanded the insect collection by drawing on material collected by the students. MacGillivray was described by Comstock as "an excellent drillmaster" (81). With able individuals now providing support in taxonomy, applied entomology, and teaching, Comstock, while keeping a weather eye on the entire effort, became more withdrawn in his research and writing.

The Comstocks' Publications

Mrs. Comstock said of their writing (37): "Our writing was the thread on which our days were strung, despite a thousand interfering activities." Indeed it was. Each of them laid out ambitious plans for publishing and proceeded with remarkable discipline and energy to fulfill them. Over the next 40 years he published eight books and she six (see Table 1). In 1893 the Comstock Publishing Company was formed. Despite dismal predictions, it was tremendously successful and was bequeathed to the University in 1931, becoming part of Cornell University Press.

When the increasing student load interfered with writing, Professor Comstock changed his schedule to devote from 4 AM to 8 AM each day to writing. This meant retiring early, and Mrs. Comstock often entertained the guests or represented the family at social functions to enable him to adhere to this schedule.

Mrs. Comstock writes of his study of wing venation (38):

I remember how faithfully he worked week after week and month after month before he began to see light. I was never so impressed by my husband's patience and dogged perseverance in search of truth, as when he was feeling his way in the dark trying to find a logical, clear classification of the moths and butterflies by the record of their wing veins. . . . Finally he found the thread to the labyrinth in the division of the Lepidoptera. . . .

The writing and publishing project was very much a partnership. It is not entirely clear from the authorships who had primary responsibility for certain of the vol-

Table 1 Books published by the Comstocks

Year	John Henry Comstock	Anna Botsford Comstock
1888	<i>An Introduction to Entomology</i> (48)	
1889	<i>The Elements of Insect Anatomy</i> , with V. L. Kellogg (61)	
1895	<i>A Manual for the Study of Insects</i> , with A. B. Comstock (56)	
1897	<i>Insect Life</i> (51)	
1903		<i>Ways of the Six-footed</i> (13)
1904	<i>How to Know the Butterflies</i> (59)	
1905		<i>How to Keep Bees</i> (14)
1906		<i>Confessions to a Heathen Idol</i> (15)
1911		<i>Handbook of Nature-Study</i> (17)
1912	<i>The Spider Book</i> (52)	
1914		<i>The Pet Book</i> (19)
1916		<i>Trees at Leisure</i> (20)
1918	<i>The Wings of Insects</i> (53)	
1924	<i>An Introduction to Entomology</i> (55)	

umes. Comstock is cited as the author of *Insect Life*, with illustrations by Mrs. Comstock. The literary style of the volume, as well as that of *How to Know the Butterflies*, seems clearly that of Mrs. Comstock. In addition, both volumes are laced with poetic quotations that seem more a medium of her expression than of his. One cannot imagine him commenting (60) as follows on the honor paid to S. H. Scudder in having a butterfly named for him:

Surely it must be one of Mr. Scudder's compensations for a lifetime of infinitely patient and loving research in the habits of butterflies that this beautiful little creature bears his name. Far better to have a name preserved on glinting wings than on tablets of stone, for stone crumbles in the course of time; but every summer when the wild roses bloom through all the future centuries this butterfly will come and tell to a glad world that the life of a great and noble man was lived in close touch with Nature.

Reaction to the naming of a mealy bug (*Pseudococcus comstocki*) for Comstock is not reported—it is a species that comes each year to plague growers.

A notable feature of Comstock's books was his special effort to make each publication as helpful as possible to "teachers and learners." This guiding principle is well expressed in his preface to *A Manual for the Study of Insects* (57). Once a book was published, Comstock continued to study its usefulness in the hands of students. His personal copy of *An Introduction to Entomology* contains the marginal note at one point in a key, "the students have trouble here." At other points he notes how format could be improved.

Two of Professor Comstock's publications well illustrate both the synthesis of new thought and the breadth and orderliness of his grasp of the discipline of entomology. The first was his contribution (49) to the volume honoring 25 years of service by his friend and mentor, Dr. Wilder, and was entitled, "Evolution and

Taxonomy, An Essay on the Application of the Theory of Natural Selection in the Classification of Animals and Plants Illustrated by a Study of the Evolution of the Wings of Insects and by a Contribution to the Classification of the Lepidoptera." This essay is a model of clarity and may well represent the highwater mark of his synthesis and originality. Here he considers the impact of Darwin's *Origin of Species* on insect taxonomy. He did not like what he saw. His style and premise is illustrated by the following (50):

It is now thirty-four years since the publication of Darwin's *Origin of Species*; and the great war of opinions which had been imminent for some time, and which broke forth on the appearance of that work, has been fought to a conclusion. There remains no contest except that of a healthy competition in reaping the fruits of the victory. Naturalists differ in their opinions as to details but the great principle of evolution has been firmly established, and our methods of thought have been revolutionized in consequence.

Notwithstanding this I do not believe that the systematists of to-day are making as much use of the theory of descent in taxonomic work as they might. We are still busy describing species as if they were immutable entities; and in our descriptions we give little thought to the causes that have determined the forms of organisms. It is true that considerable has been done in the direction of working out the phylogeny of the larger groups, as branches and classes, and to a less extent of orders. But rarely is any effort made to determine the phylogeny of the smaller groups.

Here I believe lies the work of the systematist of the future.

In addition, he discusses at length the importance of determining the function and adaptive value of various organs. He went on to express his belief that there were homologies in the wing venation of all insects. This volume was selected as the first for the Comstock Memorial Library, founded on the occasion of his retirement in 1914. Comstock's work on wing venation was more fully developed and summarized in the volume *The Wings of Insects* (54), published in 1918. J. G. Needham was a major contributor with Comstock to the system generally termed the Comstock-Needham system. This remains a major foundation of insect taxonomy, although as might be expected and as undoubtedly anticipated by the authors, work in the ensuing half century has resulted in modification of the system. Comstock's work on insect venation undoubtedly represents his most distinguished research.

The other publication represents his crowning achievement and of it Mrs. Comstock wrote (39):

For Harry and me, November 4, 1924, was a great day in our lives, for on that day his book of 1,064 pages, *An Introduction to Entomology*, was published. In this book Mr. Comstock had put his best work as a scientist and teacher. It has proven a noble and fitting climax to the life work of a man who labored valiantly in search of truth . . .

This book encompassed the knowledge acquired over a period of 50 years and was in a sense his valedictory. It appeared when he was 75 years old, 10 years after his retirement and 2 years before he was disabled by a stroke. The book adhered well to his original objective of producing a sound base for introductory training. It provided unity for the discipline; it identified the component parts and their interdependence. It covered the full spectrum, basic and applied. It was beautifully illus-

trated, reflecting Mrs. Comstock's skill and commitment. Perhaps the best measure of the book's significance is its general acceptance as a text and the fact that 50 years after its publication there is still no single American work that better covers the broad range of subject matter.

The Individuals Around Him

Not only did Comstock achieve through his personal program of teaching and research, but also, equally important in terms of institutional development, able individuals were drawn to the center of excellence that he had founded. This set in motion a chain of events by which success breeds success. As a result, Cornell achieved a position of preeminence in the field of entomology.

Cornell attracted a cluster of individuals who, almost without exception, made contributions that have become landmarks in the discipline. Comstock's work had set the stage for specialization and these individuals took the next logical step. By the time of his retirement in 1914, the staff numbered nine. Needham (82) has helpfully depicted the growth of the staff up through 1940. We cite the major contributions by some of this unusual group that added to both the fundamental and applied knowledge of entomology.

Under the leadership of James G. Needham, Cornell pioneered in aquatic biology. Of Needham's major contributions to the literature, *A Manual of Dragonflies of North America* (Needham & Westfall 84) and *The Life of Inland Waters* (Needham & Lloyd 83a) are perhaps best known. In addition to his career in teaching and research, he succeeded Comstock as department head, serving from 1914 to 1936.

O. A. Johannsen's work in insect embryology stands as a milestone and is best represented by his book, *Embryology of Insects and Myriapods* (Johannsen & Butt 77). He too contributed to administration as department head from 1936 to 1938.

W. T. M. Forbes made significant contributions to the taxonomy of Coleoptera and Lepidoptera. His original work on wing venation and wing folding of Coleoptera (65, 67) is at the base of modern classification of the order. His *The Lepidoptera of New York* (66, 68-70), in four parts, is a standard reference, including both extensive compilation and original work.

J. Chester Bradley's monograph of North American Masarid wasps (11) represents a major work that has influenced the taxonomy of the group. Those of us on the local scene are appreciative of his contribution to Boy Scouts and the Insect Merit Badge Handbook prepared with E. L. Palmer in 1925. Dr. Bradley occupied a role of international leadership as a member of the Permanent Committee, International Congress of Entomology, 1938-1960. Dr. Bradley celebrated his ninety-first birthday on February 14, 1975, confined to his home but alert and responsive to the good wishes of old friends and students. Two weeks later he died, the last survivor of the Comstock team.

Robert Matheson's text (78), *Medical Entomology*, which appeared in 1932, has taken its place as a foundation stone of modern medical entomology. Similarly, his *Handbook on the Mosquitoes of North America* (79) is a standard reference.

In economic entomology, Slingerland's early work was expanded. Several publications were added to the *Rural Manual* series sponsored by L. H. Bailey. These

included the *Manual of Fruit Insects* (1914) by Slingerland & Crosby (88) and the *Manual of Vegetable Insects* (1918) by Crosby & Leonard (64). These were followed by Herrick's *Manual of Injurious Insects* (72). This listing is by no means complete but contains examples of standard references likely to be found on the bookshelves of teachers, extension agents, and practitioners of economic entomology. With these growing resources, Comstock must have felt that his plan of providing introductory training from which specialization could proceed was moving forward.

In addition to these individuals, other members of the resident staff made significant contributions, including J. T. Lloyd, G. C. Embury, P. W. Claassen, and Grace Griswold. At one point the Department included the distinguished ornithologist A. A. Allen and the zoologist A. H. Wright.

Many of Comstock's students achieved distinction, notable among them David Starr Jordan, Vernon L. Kellogg, L. O. Howard, W. A. Riley, R. N. Chapman, G. C. Crampton, E. P. Felt, Nathan Banks, S. W. Frost, C. P. Alexander, and M. D. Leonard. The influence has continued up to the present time, with Cornell graduates serving in most of the centers of entomology at universities and in various governments.

In reflecting on Comstock's founding of the department and his tenure as its first head, it is noteworthy that there is no evidence suggesting that there was a formal plan to develop a Department of Entomology. It seems to have happened as a logical consequence of having an able man with a plan on the faculty.

Professor Comstock retired in 1914 at age 65, an event that, according to Mrs. Comstock (40), "symbolized freedom from executive slavery and an unfettered opportunity to do the work he loved best—research and writing." (The general outlook toward administration appears to have changed very little.) His retirement was duly recognized by a program that included a special selection of chimes in acknowledgement of Comstock's service as chimesmaster while still a student, presentation of a fund for the establishment of the Comstock Memorial Library, and addresses by various friends and associates (91). Comstock continued his research, with two major volumes appearing thereafter. As an administrator, he moved with a sense of destiny, was a good provider, established an industrious tempo, and was fair and frugal.

University Affairs

Professor Comstock remained active in university affairs, and his prestige among his peers is evidenced by his election in 1916, with two other faculty members, as the first faculty representatives to the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees (10).

There were two important institutional struggles in which Professor Comstock figured prominently, one external and the other internal. The first was the struggle to gain the confidence of farmers. We tend to take this for granted now, but skepticism and even hostility characterized the grass-roots attitude toward the value of research for many years. Comstock, from the beginning of his career and as Entomologist, U.S.D.A., showed a special gift for working with farmers and gaining their confidence. This talent was a major factor in gaining support for the struggling university.

The internal struggle involved Dean Bailey's efforts to communicate the needs of the College of Agriculture directly to the center of power in Albany rather than through the President's Office where his requests were subject to veto. (Vestiges of this problem are still with us!) Bailey finally won this struggle by capitalizing on his own genius for leadership and the support engendered among farmers across the state by a handful of professors, including Comstock.

Life at Cornell was not without stress. At one point Comstock became so discouraged with President Adams's failure to raise his salary or to support his plans for the department that he considered changing to medicine or seeking a position in entomology elsewhere. This was in 1887, when he was 38 years old, and 10 years after his appointment as an assistant professor. A raise to \$2500 settled the issue, but not before Comstock had encouraged letters from Jordan and others to President Adams citing the loss to Cornell should Comstock leave (63).

On another occasion Professor Comstock took up the cause of Dr. Jordan, whom he thought was being unjustly accused regarding his views on the nation's involvement in World War I. Comstock prefaced a 13-page statement with the remark: "This is a case of rendering justice to a fellow Cornellian who has devoted his life to the betterment of mankind" (96).

Anna Botsford Comstock—Artist, Teacher, Writer

Entomologists tend to view Mrs. Comstock's career as a supporting role to her husband through her ability as an artist, illustrator, and writer. While her credits for this role are well deserved, she achieved distinction as professor of nature studies. Her participation in this study began in response to a specific need.

The agricultural reverses of the early 1890s displaced youth from the farms to the cities, where they were ill prepared to earn a livelihood. Agricultural leaders believed that the migration could be reduced by placing the rural setting in a more appealing light and that nature study was a means to this end. The state legislature, recognizing the educational role of the College of Agriculture, appropriated funds for this work.

This project somewhat appalled the faculty, but it had staunch support from Professor I. T. Roberts, Director of the Experiment Station, and Professor Liberty Hyde Bailey, Head, Department of Horticulture—two distinguished leaders in the College.

In 1898, Mrs. Comstock was appointed assistant professor of nature study in the Cornell University extension division, with responsibilities for the organization and implementation of the program. This, the first appointment of a woman faculty member, did not go unchallenged. A year later she was appointed lecturer in nature study at the insistence of some trustees who objected to women members of the faculty. It was not until 1913 that she was again appointed assistant professor; she advanced to professor in 1920.

She was joined in the actual instruction by both Roberts and Bailey. This effort preceded by several years the appointment of a woman leader who was to develop a reading course for farm women, an effort for which Bailey had great enthusiasm. The individual selected to lead this effort was Martha Van Rensselaer, well-known to the Comstocks and strongly endorsed by them. From this modest beginning arose

the College of Home Economics (now Human Ecology) (87). The stature of these four individuals as institutional builders is indicated by the fact that university buildings bear the names of each of them.

On-campus winter courses were soon added to the reading courses, and these programs featured, among others, Mrs. Comstock in nature study and Slingerland on insect pests of farm and gardens. The fame of the New York State project spread across the country, and Mrs. Comstock was soon involved as an advisor in developing programs in other states.

Mrs. Comstock became one of the foremost wood engravers and her skill in this art is perhaps best illustrated in her contributions to *An Introduction to Entomology* (55). This skill was acquired by intensive study, at first self-taught and later with instruction by the gifted teacher John P. Davis of Cooper Union. Professor Comstock took great pride in her skill as an artist. One detects a note of pride and protectiveness in his preface to *Manual for the Study of Insects* (58), which appeared in 1899: "As the skill she has attained in this art has been acquired during the progress of the work of this book, some of the earlier-made illustrations do not fairly represent her present standing as an engraver." In addition to her own skills, she enlisted the help of Louis Agassiz Fuertes, distinguished bird artist and the son of a university professor of engineering who had obviously been impressed by the visits of Agassiz.

Mrs. Comstock's best known publication is her *Handbook of Nature Study* (17), published in 1911, which has been reprinted in over 20 editions and is still regarded as a classic in its field. The introductory chapter on the teaching of nature study reflects her romanticism, her evangelism, and her belief in moral education through nature study. She counsels with overworked teachers "whose fate is either nerve exhaustion or nerve atrophy" (18). She discusses attitudes to be shared with the child about life and death. An interesting feature of this volume is that so few of her own illustrations are included. Even in the section on insects, the illustrations are largely from other sources, Slingerland being a major contributor. Mrs. Comstock published one novel, *Confessions to a Heathen Idol* (16), which represented fantasy and philosophical reflection on her experiences. The first edition was published under the pen name Marian Lee, but the second edition she published under her own name. Professor Comstock paid it a guarded compliment (41): "For people who want this sort of thing, it is just what they want."

In addition to Mrs. Comstock's professional contributions she also made a singular contribution to student life. The organization of a sorority, Kappa Alpha Theta, was viewed with some skepticism, and President White encouraged her to join in and lend her salutary influence to the effort. Despite her feeling that she was "too catholic and too democratic" to be in sympathy with social exclusiveness, she joined in 1882. There followed an association of 48 years, which brought "many fine, happy friendships and has enriched my life by the close companionship of noble women" (42). The atmosphere of the Comstock home and its meaning for students is described well by Needham (83):

All around the world today there are many for whom the choicest memories of their college years are the evenings spent in the home of the Comstocks. Mrs. Comstock would

read to them choice bits of poetry and prose, while her husband, after seeing that all were welcomed and made comfortable, would sit as a listener among them. I sat with them often. It seemed to me that Mrs. Comstock's favorites were the Quaker poet Whittier, the naturalist Thoreau, and the storyteller Kipling. But she loved all good literature and her readings ranged from Emerson's philosophical essays to "funnies."

Mrs. Comstock was accorded many honors and special recognitions. She was an early member (1888) of Sigma Xi, which was founded at Cornell. In 1923, she was named one of America's 12 greatest women by the League of Women Voters. In 1930, Hobart College awarded her the honorary degree of Doctor of Humane Letters.

THE COMSTOCK LEGACY

With the brief overview provided in the foregoing, let us seek to identify the factors accounting for the singular contribution of this couple. At the outset, it may be said that they were born at the right time. The accumulating scientific knowledge had set the stage for great advances. The particular institution chosen by the Comstocks was a favorable one: It was liberal and its leaders were individuals of vision, it appealed to young minds of great promise, and it provided for interaction between the arts and the sciences, both applied and basic. The Comstocks were compatible with and contributed to the shaping of the Cornell tradition of freedom and responsibility. Becker (2) described this tradition at the Seventy-Fifth Anniversary: "freedom for the scholar to perform his proper function, restrained and guided by the only thing that makes such freedom worth while, the scholar's intellectual integrity, the scholar's devotion to the truth of things as they are and to good will and humane dealing among men."

What of the personal qualities of the individuals? Comstock early disclosed a sense of purpose, the desire to master a profession and through it to serve mankind. He appreciated the value of building on the foundations provided by his predecessors and the value of drawing on the streams of thought in related disciplines. He was dedicated to accuracy and to the discipline involved in scholarly effort. It goes without saying that he was endowed with a keen intellect.

In his personal relationships he had the capacity to convey personal warmth and interest and to give to his subordinates both responsibility and freedom. He viewed civility as a professional hallmark. In both his personal and professional aspirations, he was joined by his wife, a woman of humanitarian instincts, who was socially gifted and equally committed to excellence.

Comstock's greatest contribution lies in his influence as a teacher, which came about through his written word and personal influence on "the first generation" of entomologists trained in this country and through their subsequent influence on the generation following. It is hard to visualize an individual trained in entomology in North America during the twentieth century whose training was not strongly influenced by Comstock's writings and philosophy. This point might be illustrated by a personal reference. My undergraduate training was taken at Clemson College under Franklin Sherman. Sherman had studied at Cornell and at one time occupied a room in the Comstock home. He reminisced about the excitement of discovery

that infused the group, the hospitality of the Comstock home, and the natural beauty of the campus—creating an aura of awe that approaches reverence. Sherman, like Mrs. Comstock, was a romanticist. Our introductory textbook was Comstock's *Introduction to Entomology*, and for economic entomology we studied Herrick's *Manual of Injurious Insects*. The Comstock and Cornell influence was so marked that there seemed in the mind of the student to be some synonymy between the words Comstock, Cornell, and entomology.

As a team, the Comstocks complemented each other to provide a synergistic effect. Her social graces provided a climate which enhanced informal communication and understanding for both of them. They had great capacity for institutional loyalty. We see too the value of the informal relationships that characterized contacts between faculty and students, faculty and administration. The Comstocks had time to share with associates despite their demanding schedules.

The devotion of the Comstocks was not limited to the university and its people. It extended to the physical setting, the blue water of Cayuga Lake, the gorges and waterfalls, the rolling hills and varied habitats. Their early acquaintance had developed in this setting, and they retained a deep attachment to this environment, with so much to be enjoyed by students of natural history.

All these factors combined to place two gifted individuals in a setting in which their aspirations, fired by zeal and discipline, could flourish. Surely their major goals were achieved. Mrs. Comstock cites one great disappointment: they had no children of their own (43).

Can we draw on the age of the Comstocks to provide guidelines for entomology as a discipline in the modern university, guidelines that would be useful as we accept the challenge currently posed to basic and applied entomology? These matters relate to the nature of the university and its influence on interpersonal relations and to the manner in which we cope with the unity and diversity of biology.

With respect to the purpose and mood that prevailed during the early years at Cornell, Jordan states that they "were enriched by an enthusiasm hard to maintain in days of prosperity." We may currently suffer from the prosperity syndrome, although the immediate outlook is for an unplanned change. The birthright of faculty members is the opportunity to engage in intellectual effort, but the tempo of the university routine grows less conducive to this effort.

The administrators, preoccupied with budgets and accountability, have little common bond of sharing with faculty in the actual process of teaching and research. By contrast, in the university that the Comstocks knew, there was little to administer, and even the university president was involved in teaching. There was association beyond the classroom. Comstock had been attended by Wilder in illness and in turn Comstock administered to sick students.

The system of teaching has since added another layer between the professor and his undergraduate students, namely, the teaching assistant. An informal association with a distinguished professor, an evening in his home, is rare indeed.

Continued progress will require interdisciplinary effort by teams of scientists whose specialization does not obscure the concept of unity and diversity and interdependence of various disciplines. Comstock appears to have anticipated this.

These probings are not to imply that advances are not being made. Indeed, significant progress is being made, much of it as an outgrowth of our failure to appreciate the response of insects to the selective pressure of insecticides. For instance, pheromones developed for control have thrown new light on taxonomic relationships. The basic and applied aspects have been synergistic—a benefit both White and Comstock would have predicted. These men also appreciated the uniqueness of entomology in the diversity and wealth of material and the motivation for its study, which arises from both practical needs and the innate desire to understand the biosystem in which insects play a vital role.

There is no turning back to the simpler life of the Comstock era. Clearly, however, no matter what system is employed, there can be no substitute for the Comstock qualities of disciplined minds and an atmosphere of civility as the proper environment for the aspirations of the human intellect.

In 1974, the faculty of the Department of Entomology sought to commemorate appropriately the centennial of the department's founding and the contributions of its founder. We asked ourselves what the program should emphasize. Taxonomy? Economic entomology? It was decided that Comstock was a taxonomist, an economic entomologist, and more. He was at heart a humanitarian, concerned with service to mankind through the discipline of entomology, to which he added form and substance. The theme "Insects, Science, and Society" was selected as appropriate to the contribution and vision of the department's founder. The contributions of current leaders to the centennial symposium have been published as a commemorative volume dedicated to John Henry Comstock (85).

TRIBUTE

On August 5, 1926, Prof. Comstock was incapacitated by a stroke and continued as an invalid until his death on March 20, 1931. Following his initial stroke, Mrs. Comstock divided her efforts between tenderly caring for him and her own demanding schedule. In 1927, her strength began to decline and gradually worsened. Her death came on August 24, 1930.

Many tributes have been paid the Comstocks. We shall cite but two of these, one reflecting their standing within the Cornell community, the other Comstock's contribution to entomology.

The first is by the Cornell students, who, in 1929, dedicated the yearbook, *The Cornellian*:

TO
John Henry Comstock
 and to
Anna Botsford Comstock
 Partners in science, as in life,
 by all revered as Scholars, Teachers, Writers,
 and dear to many a student generation by reason
 of their open home and helpful hearts,
 we dedicate this volume.

The second is by L. O. Howard, student, friend, colleague, and later distinguished professional leader who could place the Comstock contribution in professional perspective. In 1928, at the Fourth International Congress of Entomology held in Ithaca (the first to be held in North America), Howard stated in his presidential address (76):

We are meeting in a university which was one of the first great institutions of learning to teach Entomology as a distinct subject and to give it a measure of its appropriate rank. In this country at least, Cornell University will always be remembered by Entomologists for this fact. And the man, who from the very start in 1872, conducted this invaluable teaching work, J. H. Comstock, lives here. America is so young that few shrines have come into popular recognition. There is one at Mount Vernon, the home of Washington; and there is the memorial in Washington to the great emancipator, Abraham Lincoln. The study of Entomology seems a very small thing when we compare it to the causes represented by these two of our national heroes, but who shall say that in the future, when the vital importance of insects as affecting the well-being of humanity shall have become fully realized, this spot shall not become in a way a shrine where Entomologists will gather in token of their respect to the first great teacher of Entomology in America!

The Fifteenth International Congress of Entomology will be held in Washington DC in August 1976. This, the second meeting of the Congress in the United States and meeting within a stone's throw of the national shrines cited by Howard, falls on the bicentennial of the nation's founding. The Comstock heritage has enriched the latter half of the nation's history.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to acknowledge the assistance of Ms. Beth French in the preparation of manuscript and references. I am also grateful for the assistance of Ms. Kathleen B. Jacklin, Archivist, University Archives; L. Pierce Williams, Professor of History of Science, Cornell University; and Mr. Mark S. Lacey, graduate student, Department of Entomology, Cornell University.

Literature Cited

1. Becker, C. L. 1943. *Cornell University: Founders and Founding*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Univ. Press. 246 pp.
2. See Ref. 1, p. 204
3. Bishop, M. 1962. *A History of Cornell*, 175-176, 338. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Univ. Press
4. Ibid, p. 6
5. Ibid, p. 58
6. Ibid, p. 90
7. Ibid, p. 35
8. Ibid, p. 122
9. Ibid, p. 238
10. Ibid, p. 355
11. Bradley, J. C. 1922. *The Taxonomy of the Masarid Wasps Including a monograph on the North American Species*. Berkeley, Calif.: Univ. Calif. Press. 464 pp. Illustrated
12. Colman, G. P. 1963. *Education and Agriculture, A History of the New York State College of Agriculture at Cornell University*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Univ. Press. 603 pp. Illustrated
13. Comstock, A. B. 1903. *Ways of the Six-footed*, p. 152. Boston: Ginn. Illustrated
14. Comstock, A. B. 1905. *How to Keep Bees*. p. 228. New York: Doubleday, Page. Illustrated
15. Comstock, A. B. [Marian Lee (pseud.)] 1906. *Confessions to a Heathen Idol*, p. 351. New York: Doubleday, Page. Illustrated
16. Ibid
17. Comstock, A. B. 1911. *Handbook of Nature Study*. Ithaca, NY: Comstock Publ. Co. 638 pp. Illustrated
18. Ibid, p. 3
19. Comstock, A. B. 1914. *The Pet Book*, p. 310. Ithaca, NY: Comstock Publ. Co. Illustrated
20. Comstock, A. B. 1916. *Trees at Leisure*, p. 55. Ithaca, NY: Comstock Publ. Co. Illustrated
21. Comstock, A. B. 1953. *The Comstocks of Cornell: John Henry Comstock and Anna Botsford Comstock*. Ithaca, NY: Comstock Publ. Assoc., Cornell Univ. Press
22. Ibid, p. 1
23. Ibid, p. 15
24. Ibid, p. 40
25. Ibid, p. 42
26. Ibid, p. 42
27. Ibid, p. 47
28. Ibid, p. 86
29. Ibid, p. 78
30. Ibid, p. 104
31. Ibid, p. 136
32. Ibid, p. 136
33. Ibid, p. 155
34. Ibid, p. 108
35. Ibid, p. 183
36. Ibid, p. 169
37. Ibid, p. 274
38. Ibid, p. 180
39. Ibid, p. 265
40. Ibid, p. 246
41. Ibid, p. 217
42. Ibid, p. 145
43. Ibid, p. 151
44. Comstock, J. H. 1879. *Report Upon Cotton Insect*. US Dep. Agric. Spec. Rep. 511 pp., 77 figs., 3 pls.
45. Comstock, J. H. 1880. *Report on Scale Insect*. US Comm. Agric., 1880, 276-373, pls. 3-22
46. Comstock, J. H. 1882. *Report of the Entomologist*. US Dep. Agric. Rep. US Comm. Agric. 1881-1882, 209-14, pls. 19-20
47. Comstock, J. H. 1883. *Second Report on Scale Insects*. Cornell Univ. Agric. Exp. Sta., 2nd Rep., 46-143, pls. I-IV
48. Comstock, J. H. 1888. *An Introduction to Entomology*. Ithaca, NY: Publ. by auth. 234 pp.
49. Comstock, J. H. 1893. Evolution and taxonomy, an essay on the application of the theory of natural selection in the classification of animals and plants, illustrated by a study of the wings of insects and by a contribution to the classification of the Lepidoptera. In *Wilder Quarter Century Book*, 34-114, pls. 1-3. Ithaca, NY: Comstock Publ. Co.
50. Ibid, p. 37
51. Comstock, J. H. 1897. *Insect Life*. New York: Appleton. 349 pp. 206 figs., 18 pls.
52. Comstock, J. H. 1912. *The Spider Book*. New York: Doubleday, Page. 721 pp., 770 figs.
53. Comstock, J. H. 1918. *The Wings of Insects*. Ithaca, NY: Comstock Publ. Co. 430 pp.
54. Ibid
55. Comstock, J. H. 1924. *An Introduction to Entomology*. Ithaca, NY: Comstock Publ. Co. 1064 pp., 1228 figs.
56. Comstock, J. H., Comstock, A. B. 1895. *A Manual for the Study of Insects*. Ithaca, NY: Published by auths. 701 pp., 797 figs., 6 pls.
57. Ibid
58. Ibid, p. vi
59. Comstock, J. H., Comstock, A. B. 1904.

- How to Know the Butterflies*. New York: Appleton. 311 pp., 45 pls.
60. Ibid, 247-48
 61. Comstock, J. H., Kellogg, V. L. 1897. *The Elements of Insect Anatomy*. Ithaca, NY: Comstock Publ. Co. 132 pp. 11 figs.
 62. Cornell University. 1883. *Cornell Univ. Agric. Exp. Sta. 2nd Rep., 1882-1883*, p. 47, 148-162
 63. Cornell University, Department of Manuscripts and University Archives. W. G. LeDuc, 1879. Ithaca, NY
 64. Crosby, C. R., Leonard, M. D. 1918. *Manual of Vegetable-Garden Insects*, p. 503. New York: MacMillan. Illus.
 - 64a. Darwin, C. R. 1859. *On the origin of the species by means of natural selection, or, The preservation of favoured races in the struggle for life*. London: J. Murray
 - 64b. Evans, H. E. 1974. The Comstock heritage. *Comstock Comment* (A publication of the Department of Entomology, Cornell University) 2:4-22
 65. Forbes, W. T. M. 1922. The wing venation of the Coleoptera. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 15:328-52, 7 pls.
 66. Forbes, W. T. M. 1923. *Part I: The Lepidoptera of New York and Neighboring States*. Cornell Univ. Agri. Exp. Sta. Mem. 68
 67. Forbes, W. T. M. 1926. The wing folding patterns of the Coleoptera. *J. NY Entomol. Soc.* 34:42-68, 91-139, 12 pls.
 68. Forbes, W. T. M. 1948. *Part II: The Lepidoptera of New York and Neighboring States*. Cornell Univ. Agri. Exp. Sta. Mem. 274
 69. Forbes, W. T. M. 1954. *Part III: The Lepidoptera of New York and Neighboring States*. Cornell Univ. Agri. Exp. Sta. Mem. 329
 70. Forbes, W. T. M. 1960. *Part IV: The Lepidoptera of New York and Neighboring States*. Cornell Univ. Agri. Exp. Sta. Mem. 371
 - 70a. Harris, T. W. 1841. *Report on Insects of Massachusetts Injurious to Vegetation*. Cambridge, Mass: Folsom, Wells & Thurston
 71. Harris, T. W. 1869. *A Treatise on some of the Insects Injurious to Vegetation*, p. 640. Philadelphia: Porter & Cotes. Illustrated
 72. Herrick, G. W. 1925. *Manual of Injurious Insects*, p. 489. New York: Henry Holt. Illustrated
 73. Herrick, G. W. 1930. Professor John Henry Comstock. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 24:199-204
 74. Howard, L. O. 1930. *A History of Applied Entomology (Somewhat Anecdotal)*. *Smithson. Misc. Publ.* 84:60
 75. Ibid, p. 61
 76. International Congress of Entomology. 1928. *Proc. Int. Congr. Entomol.*, 4th, 72-73, 1037. Gottfr. Patz, Naumburg A/Salle, Wenzelsring. mar. 1930. Illustrated
 77. Johannsen, O. A., Butt, F. H. 1941. *Embryology of Insects and Myriapods*. New York and London: McGraw-Hill 462 pp. Illustrated
 - 77a. Linnaeus, C. 1758. *Systema Naturae per Regna tria Naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis* . . . Editio decima reformata. (Holmiae, Laurentii Salvii, 1, *Animalia*, iv+823+1, 1758) [Facsimile, Brit. Mus. Nat. Hist., London, 1956]
 78. Matheson, R. 1929. *A Handbook of the Mosquitoes of North America*, p. xvii. Springfield, Ill., and Baltimore: Thomas. 268 pp. Illustrated
 79. Matheson, R. 1932. *Medical Entomology*, p. xii. Springfield, Ill., and Baltimore: Thomas. 489 pp. Illustrated
 80. Needham, J. G. 1946. The lengthened shadow of a man and his wife, Parts I and II. *Sci. Mon.* 62:140-50, 219-29
 81. Ibid, p. 16
 82. Ibid, p. 23
 83. Ibid, p. 22
 - 83a. Needham, J. G., Lloyd, J. T. 1916. *The Life of Inland Waters*. Ithaca, NY: Comstock Publ. Co. 483 pp. Illustrated
 84. Needham, J. G., Westfall, M. J. 1955. *A Manual of the Dragonflies of North America (Anisoptera)*. Berkeley, Calif. and Los Angeles: Univ. Calif. Press. 615 pp.
 - 84a. Packard, A. S. 1869. *Guide to the Study of Insects, and a Treatise on those Injurious and Beneficial to Crops*. Salem: Naturalists Book Agency; London: Trauber. 702 pp. Illustrated
 85. Pimentel, D., ed. 1975. *Insects, Science and Society*. New York: Academic. 384 pp.
 86. Rose, F. 1940. A page of modern education, forty years of home economics at Cornell University. *Ann. Rep. NY State Coll. Home Econ. Cornell Univ.*, 15th, 63-145
 87. Ibid, 74-88
 - 87a. Say, T. 1859. *American Entomology, A Description of the Insects of North America*. Boston: Estes & Lauriat. 412 pp. Illustrated

88. Slingerland, M. V., Crosby, C. R. 1914. *Manual of Fruit Insects*, p. 503. New York: MacMillan Illustrated
89. Smith, R. G. 1949. *The People's Colleges, A History of the New York State Extension Service in Cornell University and the State, 1876-1948*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Univ. Press
90. Ibid, p. 31
91. *The Comstock Memorial*. 1914. *A Report on Exercises in Connection with the Presentation of the Comstock Memorial Library Fund to Cornell University, June 13, 1914*. Official Publ. Cornell Univ., Sept. 15, 1914 5G
92. White, A. D. 1905. *Autobiography of Andrew Dickson White I*. New York: Century. 601 pp.
93. Ibid, p. 358
94. Ibid, p. 358
95. White, A. D. 1914. *A History of the Warfare of Science with Theology in Christendom*. 1:ix. New York: D. Appleton.
96. Wright, A. H. 1960. *John Henry Comstock, Contemporary Comment*, 1-17. Comstock Mem. Libr., Cornell Univ. Ithaca, NY